

MacDonald, Iain ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6056-1801> (2018) A comparison of response to feedback given to undergraduates in two collaborative formative assessments: wiki and oral presentations. In: International AHE Conference 2018 (Assessment in Higher Education), 28 June 2018, Manchester, UK. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/4961/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
 - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

macdonald
6 of 6
SHARE

aim of the workshop is to improve student learning and reduce staff workload when delivering feedback. At the University there are many examples of good feedback practice including the use of generic feedback, the Dental School delivering same day oral feedback on all assessments and the Law School conducting post examination individual feedback sessions. Student evaluative feedback in such programmes are much improved. Web pages for staff have been developed with feedback resources and a funded project on examination feedback produced a toolkit to assist feedback design (Sutton & Sellick 2016). However, an outstanding challenge remains to improve feedforward and feedback in programmes with large student cohorts. Fast turnaround of generic feedback times are usually cited as the solution. However, generic feedback is often met with student dissatisfaction who request specific feedback with points for improvement. We know we are not alone in facing this challenge. In this round table discussion, we will request participants to share good practice and work collaboratively to develop solutions.

References
 Brown, S (2007) Feedback and Feed-Forward. *Centre for Biosciences Bulletin*.22. Autumn 2007.
 Available at:
https://www.reading.ac.uk/web/files/EngageinFeedback/bulletin22_feed_forwards.pdf
 (Accessed: 14 March 2018).
 Sutton, C. and Sellick, J. (2016) *Examination Toolkit*. Plymouth: University of Plymouth.

A comparison of response to feedback given to undergraduates in two collaborative formative assessments: wiki and oral presentations.
 Speaker: Iain MacDonalD, University of Cumbria, UK

This study is prompted by increasing opportunities for group formative assessment afforded by virtual learning environments. Two methods of computer supported collaborative assessment were used by second year undergraduates – a ‘familiar’ MS PowerPoint presentation and a ‘novel’ wiki, a web communication and collaboration tool. Both were used in the formative assessment context. Using grounded theory, outcome measures of students were explored, including response to feedback given during the two assessments. An online survey and six in depth student interviews provided data for the study.

Findings demonstrated that all 32 students had previous experience of MS PowerPoint; however, the wiki was new to them. Feedback was provided by the tutor verbally for the MS PowerPoint presentation; for the wikis this was written feedback together with peer review. Verbal feedback after presentations was seen as less useful, and frequently not comprehended by students due to anxiety. For the wiki feedback, peer review was valued by the majority of the students and written feedback was useful as it allowed subsequent review.

This study demonstrates that feedback can be delivered in alternative forms, taking into account the assessment chosen, and should be an important factor in deciding the overall approach to delivery of assessment.

References
 Parker, k. and Chao, J. (2007) ‘Wiki as a Teaching Tool’, *Interdisciplinary Journal of Knowledge and Learning Objects*, 3, pp. 57-72.
 Tanaka, A., Takehara, T., and Yamauchi, H. (2006) ‘Achievement goals in a presentation task: Performance expectancy, achievement goals, state anxiety, and task performance’, *Learning and Individual Differences*, 16(2), pp. 93-99.

Feedback, Social Justice, Dialogue and Continuous Assessment: how they can
 Speaker: Jan McArthur, Lancaster University, UK

This paper builds on previous work on assessment for social justice (McArthur & Huxham, 2013). Drawing on the critical theory of Axel Honneth (2001) of mutual recognition to social justice, the paper explores the ways in which social justice engender in students their own sense of control over their abilities and a position is developed from a radical interpretation of feedback as dialogic. Understanding of the importance of students being in dialogue with their own context (McArthur & Huxham, 2013). A second feature of this paper is the assessment. Data will be drawn from a multi-partner study being funded by the Centre for Global Higher Education. The ‘Understanding Student Knowledge’ project is a longitudinal study of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering student cohorts in Africa. This paper will present data from the completed first year of the UK site. Analysis suggests that these students make a strong connection between assessment and learning and this in turn impacts on their feedback attitudes and experiences. A further feature is that these students undertake a large amount of continuous assessment: class tests, along with lab reports and larger pieces of work. The challenge of feedback in such cases will be explored. Typical approaches to feedback in these assessments include the use of devices such as tick box sheets or generic feedback. Both students interviewed for this project suggest that such approaches are unsatisfactory. Students have already identified the link between assessment and learning that feedback, but are let down by formulaic or partial offerings. However, the challenge for lecturers to provide more detailed feedback in a system of continuous assessment is overlooked. This paper will explore how the practical solution to this dilemma is commitment to social justice, as already outlined. Strategies for embedding feedback for nurturing in students the capacity to evaluate their own work will be discussed.

References
 Honneth, A. (2014) *The I in We: Studies in the Theory of Recognition*. Cambridge University Press.
 McArthur, J. (2016) ‘Assessment for Social Justice: the role of assessment in a dialogic context’, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 41(7), pp.967-981.
 McArthur, J. (2018) *Assessment for Social Justice*. London: Bloomsbury.
 McArthur, J., & Huxham, M. (2013) ‘Feedback Unbound: From Master to Ushe’, in D., Price, M. & Taras M. (eds.) *Reconceptualising Feedback in Higher Education*. Routledge. Chapter 10.

Building a national resource for feedback improvement
 Speaker: David Boud, Deakin University/University of Technology Sydney, Australia

If we are to improve feedback practices we need to move beyond general impressions and staff to understand what specifically is working well and what is not. This national teaching development project (funded by the Office of Learning and Teaching) to improve feedback practice across Australian universities, Feedback for Assessment Loop. The study recognised that feedback is not transmission of information to students, but a process involving many players that can occur prior to or following formal assessment tasks. The aim of a feedback process is to close the loop between performance and learning. The extensive literature on feedback in higher education has provided models, frameworks, principles and strategies with little guidance or research in diverse contexts and how to choose amongst them. The project addressed this through a large scale mixed method study (4514 students, 406 staff) to identify what strategies

38
38-39 / 60
ISSUU

