

Azemi, Yllka and Ozuem, Wilson (2019) The effects of severity of negative word-of-mouth (nWOM): an aggression-frustration perspective. In: 2019 AMA Summer Academic Conference (American Marketing Association), 9-11 August 2019, Chicago, IL, US. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/4950/

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available here) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

• the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part

of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form

- a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
 - the content is not changed in any way
 - all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
 - remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found here.

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

THE EFFECTS OF SEVERITY OF NEGATIVE WORD-OF-MOUTH

(nWOM): AN AGGRESSION-FRUSTRATION PERSPECTIVE

Yllka Azemi, Indiana University Northwest, USA

Wilson Ozuem, University of Cumbria, UK

Description: The present study examines an interpretation of online nWOM across the

complainant and the recipient, recognizing frustration-aggression theory to decipher

customers' idiosyncrasies imperative to the online negative WOM construct.

Keywords: online negative word-of-mouth, service failure, recovery strategy,

aggression-frustration theory

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Research Question

Past research provides rich conceptual information on the constituents of online

negative word-of-mouth (nWOM). However, inconclusive insight is evident into how

customers construe online nWOM. This missing link evolves into unsatisfactory

understanding of nWOM, leaving providers with anecdotally based recovery strategy

decision-making. To address this, unlike extant research the present study examines

how a complainant (i.e., initiator of the online nWOM) and recipient (the consumer

who engages with the online nWOM) interpret online nWOM, recognizing this as a

co-constructed activity. The study introduces frustration-aggression theory into the

Accepted paper in the American Marketing Association (AMA) Conference, Chicago, USA (August 2019)

online WOM literature to support the deciphering of customers' idiosyncratic construing of what lies beyond that which is explicitly voiced.

Method and Data

Data were collected from millennials in two contexts (i.e., the UK and Kosovo) with unique online WOM experiences, utilizing online banking as the data collection ground. Social constructivism was acknowledged as the epistemology to advance the development of theory across customers' nuanced interpretations of online nWOM as joined contextually constructed experience. Data were collected through semi-structured focus group interviews, with 6 focus groups in total (3 focus groups per country). Each focus group consisted of 3 complainants and 3 recipients, recruited with the support of banks from each country. The focus group interviews lasted 60 minutes, with 15 open-ended questions phenomenological in nature. Emerged data were analyzed through a thematic analytical approach, where the participants' most repeated words were identified to lead into themes representative of meanings assigned to online nWOM.

Summary of Findings

Data analysis resulted in a threefold online nWOM typology, mediated by the level of harm customers aimed to impart to the provider upon an online banking failure experience: 1) lenient online nWOM, 2) moderate online nWOM, 3) severe online nWOM. Further, the level of harm is explained by the frustration-aggression inherited within customers' personas. Recovery strategies to satisfactorily manage all types of online nWOM are divulged. Lenient online nWOM consists of a frustrated complainant and recipient; with simultaneous explanation and empathy within 48 hours, and a reply on behalf of the company to shape the customer's recovery satisfaction. Apology and explanation within 24 hours is the advised recovery strategy

Accepted paper in the American Marketing Association (AMA) Conference, Chicago, USA (August 2019)

upon moderate online nWOM arising, disclosed by a frustrated (aggressive) complainant and aggressive (frustrated) recipient. Explanation within a business day, apology within a business day, or compensation within 48 hours are recognized to be the satisfactory recovery strategies for severe online nWOM – where aggression is evident across complainant and recipient.

Key Contributions

The present study is the first to examine unique particularities, explained through frustration-aggression, present in complainant and recipient as drivers of online nWOM – vital to extend understanding beyond existing contextual explanations of negative WOM. It introduces frustration-aggression theory into online nWOM literature as a premise to decipher diverse nuances of customers' interpretation of nWOM. Finally, it extends understanding beyond a single context, recognizing contextually driven nWOM exegeses. The study presents the complainant-recipient model, which enunciates recovery strategies that lead to satisfaction across all types of online nWOM, in particular within the online banking continuum.

'References are available upon request'