

Marshall, Alison ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6816-2362> and Heginbotham, Chris (2013) Adopting telehealth innovations: when evidence is not enough. *Journal of Integrated Care*, 13 (7).

Downloaded from: <https://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/4888/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
 - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).


Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

Volume 13, 20 November 2013

Publisher: Igitur publishing

URL: <http://www.ijic.org>

Cite this as: Int J Integr Care 2013; T&T Conf Suppl; [URN:NBN:NL:UI:10-1-115656](https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:nl:ui:10-1-115656)

Copyright: 

Conference Abstract

Adopting telehealth innovations: when evidence is not enough

Alison Marshall

Chris Heginbotham

Correspondence to: *Alison Marshall, United Kingdom, E-mail: alison.marshall@cumbria.ac.uk*

Abstract

Medical and healthcare practice in the 21st century is expected to be 'evidence-based'. Health services need to assess evidence about new technologies and innovations, but current processes are highly structured and too focussed on 'facts' without a recognition of the values that are incorporated or the beliefs and principles of service users (Stamm and Perednia, 2000, Koch, 2006). In the development, marketing, adoption, and implementation of telehealth, communication, training, cultural sensitivity, and end-user customisation are critical. As Ackerman and colleagues suggest, '[T]elehealth is ultimately a system of systems in scale and complexity (our italics). In using telehealth to implement personalised care, 'we must appreciate system complexity as telehealth moves toward increasing functionality, integration, interoperability, outreach, and quality of service' (2010).

The collection of evidence to demonstrate that an innovation is suitable for adoption within health services is normally the responsibility of the supplier or inventor, often through an evaluation or pilot study. Evaluations are usually designed to answer the questions 'does it work?' and 'does it save money, or offer better benefits for the same money?' For telehealth solutions, many innovations are piloted and performance evaluated, but relatively few pass into mainstream adoption (Joseph et al, 2011).

Telehealth innovations, usually around application, software and user interactions, are based on information and communications technology that may be mature in other domains. In many cases, telehealth innovations are designed to be used by patients or carers in the home and may rely on domestic infrastructure (eg. a broadband or telephone connection). Innovations often include differing healthcare professions and social care providers. These factors contribute to problems in adoption.

Service user involvement in evaluation of applications based innovations lead to modification and enhancement. Rather than this being an opportunity, the formal evaluation-to-adoption process assumes a more constrained 'waterfall' model of product development. Adapting the technology based on user feedback, at the pilot stage, would require a completely new evaluation to be undertaken. In practice therefore, technology providers often offer to market a product they know may be less acceptable to the user.

Secondly, timescales for piloting and evaluation are often longer than the technology lifetime. By the time something has been evaluated, an ICT based innovation could be out of date. Thirdly, and

International Congress on Telehealth and Telecare 2013, London, July 01-03, 2013.

We consider how evaluation can be improved by:

Learning lessons from other consumer ICT domains;

Engaging users iteratively in 'brief evaluations';

Reflecting the timeframe for the equipment;

Developing comparative processes for evaluating a number of differing systems in parallel;

Setting and evaluating robust outcome objectives for telehealth solutions;

Co-production, private-public, and service-consumer.

We are developing a model to inform the collection of evidence for telehealth innovations, to make it more appropriate to the multi-stakeholder adoption process. This will consider especially lessons learned from other domains, where technology adoption may work more smoothly in spite of similar levels of risks.

Keywords:

Adoption, evidence, evaluation, pilot, co-design

References:

1. Ackerman, M. J., Filart, R., Burgess, L. P., Lee, I., & Poropatich, R. K. Developing Next-Generation Telehealth Tools and Technologies: Patients, Systems, and Data Perspectives. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 16:1; 2010. 93-95.
 2. Joseph, V., West, R.M., Shickle, D., Keen, J., Clamp, S. Key challenges in the development and implementation of telehealth projects. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telehealth*. 2011;17: 71-77.
 3. Koch, S. Home telehealth-Current state and future trends. *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 2006;5(8):565-576.
 4. Stamm, B. H. & Perednia, D. A. Evaluating psychosocial aspects of telemedicine and telehealth systems. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice* 2000;31(2):184-189.
-

Presentation available at: <http://www.kingsfund.org.uk/events/third-annual-international-congress-telehealth-and-telecare>