

Hardacre, Charlotte ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3155-4132> and Stuart, Kaz ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7952-5779> (2018) Designing and delivering socially just and authentic research projects. In: 10th Annual Conference for Research in Education: Social Justice in Troubling Times: What does it mean and what's to be done? Critical issues in socially just research and practice (ACRE 2018), 27 September 2018, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, UK. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/4136/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
 - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

Abstracts

contexts is often lost, leading to forms of 'othering' and marginalisation so roundly criticised by those who advocate inclusion.

This study is located in the Republic of Armenia, a national context where concerns continue to be expressed in relation to the fact that, 'Societies' misperception of different forms and types of disability and the limited capacity of social actors to accommodate special needs often place these people on the margin. People with disabilities experience inequalities in their daily lives, and have fewer opportunities to access a quality education that takes place in a truly inclusive environment.' (UNESCO 2015, 3). It is intended that an examination of the lived experiences of individuals in the Republic of Armenia will shed a different light on the 'conceptual schemas of disability that are formulated by Western theorising' (Gable 2014, 88) in order to level the intellectual playing field.

Charlotte Hardacre and Dr Kaz Stuart (not present), University of Cumbria

10. [Designing and delivering socially just and authentic research projects](#)

Practitioners' whose work is driven by emancipatory and socially-just notions often wish to transfer these aims to their research (Fraser, 2009; Lyons and Bike, 2013). However, researchers in the UK can find themselves diverted from these values by neoliberal demands for quantitative impact measurement and 'cause and effect' models in qualitative research (Davies, Nutley and Smith, 2000; Rigney, 2001; H.M. Treasury, 2010; Nesta, 2014). Whilst these methods are valuable in certain settings, they are not congruent with a critical-ethical methodology (Stuart and Shay, 2018). Conducting research that is authentic to practice values may be counter hegemonic to the current culture of measurement, demanding that researchers stand firm in their beliefs and enter the wilds (Brown, 2017).

Charting a clear path, from design to delivery, which maintains the socially-just aims that drove the desire to do research in the first place, is a vital task for both established and early career researchers. To do so the researcher engages in a reflexive process to disentangle the enmeshed values, beliefs and practices that shape research. This endeavor will lead to what some call an inequalities imagining (Hart, Hall, Henwood, 2003), cultural sensitivity (Tuhiwai Smith, 2012), a critical-ethical approach (Stuart and Shay, 2018), or socially just research (Fine, 2017). The resulting epistemology, ontology and methodology are critically conscious of knowledge democracy, seeking to reveal knowledge that enhances social justice and wellbeing (Maynard and Stuart, 2018).

This talk will support you to identify what you value most about your practice and to use this knowledge to create a checklist that mirrors these values throughout your research. This will be a useful tool for developing congruence between theory and practice, supporting radical or unorthodox methods and reducing ethical barriers by setting out a transparent, authentic and explanatory protocol for your socially-just research project. The tensions that may arise in this process provide reflexive insights of key areas for critically conscious practice.