
Sharps injuries among radiographers: Dangers associated with opening bottles of contrast agent

Professor Gill Marshall
Chair of Medical Imaging Education
Research Development Lead
Faculty of Health, Medical Science
and Social Care





Research Objectives:

- O To understand and examine the extent to which bottle related injuries occur within radiology departments
- O Measure the frequency and number of bottle related injuries
- O Evaluate the extent and seriousness of the injuries that occur
- O Assess perceptions of how serious the threat of bottle related injuries are and the level of concern
- O Understand the extent to which bottle related injuries are reported
- O To identify the extent to which the issue of injuries should be considered for further development of alternatives to glass

Research Methodology & Sample:

O Telephone survey in 6 European countries

- 10 minutes in length
- In the native language of respondent

O Inclusion criteria:

- work in the radiology department
- have been working in their current position for a minimum of 3 months and a maximum of 30 years
- be regular users of glass bottle packaging

Summary:


| Average number of opening injuries per month per radiographer | 2.03 injuries |
|--|---------------|
| Average number of breakage injuries per year per radiographer | 1.9 injuries |
| Average number of breakage injuries per month per radiographer | 0.16 injuries |
| Total average number of injuries per month per radiographer | 2.19 injuries |
| Percentage of injuries that draw blood | 47% |
| Average time spent dealing with one injury | 4.04 minutes |
| Average time spent dealing with injuries per month | 8.84 minutes |
| Number of radiographers per department | 24.4 |
| Time lost dealing with injuries per department per month | 3.59 hours |

Base: 125 respondents who admit to injuries occurring within their department whilst handling contrast agents


Magnetic Resonance Scan:




3 D Computed Tomography Reconstruction:



Study Sample:



Glass bottles dominate all markets except Sweden:



Glass bottle of contrast agents / polymer bottles:





Opening is only slightly more problematic than storing, unpacking and disposal:


| | | unpacking storage | Ease of opening | | Ease of disposal | |
|--------------|-------|----------------------|-----------------|------|------------------|-------------|
| | Means | %6&7 | Means | %6&7 | Means | %6&7 |
| France (30) | 5.4 | 53% | 4.5 | 20% | 5.9 | 67% |
| Germany (25) | 5.6 | 52% | 3.4 | 12% | 6.3 | 80% |
| Italy (25) | 5.8 | 72% | 4.9 | 40% | 5.8 | 72 % |
| Spain (4)* | 5.3 | 75% | 5.5 | 75% | 6.0 | 75% |
| Sweden (15) | 4.8 | 34% | 3.5 | 14% | 4.1 | 34% |
| UK (26) | | 46% | 3.6 | 8% | 4.4 | 34% |
| Total (125) | 5.4 | 53% | 4.1 | 21% | 5.4 | 60% |

Base: All (n=125)

Q3 How easy are glass bottles to unpack and store? Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all easy and 7 is extremely easy

Q4 How easy are glass bottles to open? Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all easy and 7 is extremely easy

Wide variability in cause of injury across countries:




Rarity of injury and minimal risk reduce concern regarding opening injuries:

Reasons for high concern:


- Risk of infection 11%
- •Alternative methods to open are dangerous 3%
- •Concerned glass bottles cause accidents/ Afraid of injuries (single mentions)

Reasons for low concern:

- Minor risk/not serious 18%
- Injuries are a rare occurrence 16%
- Careful handling 10%
- No contact with patient 5%
- Injuries not a problem 3%



Almost 4 working hours are lost per month per department dealing with injuries:



Base: All (n=125)
Q1 Firstly, please could you tell me how many radiographers and/or technologists work in your department?
Q6 In an average **month** how often do you injure yourself (however minor) when opening a glass bottle?
Q9a,Q9b,Q9c.Q18.

Q16 In an average year how often do you injure yourself (however minor) on broken glass?

Minor injuries are not usually reported:

| Method of reporting | Total (125) | France (30) | Germany (25) | (25) | Spain (4)* | Sweden (15) | UK (26) |
|---|----------------|-------------|-----------------|------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| Not reported | 68% | 60% | 92% | 48% | 75% | 80% | 65% |
| Within the department – verbal report | 18% | 33% | 4% | 36% | 1 | 7% | 8% |
| Within the department – written report / log | 7% | 7% | 4% | 8% | - | 13% | 8% |
| External – verbal report | 2% | - | - | 4% | - | - | 4% |
| External – written report / log | 2% | - | - | 4% | 25% | - | 4% |
| Within the department and externally – written report / log | 2% | - | - | ı | - | 1 | 12% |
| Within the department and externally – verbal report | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Majority of serious injuries are reported except in Germany where serious injuries rarely occur:

| Method of reporting | Total (125) | France (30) | Germany (25) | (25) | Spain (4)* | Sweden (15) | UK (26) |
|---|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| Within the department and externally – written report / log | 34% | 40% | 4% | 20% | 1 | 27% | 81% |
| Not reported | 32% | 47% | 88% | 12% | 25% | 1 | 1 |
| Within the department – written report / log | 15% | - | 4% | 24% | 1 | 60% | 12% |
| External – written report / log | 12% | 1 | 1 | 32% | 75% | 13% | 8% |
| Within the department – verbal report | 4% | 10% | 4% | 4% | ı | - | - |
| Within the department and externally – verbal report | 2% | 3% | - | 4% | - | - | - |
| External – verbal report | 1% | - | - | 4% | - | - | - |

Conclusions:

- O A radiographer can expect to be injured either through opening a glass bottle or through glass bottle breakages 2.2 times per month
- Most injuries are minor (no requirement for a plaster).
- 48% of injuries however draw blood and require further treatment
- Opening injuries are predominately caused by sharp edges or the ring pull snapping off
- On average, injuries caused by opening are more frequent (24.4 per year) per respondent than injuries caused by broken glass (1.9 per year)

Conclusions:

- Approximately 3 and a half hours are lost per month per department. This varies within countries, based on their estimation of time taken
- O The vast majority of respondents are not too concerned with the risk of injuries when either unpacking, opening and disposing of glass bottles
- O Generally, minor injuries are not reported at all (55%), however, the more serious injuries are usually reported (59%)
- O Respondents indicate that injuries are more of a nuisance rather than a serious concern
- Any improvements to reduce the risk of injuries and time lost would be efficacious

References:

- Pruss-Ustun A, Rapiti E, Hutin Y(2003) Sharps injuries: global burden of disease from sharps injuries to health-care workers.
 In. Geneva: World Health Organisation
- O Baffoy Fayard N et al (2003) Potential exposure to hepatitis C virus through accidental blood contact in interventional radiology. J Vasc Interven Radiol 14: 173-179
- O Jagger J, Hunt EH, Person RD (1990) Sharp objects injuries in the hospital: cause and strategies for prevention. AM J infect control 18: 227-231
- Marshall G (2008) Sharps injuries among radiographers: dangers associated with opening bottles of contrast agent Radiography 14 2 128 – 134
- Council Directive 89/391/EEC of 12 June 1989 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work (a.k.a Framework Directive) available at http://eupropa.eu