

Copping, Adrian ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0257-297X> (2017) Going through the mirror: enactment, reflection and community of practice. In: 8th Teacher Education Advancement Network (TEAN) Annual Conference: Thinking Deeply About Teacher Education, 11-12 May 2017, Birmingham, UK. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/3943/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
 - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

Going through the mirror:

*Enactment, reflection and
community of practice*

Adrian Copping

Adrian.copping@cumbria.ac.uk

University of
Cumbria 

Institute
of Education

Context

- School Direct Primary PgCE - 14 students participated
- M-level module
- Seminar – ‘using and applying reflective models’
- Structure;
 - 1hr unedited clip of me teaching a year 2 class
 - Students observe using Brookfield’s (1993) len.
 - Discuss, question me, feedback

Aims of the piece of research


The impact of my enactment of teaching (through a situated context of observation and critique) upon the students’ learning and reflection

Does this shared learning experience create a sense of community of practice?



<https://janey138.wordpress.com/2015/02/09/reflexion-on-reflection/>

Why 'going through the mirror'?



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Through_the_Looking-Glass#/media/File:Aliceroom3.jpg
Illustration by Sir John Teniel



Why ‘going through the mirror’?

“Reflection and reflexivity make the ordinary seem extraordinary, ‘as different as possible’. And it makes the extraordinary more comprehensible. Actions, interactions, professional episodes, memories from long ago, spirituality, thoughts, ideas and feelings become ‘all alive’. Developmental change becomes possible..”

(Bolton 2010 p.69)

<http://194.81.189.19/ojs/index.php/TEAN/article/viewFile/60/72>

Findings (1)

Routine reflection
and novice noticing



“OK,OK, you got me. You just couldn't let it go, could you.”

1) Routine reflection and novice noticing

(Early on in the dialogic feedback)

AC: *Now you know a bit about where I am coming from, how did that shape your observations?*

2: *Well, you had good behaviour management, I mean they didn't talk over you and you kept them quiet when you needed to.*

6: *Yeah, we thought that too. You had a very friendly manner with them, it seemed like you had known them for ages, I know you said you had not met the class before.*

AC: *Was it all that perfect? What about the guided work? They were noisy there and I had to be a bit more direct? Any thoughts?|*

4: *We thought you could have used more sanctions with them.*



Findings (2)

Practical parlance
not pedagogic
principles

How to Teach

1. Make a statement.
2. Explain the statement.
3. Give three examples.

2) Practical parlance not pedagogic principles

(a little later in the f=dialogic feedback, approx. half way through)

8: *The way you did the guided writing was not like I have seen it in school. I have just seen the teacher write for children, scribing I think it's called.*

12: *Yeah, I haven't even seen guided writing at all.*


AC: *Why do I think I did it then? What were my reasons? Did it add anything?*

1: *I think it did definitely, your group seemed to get it.*

12: *I don't think I could do that, what do you do with the rest of the class?*

Findings (3)

The loss of the lens



3) The loss of the lens

(approx. two thirds through the discussion)

AC: *Let's hear from the group who had the learners' lens.*

5: *Well, they all seemed engaged, especially in the shared bit which you did really well.*


6: *We thought the children all seemed on task but that was because of the way you managed them. We noticed the class teacher in there too helping out and the way you managed the whiteboards was good. The way they used the 'boring' cards was not very good, it seemed distracting.*

7: *Yeah, I would have used those differently or maybe not at all, I don't think they added.*


AC: *Ok, say more, How did they distract? What did you notice from the children's perspective?*

7: *They were just playing with them.*






Fuller's model of teacher development based on concerns (1969)



Twiselton's model of teacher development (2010)



Ward and McCotter's Reflection Rubric (2004)



Implications

- Programme design
- Module design and expectations
- Seminar design and expectations at different stages of the course – do we increase expectation?
- Placement expectations *‘to teach more is to learn more’*. Is it?

References

Bolton, G. (2010). *Reflective Practice: Writing and Professional Development 3rd edition*. London. Sage

Brookfield, S. (1993). *Becoming a Critically Reflective Teacher*. San Francisco. Jossey Bass.

Carroll, L. ([1865] 1954). *Alice's Adventures in Wonderland*. London. Dent and Sons

Copping, A. (2010) 'Watching Me, Watching You, Aha! Developing reflection and practice through the use of video Tean Journal 1 (2) December [Online]. Available at: <http://bit.ly/tyfJ5M>.

Fuller, F. F. (1969). Concerns of Teachers: A Developmental Conceptualization. *American Educational Research Journal*, 6, 207-226.

Twiselton, S. (2010). Seeing the Wood for the Trees: The National Literacy Strategy and Initial Teacher Education; pedagogical content knowledge and the structure of subjects. *Cambridge Journal of Education* 30 (3). [online]. Available at: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/713657157>

Ward, J. McCotter, S. (2004) Reflection as a visible outcome for pre-service teachers, *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 20, 243-257

Thank You

Draft paper and/or a copy of this presentation
available at

Adrian.copping@cumbria.ac.uk