

Jonker, Leon ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5867-4663> and Memon, Fouzia (2018) Influence of maternal factors and mode of induction on labour outcomes: a pragmatic retrospective cohort study. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 38 (7). pp. 946-949.

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/3646/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
 - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

1 Title: **Influence of maternal factors and mode of induction on labour outcomes; a pragmatic**
2 **retrospective cohort study.**

3

4 Running title: **mode of labour induction and type of delivery**

5

6 **Word count:** 1250 (excluding title page, abstract, table and references)

7 **Type of manuscript:** original article

8

9 **Authors:** L Jonker¹ , F Memon²

10

11 ^{1.}@Dr Leon Jonker; Science & Innovation Manager, Cumbria Partnership NHS Foundation Trust,
12 Research & Development Department, Carlisle, CA1 3SX, UK, Tel 0176824 5975, e-mail
13 leon.jonker@cumbria.nhs.uk [ORCID number 0000-0001-5867-4663]

14

15 ^{2.}#Dr Fouzia Memon, consultant Obstetrician; North Cumbria University Hospitals NHS Trust,
16 Obstetrics & Gynaecology Department, Carlisle, CA2 7HY, UK, tel 01228 814212, e-mail
17 Fouzia.memon@ncuh.nhs.uk

18

19

20 # Corresponding author if accepted.

21 @ Corresponding author during submission process

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 **Abstract** (150 words; 150 words max)

44

45 Since recent research indicates that other modalities are at a minimum non-inferior to the NICE-
 46 recommended hormonal agent prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂), a retrospective cohort study was
 47 conducted on 1971 consecutive induced singleton pregnancies. Multinomial regression showed
 48 that the odds ratio (OR) for vaginal delivery with balloon-mediated labour induction (84% vaginal
 49 deliveries; OR 1.6; 95% CI 0.7-3.5) is similar to the PGE₂ agents propess (81%; OR 1.2; 95% CI 0.68-
 50 1.98) and prostin (79%; OR 0.99; 95% CI 0.55-1.79) when using triple multi-agent induction as a
 51 reference. On the other hand, combining propess & prostin (60% vaginal deliveries; OR 0.45; 95%
 52 CI 0.21-0.96) and attempting quadruple combinations of induction modalities (56%; OR 0.37; 95%
 53 CI 0.16-0.85) yield significantly poorer outcomes. However, compared to known factors associated
 54 with increased caesarean section rates, such as increased maternal age, nulliparous pregnancies
 55 and history of caesarean section, the differential impact of different induction modalities appears
 56 less pronounced.

57

58 **Key Words:** labour induction, prostaglandin E₂, balloon catheter, parity, vaginal delivery,
 59 Caesarean section

60

61

62

63 **Impact statement** (184 words; 200 words max)

64 • What is already known on this subject.

65 Recent published data from controlled clinical trials have shown that other labour-inducing agents,
 66 including balloon catheters, are as effective as prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) in achieving vaginal delivery.

67 • What the results of this study add.

68 Data from this pragmatic retrospective cohort study support the findings of others that the use of a
 69 balloon is as effective as PGE₂. It also demonstrates that regular clinical practice can differ from an
 70 experimental environment, with patients receiving multiple induction modalities in daily practice.

71 Both the combination of different PGE₂ medications and a quadruple labour induction approach
 72 are associated with poorer results as measured by the vaginal delivery rate. The data presented
 73 here also confirms that nulliparous status, maternal age and history of caesarean section are
 74 associated with reduced odds of achieving vaginal delivery.

75 • What the implications are of these findings for clinical practice and/or further research.

76 The body of evidence showing favourable results with balloon induction is growing. Furthermore,
77 there are limits to the effectiveness of combining different induction modalities. Maternal and
78 perinatal factors associated with risk of caesarean section further complicate labour induction
79 management.

80

81
82

83 **Introduction**

84 The application of labour induction has been increasing year-on-year and is now applied in
85 approximately 25% of pregnancies (NHS digital, 2015); in approximately two thirds of cases,
86 unaided vaginal delivery is achieved (RCOG, 2008). Although induction of labour itself carries risks,
87 the risk of caesarean delivery is 12% lower with induction compared to expectant management
88 (Mishanina et al, 2014). Progesterone E₂ (PGE₂), as a vaginal pessary, gel, or tablet is currently the
89 recommended mode of pharmacological induction according to National Institute for Health and
90 Care Excellence Guidelines (NICE, 2008). In a previous study, we and others linked nulliparous
91 status of a woman with increased use of PGE₂, and increased risk of caesarean section - despite the
92 fact that these patients are being administered more doses of PGE₂ (Yogev et al, 2003; Memon et
93 al, 2011). More recent research has shown that other modalities may be associated with non-
94 inferior or even better outcomes than PGE₂ for labour induction. For example, a systematic review
95 found that misoprostol leads to more timely vaginal deliveries compared to PGE₂ (Alfirevic et al,
96 2015). In another systematic review, Du et al (2017) showed that balloon-assisted induction of
97 labour is as effective and safe as induction with PGE₂. The positive evidence for balloons prompted
98 NICE to publish an Interventional Procedures
99 Guidance (NICE, 2015). This study aims to investigate how different induction methods for labour
100 compare in terms of achieving vaginal delivery, using cases from a non-controlled standard clinical
101 setting, based on local clinical guidelines devised from national published guidance.

102

103 **Materials & Methods**

104 *Induction guidelines*

105 This concerns a retrospective cohort study of pregnant women managed by induction of labour in
106 the maternity unit of a general district hospital in the UK, covering the period mid-July 2015 to end
107 of July 2017. Multiple pregnancies were excluded, as were inductions related to planned
108 terminations. All patients met the criteria for induction of pregnancy as outlined in our local clinical
109 guidelines on induction labour. These guidelines draw from the main national and international
110 literature, National Institute for health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2008, 2011, 2015, 2015); the
111 Royal College of Obstetrics and Gynaecology (RCOG, 2014 & 2015) and the World Health
112 Organisation (WHO, 2011). Some of the key reasons for induction and the primary mode of

113 induction in accordance to local guidelines are summarised in Table 1. Due to positive outcomes
114 published in recent years concerning induction of labour with a balloon, and the interventional
115 procedure guidance publication by NICE (2015), this modality was introduced in the department
116 from 2016 onwards; the balloon model used is a Cook Cervical ripening balloon. If the Bishop score
117 is over 7 then patients are considered eligible for direct artificial rupture of membranes (ARM).
118 Patients undergoing induction of labour who do not show signs of labour after 24 hours from
119 insertion of 10mg Propess pessary or after 2 doses of 3mg Prostin pessaries, medical staff assess
120 and decide on further induction or Caesarean section. Intravenous oxytocin is not commenced until
121 30 minutes after the 10mg Propess pessary has been removed or six hours have lapsed following
122 the administration of a 3mg Prostin pessary. Oxytocin is only used in the presence of ruptured
123 membranes, whether occurred spontaneously or by amniotomy.

124 *Data*

125 Data was collected in Microsoft Excel and analysed in SPSS v17. Multinomial regression analysis
126 was conducted, with all variables included as factors in the analysis (all variables listed in Tables 2 &
127 3). Unaided and aided vaginal deliveries were pooled and compared to births through caesarean
128 section. Trials evaluating success of labour induction often focus on time to delivery and delivery
129 within 24 hours (Faucett et al, 2014; Mishanina et al, 2014). In standard daily practice, clinical
130 parameters such as maternal well-being and foetal monitoring data are used for decision-making
131 on type of induction and whether to proceed with caesarean section; therefore, the time-related
132 outcome measures were not applied in this study.

133

134 **Results**

135 A total of 1971 deliveries were included in the analyses; no maternal or foetal deaths occurred in
136 this cohort. Table 2 summarises the demographics and obstetric medical history for the patients
137 included. Furthermore, the extent to which each variable is associated with vaginal delivery is
138 determined by multinomial regression analysis. Likewise, the primary reason for induction is
139 summarised in Table 3, with the odds of vaginal delivery again assessed through multinomial
140 regression analysis. The most common reasons for induction of labour in this cohort were post-
141 term (i.e. > 41 weeks; 18.8%), small for gestational age (SGA; 14.4%) and pre-labour membrane
142 rupture (12.6%) respectively. The mean average maternal age was 30 years (min 13 to max 46
143 years), and median gravida and parity were 2 and 1 respectively. Mean weight of the newborn
144 child was 3,384 grams; median blood loss was 300 ml. In terms of vaginal deliveries, 1342 (68.1%)

145 of these were unaided, in 238 (12.1%) cases an extraction technique such as forceps or vacuum
146 had to be applied, and a caesarean section was required in 391 (19.8%) cases. This compares
147 favourably compared to overall caesarean section rates of 26% in the UK in 2013-14.

148 As identified previously by us and others (Yogev et al, 2003; Memon et al, 2011), parity is strongly
149 linked with increased risk of caesarean section. Young maternal age on the other hand is positively
150 associated with vaginal delivery. A previous caesarean section significantly increases the odds of
151 patients requiring another one in future pregnancies, as confirmed in Table 2.

152 Only pregnancies with proven abnormal readings on cardiotocograph (CTG) are linked with
153 increased risk of caesarean section. Reduced foetal movement, however, is not associated with
154 any mode of delivery, whereas small for gestational age babies are linked with improved chance of
155 vaginal delivery. Incidentally, there is limited guidance on the best mode of induction for
156 presentations of reduced foetal movement. The RCOG guidance on reduced foetal movements
157 (RCOG, 2011) states: 'the decision whether or not to induce labour at term in a woman who
158 presents recurrently with RFM when the growth, liquor volume and CTG appear normal must be
159 made after careful consultant-led counselling of the pros and cons of induction on an individualised
160 basis'.

161 **Discussion**

162 When considering the primary variable of interest in relation to induction success, measured by
163 percentage vaginal delivery (unaided and instrument-aided), three trends can be identified from
164 the results in Table 3. Firstly, ARM is a very effective induction treatment compared to hormonal
165 induction, but is only indicated and used in a subset of expectant mothers. Secondly, balloon
166 catheter induced labour gives very similar outcomes to the use of either propess or prostin. Our
167 data corroborates with the data published in the systematic review by Du et al on the use of
168 balloon catheters (2017). Thirdly, it appears that combining the two PGE₂ agents propess and
169 prostin is counterproductive and associated with lower vaginal delivery rates. Furthermore,
170 administering quadruple combinations of induction agents (for example propess, balloon,
171 syntocinon and prostin) also gave poorer outcomes in terms of vaginal delivery rates. This practice
172 is not in accordance with NICE guidelines and it also increases the risk of uterine hyperstimulation.
173 This retrospective 'snapshot' of induction of labour has considerable drawbacks compared to a
174 prospective controlled cohort or (randomised) trial design. These include variability in the choice of
175 induction agent, often allowed in national guidelines, and inclusion of patients who normally would
176 not be included in studies - particularly those who have a history of delivery by caesarean section.

177 However, conversely these shortcomings contribute to being able to gain an insight into how
178 successful induction of labour is, in terms of vaginal delivery achieved, in a standard district
179 hospital setting.

180 **Conclusion**

181 Our data shows that the established predictors, including increased maternal age, nulliparous
182 pregnancies and history of caesarean section, are associated more significantly with increased
183 caesarean section than the primary reason or chosen modality for labour induction (when non-
184 recommended induction methods are not taken into account). In conclusion, our data adds to the
185 body of evidence that suggests that induction methods other than PGE₂ may just as effective.

186

187 **Declaration of interest:** None to declare.

188 **Funding disclosure:** None to declare.

189

190 **References**

191

192 Alfirevic, Z., Keeney, E., Dowswell, T., Welton, N.J., Dias, S., Jones, L.V., Navaratnam, K. and
193 Caldwell, D.M., 2015. Labour induction with prostaglandins: a systematic review and network
194 meta-analysis. *bmj*, 350, p.h217.

195

196 Du, Y.M., Zhu, L.Y., Cui, L.N., Jin, B.H. and Ou, J.L., 2017. Double-balloon catheter versus
197 prostaglandin E2 for cervical ripening and labour induction: a systematic review and meta-analysis
198 of randomised controlled trials. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics &*
199 *Gynaecology*, 124(6), pp.891-899.

200

201 Faucett, A.M., Daniels, K., Lee, H.C., El-Sayed, Y.Y. and Blumenfeld, Y.J., 2014. Oral misoprostol
202 versus vaginal dinoprostone for labor induction in nulliparous women at term. *Journal of*
203 *Perinatology*, 34(2), p.95.

204

205 Memon, F., Wijesiriwardana, A. and Jonker, L., 2011. Maternal and prenatal factors influencing the
206 outcome of prostaglandin E2 induced labour. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 31(3), pp.220-
207 223.

208

209 Mishanina, E., Rogozinska, E., Thatthi, T., Uddin-Khan, R., Khan, K.S. and Meads, C., 2014. Use of
210 labour induction and risk of cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Canadian*
211 *Medical Association Journal*, 186(9), pp.665-673.

212

213 NHS Digital, NHS Maternity Statistics - England, 2013-14,
214 <http://content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB16725> (last accessed 18 September 2017)

215

216 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, Inducing Labour, Clinical Guideline 70, July
217 2008, <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg70> (last accessed 18 September 2017)

218

219 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Hypertension in pregnancy. NICE Clinical
220 Guideline 107, January 2011. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg107> (last accessed 18
221 September 2017)

222

223 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Diabetes in pregnancy. NICE Guideline 3, 2015.
224 <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng3> (last accessed 18 September 2017)

225

226 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Insertion of a double balloon catheter for
227 induction of labour in pregnant women without Caesarean section. Interventional procedures
228 guidance (IPG 528), 2015. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg528/> (last accessed 18 September
229 2017)

230

231 Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists. Reduced fetal movements. Green Top Guideline
232 57, 2011. https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/guidelines/gtg_57.pdf (last accessed
233 18 September 2017)

234

235 Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists. The investigation and management of the small
236 for gestational age fetus. Green Top Guideline 31, 2014.
237 https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/guidelines/gtg_31.pdf (last accessed 18
238 September 2017)

239

240 Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists. *Birth after previous Caesarean birth*. Green Top
241 Guideline No 45, 2015 https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/guidelines/gtg_45.pdf
242 (last accessed 18 September 2017)

243

244 World Health Organisation. WHO recommendations for induction of labour. WHO, 2011
245 http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44531/1/9789241501156_eng.pdf (last accessed 18
246 September 2017)

247

248 Yogeve, Y., Ben-Haroush, A., Gilboa, Y., Chen, R., Kaplan, B. and Hod, M., 2003. Induction of labor
249 with vaginal prostaglandin E2. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, 14(1), pp.30-34.

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281 *Table 1, Local clinical guideline on choice of modality for induction of labour*

282

Induction – primary reason or circumstances	First line induction modality	Second line induction modality
Post-term (> 41 weeks)	Prostin or Propess	
Diabetes (gestational, type I, type II)	Prostin	Propess (only > 38 weeks gestation)
Pre-labour rupture of membranes	Prostin	
Small for Gestational Age	Prostin	Propess (only > 38 weeks gestation)
Reduced foetal movement		
Hypertension-related	Prostin	Propess (only > 38 weeks gestation)
Previous Caesarean section	ARM	Prostin or Balloon

283

284

285

286 *Table 2, Multinomial regression analysis of odds ratios associated with vaginal delivery, using*
 287 *caesarean section as reference – demographics and obstetric medical history*

288

Variable	Category	N (vaginal / total)	% (vaginal / total)	Odds Ratio	95% CI (lower)	95% CI (upper)
Maternal age	Under 24	243 / 292	83%	2.26*	1.44	3.56
	24 to 30	538 / 655	82%	1.49*	1.04	2.16
	30 to 35	463 / 574	81%	1.44	1.00	2.09
	35 and over	311 / 408	76%	1		
Gravida	0	539 / 746	72%	1.22	0.73	2.02
	1	491 / 583	84%	1.19	0.75	1.91
	2 or more	525 / 600	88%	1		
Parity	0	677 / 944	72%	0.10*	0.045	0.24
	1	493 / 561	88%	0.44*	0.20	0.95

	2	227 / 251	90%	0.60	0.27	1.35
	3 or more	158 / 173	91%	1		
Previous stillbirth	0	1533 / 1903	81%	1.81	0.55	5.92
	1 or more	22 / 26	85%	1		
Previous CS	0	1511 / 1853	82%	11.37*	6.28	20.60
	1 or more	44 / 76	58%	1		

289 Reference Pseudo R2 = 0.24 (Nagelkerke); *p < 0.05

290

291 *Table 3, Multinomial regression analysis of odds ratios associated with vaginal delivery, using*
 292 *caesarean section as reference – reason for, and method of induction*

293

294

Variable	Category	N (vaginal / total)	% (vaginal / total)	Odds Ratio	95% CI (lower)	95% CI (upper)
Induction – primary reason	Post-term	279 / 359	78%	0.78	0.517	1.18
	Diabetes	145 / 190	76%	0.85	0.51	1.39
	Pre-labour rupture	192 / 239	80%	1.19	0.70	2.01
	SGA	249 / 279	89%	2.03*	1.20	3.44
	Pre-eclampsia	60 / 83	72%	0.95	0.50	1.82
	Other maternal reason	183 / 235	78%	0.72	0.45	1.15
	Reduced fetal movement	158 / 182	87%	1.47	0.83	2.62
	Other	285 / 356	80%	1		
Induction – method	Propess (PGE ₂)	606 / 749	81%	1.16	0.68	1.98
	ARM	192 / 212	91%	2.56*	1.27	5.17
	Prostin (PGE ₂)	294 / 371	79%	0.99	0.55	1.79
	Syntocinon (oxytocin)	54 / 74	73%	0.78	0.34	1.76

	Other single or dual method	167 / 196	85%	1.44	0.75	2.79
	Balloon	77 / 92	84%	1.61	0.74	3.48
	Combination propress & prostin	37 / 62	60%	0.45*	0.21	0.96
	Quadruple or more combination	23 / 41	56%	0.37*	0.16	0.85
	Triple combination	99 / 123	80%	1		
Intrapartum foetal problems	None	1017 / 1167	87%	3.50*	1.96	6.25
	Non-reassuring CTG	295 / 357	83%	3.14*	1.68	5.85
	Abnormal CTG	184 / 299	61%	0.98	0.54	1.81
	Other	50 / 73	68%	1		

295 Reference Pseudo R2 = 0.24 (Nagelkerke); *p < 0.05

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315