

Lifelong exercise, but not short-term high intensity interval training (HIIT), increases GDF11, a marker of successful ageing: A preliminary investigation

Bradley T Elliott^{1,*}, Peter Herbert², Nicholas Sculthorpe³, Fergal M Grace⁴, Daniel Stratton⁵, and Lawrence D Hayes⁶

¹Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Westminster, UK; ²School of Sport, Health and Outdoor Education, Trinity Saint David, University of Wales, UK; ³Institute of Clinical Exercise and Health Science, University of the West of Scotland, UK; ⁴Faculty of Health, Federation University, Victoria, Australia; ⁵Cellular and Molecular Immunology Research Center, London Metropolitan University, UK; ⁶Active Ageing Research Group, Department of Medical and Sport Sciences, University of Cumbria, UK;

* Corresponding author

B.T. Elliott

Department of Biomedical Sciences,

Faculty of Science & Technology,

University of Westminster,

115 New Cavendish St,

London W1W 6UW

b.elliott1@westminster.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Lifelong exercise is associated with regulation of skeletal mass and function, reductions in frailty, and successful ageing. Yet, the influence of exercise on myostatin and myostatin-interacting factors is relatively under examined in older males. Therefore, we investigated whether serum total myostatin, free myostatin, follistatin, and growth and differentiation factor 11 (GDF11) were altered following high intensity interval training (HIIT) in a group of 13 lifelong sedentary (SED; 64 [6] years) and 11 lifelong exercising (LEX; 62 [6] years) older males. SED follistatin was moderately greater than LEX pre-HIIT (Cohen's $d = 0.66$), and was largely greater post-HIIT (Cohen's $d = 1.22$). The HIIT-induced increase in follistatin was large in SED (Cohen's $d = 0.82$) and absent in LEX (Cohen's $d = 0.03$). GDF11 was higher in LEX pre- (Cohen's $d = 0.49$), and post- (Cohen's $d = 0.63$) HIIT compared to SED. HIIT resulted in no change to GDF11 in LEX or SED (Cohen's $d = 0.00-0.03$). Peak power output and GDF11 correlated ($r = 0.603$), independent of grouping. Differences in GDF11 with lifelong exercise training, paired with the correlation between GDF11 and peak power output, suggest GDF11 may be a relevant myostatin-interacting peptide to successful ageing in humans, and strategies to maintain this need to be further explored.

KEYWORDS

Ageing · Exercise · Follistatin · GDF11 · HIIT · Myostatin

RUNNING TITLE

GDF11 is increased in successful ageing

INTRODUCTION

Myostatin (originally growth and differentiation factor 8 [GDF8]) is a pro-catabolic, anti-anabolic peptide hormone that is a central regulator of skeletal muscle mass (Elliott et al., 2012). Secreted by skeletal muscle, myostatin is found in an active unbound (free) form, or bound to its own pro-peptide, or separate peptides such as follistatin, or follistatin-related gene (FLRG; Amthor et al., 2004, Gilson et al., 2009, Hill et al., 2002), each inhibiting its biological function. Myostatin has both paracrine and endocrine effects (Zimmers et al., 2002), although it is the endocrine function which appears key for regulation of muscle mass, due to an observed inverse correlation with muscle mass in humans (Gonzalez-Cadavid et al., 1998). Moreover, inhibition of this endocrine function results in muscle hypertrophy in mice (Whittemore et al., 2003).

Ageing is associated with a progressive loss of muscle mass and associated function (Metter et al., 2002). The rate of loss of muscle mass and function with ageing is noted to differ between individuals, which gave rise to ‘usual’ and ‘successful’ ageing hypothesis (Rowe and Kahn, 1987). A more recent definition of successful ageing being “optimisation of life expectancy while minimising physical and mental deterioration and disability” (Bowling and Dieppe, 2005), a trait that is often seen in life-long masters athletes (Pollock et al., 2015). Whilst the role of myostatin in regulation of muscle mass is well described, there are few data, and no prospective studies to contextualise the influence of myostatin within the ‘cycle of frailty’ that precedes sarcopenia. From the few cross-sectional studies, one observed ~50% higher plasma myostatin in older sedentary (~63-75 years of age) compared with younger healthy (~20-35 years of age) men (Yarasheski et al., 2002). However, this was not replicated in a study of men aged ~22, ~69, and ~76 years of age, regardless of sarcopenic severity (Ratkevicius et al., 2011). Recently, we have observed an inverse association between age

and plasma myostatin in a large group (n = 88) of healthy individuals aged 18-72 years of age (Elliott et al., 2016). Considering the current incomplete understanding concerning the role of myostatin and myostatin-interacting peptides in the ageing process, the pool of evidence needs to be extended.

Growth and differentiation factor 11 (GDF11) is a peptide with similar sequence homology as myostatin, and it is possible that both peptides share similar signalling pathways and biological influence within skeletal muscle. Unlike myostatin however, the expression of GDF11 is not limited to skeletal muscle tissue (Lee and McPherron, 1999, Walker et al., 2016). There also appears to be an indicated role for GDF11 in the ageing process; higher circulating GDF11 in middle-aged mice has been positively associated with longevity and exposure of aged mice to a youthful systemic environment led to restoration of skeletal muscle and hepatic cellular function (Zhou et al., 2016). Similarly, the ageing muscle phenotype is partially offset by provision of recombinant GDF11, as demonstrated by increased grip strength and running endurance in mice (Sinha et al., 2014).

Whilst it remains to be seen whether these findings can be consistently replicated, or indeed translated to the human model of ageing, only a small number of studies that have examined the effects of exercise training on serum myostatin and associated mRNA expression, whilst GDF11 remains unexamined in the human exercise model. Indeed, 2–3 months' resistance training in healthy young individuals resulted in increased muscle mass and decreased muscle mRNA and serum myostatin (Roth et al., 2003, Walker et al., 2004). To the best of these authors' knowledge, no reports on the effect of exercise (in any form) on GDF11 expression currently exists.

99 Recently, high intensity interval training (HIIT) has received much attention due to its
100 physiological and sociological benefits. Indeed, HIIT is noted to be more enjoyable than
101 traditional, continuous training (Thum et al., 2017), has higher compliance in patient
102 populations than continuous training (Shirayev and Barclay, 2012), and is noted to have equal
103 or improved clinical outcomes in a number of ageing-related cardiovascular or metabolic
104 disorders (Cassidy et al., 2016, Ramos et al., 2015). Whilst not optimized for muscle
105 hypertrophy, HIIT improves myofibrillar protein synthesis (Bell et al., 2015), muscle power
106 (Sculthorpe et al., 2017), and fat free mass (FFM) (Herbert et al., 2017) in older males.

107
108 Therefore, in order to progress our understanding of the biological relationship between
109 myostatin and myostatin-interacting peptides with ageing and exercise, the aim of this
110 preliminary study was twofold: 1) To compare resting levels of plasma myostatin and
111 myostatin-interacting peptides between lifelong sedentary (SED) and a positive control group
112 of lifelong exercising (LEX) ageing men, and 2) to examine the influence of 6 weeks' HIIT
113 on plasma myostatin and myostatin-interacting peptides in SED and LEX. We hypothesised
114 that, on enrolment to the study, SED would exhibit higher myostatin, follistatin, free
115 myostatin, and lower GDF11. We further hypothesized that 6 weeks' HIIT would decrease
116 plasma myostatin, follistatin, and free myostatin in SED, and increase GDF11.

METHODS

Participants

Participants provided written informed consent prior to enrolment to a larger study (Hayes et al., 2017, Herbert et al., 2017, Knowles et al., 2015) which was approved by the University of the West of Scotland Ethics Committee (Reference: UEC16_042012/Herbert). Participants were familiarised with experimental procedures and approval to exercise was given by their general practitioner. Subsequently, a subgroup of 24 males were analysed for this pilot investigation. Thirteen males participated in the SED group, whilst 11 males participated in the LEX group (Table 1). Participants in the SED group did not participate in any formal exercise training and had not done so for >30 years. The LEX group were active exercisers and had been so for the previous >30 years. They consisted primarily of current masters competitors in sports including water-polo, triathlon, sprint cycling, road cycling and distance running. For six weeks prior to commencing HIIT training, LEX recorded their normal weekly exercise, which included type, frequency, intensity (recorded by heart rate telemetry), and duration of training. Time spent in low to medium intensity (<65% heart rate reserve [HRR]), and high-intensity (>65% HRR) training totalled $214 \pm 131 \text{ min} \cdot \text{wk}^{-1}$ and $67 \pm 52 \text{ min} \cdot \text{wk}^{-1}$ respectively. Group selection was affirmed by differences in aerobic conditioning (peak oxygen uptake; $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$) between groups (table 1). Participants were tested pre- and post-HIIT at the same time of day, seven weeks apart. Order of measurements was blood sampling, body composition, peak power assessment, and determination of $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$.

Table 1 about here

Blood draws and analysis

Participants arrived at the exercise physiology laboratory between 07.00–09.00 h, following an overnight fast and having abstained from strenuous exercise for a minimum of 48 h. Participants were reminded to maintain standardized conditions prior to each assessment point which included arriving in a hydrated state having abstained from caffeine and alcohol consumption for 36 h. Following 20 min supine rest blood was sampled from the nondominant arm using the standard venepuncture method into sterile serum separator vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson, Rutherford, NJ) that were kept at room temperature in the dark, for 30 min, to allow for clotting, after which samples were centrifuged at 1100 g at 4°C for 15 min. Serum was then extracted, aliquoted, and stored at –80°C until subsequent analysis. Blood samples were collected at the same time of day for each participant to control for biological variation and minimise inter-participant analytical variation.

Concentrations of serum myostatin protein (both total and free fractions) were quantified by ELISA (DGDF80, R&D Systems, UK). Briefly, aliquots of serum were brought to room temperature, before 100 µL of plasma was diluted with 1:4 diluent buffer (free myostatin) or activated with 50 µL HCl (6 mol, 10 minutes at room temperature) for removal of myostatin binding proteins, before neutralization (50 µL of NaOH 6 mol + 1.2 mol HEPES) and dilution with provided diluent buffer (200 µL) to produce a final 1:4 dilution. Recombinant myostatin was used as a standard (33.3–2,000 pg·mL⁻¹). Concentrations of serum follistatin (DFN00, R&D Systems, UK) and serum GDF11 (DY1958, R&D Systems, UK) were quantified by ELISA, per manufacturer's instructions. Recombinant follistatin (250–16,000 pg·mL⁻¹) and GDF11 (15.6 – 1000 pg·mL⁻¹) was used as a standard. Plates were read spectrophotometrically at 450 nm and blanked to 570 nm (VersaMax, Molecular Devices,

USA). Coefficient of variability of standards and samples were 7% and 6%, 6% and 4%, and 4% and 8%, for myostatin follistatin, and GDF11, respectively.

Body composition and performance measures

Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer (Seca, Birmingham, UK), and a multi frequency bioelectrical impedance analyzer (BIA; Tanita MC-180MA Body Composition Analyzer, Tanita UK Ltd.) was used to determine body mass and body composition as described elsewhere (Hayes et al., 2013b). Participant peak power output was assessed using the Herbert 6 s cycle test (Herbert et al., 2015b) and participants' individual values were used to calculate the resistance (40% peak power output) during HIIT. VO_{2peak} was determined by indirect calorimetry as previously described (Knowles et al., 2015).

Exercise training

HIIT sessions were performed once every five days, for six weeks (nine sessions in total) as previously described (Hayes et al., 2017, Herbert et al., 2017, Knowles et al., 2015). Rationale for this programme is provided by our previous work which identified that five days of recovery was required for recovery of peak power output in ageing men (Herbert et al., 2015a). Each session consisted of 6 x 30 s sprints at 40% predefined peak power output interspersed with 3 min active recovery on a cycle ergometer (Wattbike Ltd., Nottingham, UK). Sessions were conducted in groups of between four and six participants and were the sole exercise performed by both groups during this time.

Statistical Analysis

Following confirmation of parametricity by a Shapiro-Wilk test of normality and Levene's test for homogeneity of variance, a mixed (between group [SED, LEX] x within individual

time [pre-HIIT, post-HIIT]) repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for differences in groups and time points with Bonferroni *post-hoc*. Non-parametric data were examined by Fishers exact test, with correction for multiple comparisons by Bonferroni's method. Alpha level was set *a priori* at $p < 0.05$, and effect size for paired comparisons is reported as Cohen's d throughout, interpreted as trivial (<0.2), small (≥ 0.2), moderate (≥ 0.5), and large (≥ 0.8). Parametric data sets are summarized in text as mean [SD], whilst non-parametric are given as median (upper - lower quartile). Figures are presented as grouped dot plots, as recommended by Drummond and Vowler (2012).

RESULTS

Pre-HIIT, SED individuals were heavier ($p = 0.131$, Cohen's $d = 0.66$) with a greater body fat percentage ($p = 0.120$, Cohen's $d = 0.66$) than LEX. SED had a lower VO_{2peak} ($p < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 2.00$), absolute peak power output ($p = 0.036$, Cohen's $d = 0.90$) and relative peak power output (a surrogate for muscle quality; $p = 0.020$, Cohen's $d = 1.08$) than LEX (table 1).

There was no group x time interaction for total myostatin protein ($p = 0.750$), nor was there an effect of group ($p = 0.081$) or time ($p = 0.701$). However, large effect sizes were noted between SED and LEX total myostatin both pre-HITT (4217 [317] $pg \cdot mL^{-1}$ and 3394 [391] $pg \cdot mL^{-1}$ in SED and LEX respectively; Cohen's $d = 2.06$; Figure 1A) and post-HIIT (4163 [337] $pg \cdot mL^{-1}$ and 3678 [438] $pg \cdot mL^{-1}$ in SED and LEX respectively; Cohen's $d = 1.24$). Following HIIT, SED experienced only trivial increases in total myostatin (Cohen's $d = 0.17$) whilst LEX moderately increased total myostatin (Cohen's $d = 0.68$).

In a similar manner to total myostatin, there was no group x time interaction for free myostatin protein ($p = 0.790$), nor and effect of group (0.996) or time ($p = 0.601$). No notable effect size changes were observed for free myostatin pre-HIIT ($1182.0 [372.2]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ and $1159.3 [418.1]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ in SED and LEX respectively; Cohen's $d = 0.06$; Figure 1B) or post-HIIT ($1203.3 [533.3]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ and $1224.5 [404.1]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ in SED and LEX respectively; Cohen's $d = 0.05$). Moreover, neither SED (Cohen's $d = 0.05$) nor LEX (Cohen's $d = 0.16$) had any more than a trivial effect on free myostatin from pre- to post-HIIT.

There was a significant main effect of group ($p = 0.002$), but not time ($p = 0.171$), or a group x time interaction ($p = 0.561$) for serum follistatin. SED follistatin was greater than LEX follistatin pre-HIIT ($2508 [628]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ and $2102 [598]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ in SED and LEX respectively; $p = 0.132$, Cohen's $d = 0.66$). SED follistatin was also greater than LEX follistatin post-HIIT ($3043 [676]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ and $2126 [809]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ in SED and LEX respectively; $p < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 1.22$). The HIIT-induced increase in follistatin was large in SED ($p = 0.011$, Cohen's $d = 0.82$), whilst LEX experienced no change ($p = 0.443$, Cohen's $d = 0.03$).

GDF11 data were examined by Fishers exact test, and presented as median (upper - lower quartile). GDF11 was higher in LEX pre- ($p = 0.012$, Cohen's $d = 0.49$), and post- ($p = 0.009$, Cohen's $d = 0.63$) HIIT compared to SED. HIIT resulted in no change to GDF11 in SED ($70.7 [52.6 - 193.1]$, $77.1 [73.1 - 104.3]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ pre- and post-HIIT respectively; $p = 0.74$, Cohen's $d = 0.03$) or LEX ($272.7 [219.2 - 387.2]$, $305.0 [243.8 - 399.4]$ $\text{pg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ pre- and post-HIIT respectively; $p = 0.72$, Cohen's $d = 0.00$).

242

243

Figure 1 about here

244

245

246 As we have previously reported in a larger cohort (Hayes et al., 2013a), peak power output
247 was higher in LEX individuals relative to SED ($p = 0.036$, Figure 2A). There was no
248 correlation between peak power output and total myostatin ($p = 0.196$, $r = -0.273$), free
249 myostatin ($p = 0.812$, $r = 0.051$), or follistatin ($p = 0.569$, $r = -0.113$). However, strong
250 positive correlations were observed between GDF11 and both absolute peak power output (p
251 $= 0.002$, $r = 0.603$; Figure 2B) and relative peak power output ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.636$; figure
252 2C).

253

254

255 *Figure 2 about here*

DISCUSSION

The main finding of this preliminary study was that SED presented greater concentrations of serum total myostatin and follistatin, and lower concentrations of GDF11, compared with LEX pre-HIIT. Serum follistatin alone responded significantly to HIIT but was confined to the SED group. A notable and novel finding from this study is the observed association between peak power output and GDF11, which has not been previously demonstrated in the human. These data provide preliminary evidence that the role of GDF11 in healthy ageing observed in mice is maintained in humans.

With regards to healthy ageing, our finding that LEX displayed significantly higher GDF11 than SED at baseline is novel and noteworthy. It has been noted that older mice treated with plasma from younger mice show a younger phenotype (Horrington et al., 1960, Lunsford et al., 1963), which has since been partially attributed to GDF11 differences in older and younger mice. In mice, mid-life GDF11 is predictive of longevity (Zhou et al., 2016). Aged mice show a typical ‘older muscle’ phenotype which results in lower muscle volume, endurance, and grip strength relative to young mice. Moreover, treatment with recombinant GDF11 returned grip strength to near young levels, and improved running endurance performance (Sinha et al., 2014). However, it has also been noted that GDF11 may inhibit myoblast differentiation into mature myotubes in a myostatin-like manner (Egerman et al., 2015), perhaps unsurprising, as the myostatin and GDF11 peptide share ~90% homogeneity. It should be further noted that Egerman et al. (2015) used *in vitro* doses of 10-100 ng·mL⁻¹, whilst both their data, and our data reported here, suggests circulating GDF11 in older males is 100-1000 pg·mL⁻¹, an order of magnitude lower in concentration, possibly explaining the disparity of these findings.

281
282 This argument that GDF11 concentration play a role in successful ageing is supported by two
283 separate findings we report here. Firstly, we note GDF11 is significantly higher in LEX than
284 SED, with some overlap between these groups. Further, we note a significant moderate
285 positive correlation between peak power output (both absolute power and relative to FFM)
286 and GDF11, independent of grouping. Whilst our data does not allow us to suggest causality,
287 it is exciting to note this correlative relationship. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first
288 dataset linking successful ageing and improved muscle function in the human with GDF11,
289 and directly links our findings with those of Sinha et al. (2014), that exogenous GDF11
290 protects older mice against ageing- and sedentarism-associated frailty. It is thus tempting to
291 suggest GDF11 plays a similar role in ageing humans, and this hypothesis needs to be further
292 explored with experimental approaches to increase GDF11 expression in humans.

293
294 Circulating myostatin is noted to correlate with lean muscle mass across both healthy and
295 cachexic individuals (Gonzalez-Cadavid et al., 1998). As SED and LEX presented with
296 different body composition at baseline, the moderately lower concentrations of total
297 myostatin in LEX at baseline is understandable. Whilst others have reported decreases in
298 plasma myostatin and gains in muscle mass following resistance exercise (Walker et al.,
299 2004, Saremi et al., 2010), limited research regarding interaction between HIIT and myostatin
300 exists. Pugh et al. (2015) reported reduced muscular myostatin mRNA in healthy individuals
301 2 and 6 hours following a single bout of HIIT (although a different protocol to that employed
302 herein), yet we are the first group to report chronic changes to resting serum myostatin
303 following HIIT. The aim of HIIT is not primarily to build muscle mass, so whilst our HIIT
304 protocol did not significantly alter serum total or free myostatin, expectations of an alteration
305 in this peptide may have been ambitious in the absence of muscle mass alteration.

306
307 Whilst our findings concerning GDF11 are noteworthy, we acknowledge certain limitations
308 of the present investigation. Whilst we attribute differences in GDF11 to life-long activity
309 differences, we acknowledge that we cannot separate how much exercise was required to
310 produce these observed differences. The addition of a moderately active group (meeting
311 physical activity guidelines), would allow for comparison of multiple exercise habits, rather
312 than the two extremes presented here. Moreover, our lack of inactive control group (no HIIT)
313 and relatively small sample size may limit interpretations. The present investigation formed
314 part of a larger research study with other primary outcome variables (Grace et al., 2015,
315 Herbert et al., 2017, Knowles et al., 2015), and therefore only a subset of participants were
316 analysed. As such, our results remain preliminary until the influence of exercise habits on
317 serum GDF11 is investigated with either a large-scale randomized control trial (RCT) or
318 prospective observational trial.

319
320 To date, much attention has been placed on myostatin itself, with alterations in myostatin
321 expression resulting in significant and striking alterations in muscle mass in animal models
322 (Kambadur et al., 1997, Mosher et al., 2007). However, here we show that total myostatin
323 only moderately differs in a model of successful ageing, suggesting the role of myostatin may
324 not be as important in successful ageing as other factors reported here. Instead, greater focus
325 may need to be placed on these myostatin-interacting factors, as we showed follistatin was
326 lower, and GDF11 was higher in our LEX model of successful ageing. Further, the
327 correlation between GDF11 and muscle quality is exciting, and may suggest a protective role
328 of GDF11 against ageing-associated muscular frailty in the human.

LITERATURE CITED

- AMTHOR, H., NICHOLAS, G., MCKINNELL, I., KEMP, C. F., SHARMA, M., KAMBADUR, R. & PATEL, K. 2004. *Follistatin complexes Myostatin and antagonises Myostatin-mediated inhibition of myogenesis. Dev Biol*, 270, 19-30.
- BELL, K. E., SEGUIN, C., PARISE, G., BAKER, S. K. & PHILLIPS, S. M. 2015. *Day-to-Day Changes in Muscle Protein Synthesis in Recovery From Resistance, Aerobic, and High-Intensity Interval Exercise in Older Men. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 70, 1024-9.
- BOWLING, A. & DIEPPE, P. 2005. *What is successful ageing and who should define it? BMJ*, 331, 1548-51.
- CASSIDY, S., THOMA, C., HALLSWORTH, K., PARIKH, J., HOLLINGSWORTH, K. G., TAYLOR, R., JAKOVLJEVIC, D. G. & TRENELL, M. I. 2016. *High intensity intermittent exercise improves cardiac structure and function and reduces liver fat in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia*, 59, 56-66.
- DRUMMOND, G. B. & VOWLER, S. L. 2012. *Do as you would be done by: write as you would wish to read. J Physiol*, 590, 6251-4.
- EGERMAN, M. A., CADENA, S. M., GILBERT, J. A., MEYER, A., NELSON, H. N., SWALLEY, S. E., MALLOZZI, C., JACOBI, C., JENNINGS, L. L., CLAY, I., LAURENT, G., MA, S., BRACHAT, S., LACH-TRIFILIEFF, E., SHAVLAKADZE, T., TRENDELENBURG, A. U., BRACK, A. S. & GLASS, D. J. 2015. *GDF11 Increases with Age and Inhibits Skeletal Muscle Regeneration. Cell Metab*, 22, 164-74.
- ELLIOTT, B., RENSHAW, D., GETTING, S. & MACKENZIE, R. 2012. *The central role of myostatin in skeletal muscle and whole body homeostasis. Acta Physiol (Oxf)*, 205, 324-40.

354 ELLIOTT, B., SHINWARI, Z., ALTAYAR, Z., BARRIOS, L., CHAUDHARY, G., HANIFA, E.,
 355 PARNELL, M., XENOFONTOS, T., SCULTHORPE, N. & HERBERT, P. *Circulating*
 356 *myostatin is reduced with aging in humans but not altered by short-term, high*
 357 *intensity training. Proc Physiol Soc 37, 2016. The Physiological Society.*
 358 GILSON, H., SCHAKMAN, O., KALISTA, S., LAUSE, P., TSUCHIDA, K. & THISSEN, J. P.
 359 2009. *Follistatin induces muscle hypertrophy through satellite cell proliferation and*
 360 *inhibition of both myostatin and activin. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 297, E157-*
 361 *64.*
 362 GONZALEZ-CADAVID, N. F., TAYLOR, W. E., YARASHESKI, K., SINHA-HIKIM, I., MA,
 363 K., EZZAT, S., SHEN, R., LALANI, R., ASA, S., MAMITA, M., NAIR, G., ARVER, S. &
 364 BHASIN, S. 1998. *Organization of the human myostatin gene and expression in*
 365 *healthy men and HIV-infected men with muscle wasting. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,*
 366 *95, 14938-43.*
 367 GRACE, F. M., HERBERT, P., RATCLIFFE, J. W., NEW, K. J., BAKER, J. S. &
 368 SCULTHORPE, N. F. 2015. *Age related vascular endothelial function following*
 369 *lifelong sedentariness: positive impact of cardiovascular conditioning without further*
 370 *improvement following low frequency high intensity interval training. Physiol Rep, 3.*
 371 HAYES, L., HERBERT, P., SCULTHORPE, N. & GRACE, F. 2017. *Exercise training*
 372 *improves free testosterone in lifelong sedentary aging men. Endocr Connect.*
 373 HAYES, L. D., GRACE, F. M., SCULTHORPE, N., HERBERT, P., KILDUFF, L. P. &
 374 BAKER, J. S. 2013a. *Does chronic exercise attenuate age-related physiological*
 375 *decline in males? Res Sports Med, 21, 343-54.*
 376 HAYES, L. D., GRACE, F. M., SCULTHORPE, N., HERBERT, P., RATCLIFFE, J. W.,
 377 KILDUFF, L. P. & BAKER, J. S. 2013b. *The effects of a formal exercise training*

programme on salivary hormone concentrations and body composition in previously
sedentary aging men. *Springerplus*, 2, 18.

HERBERT, P., GRACE, F. M. & SCULTHORPE, N. F. 2015a. Exercising caution:
prolonged recovery from a single session of high-intensity interval training in older
men. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 63, 817-8.

HERBERT, P., HAYES, L. D., SCULTHORPE, N. & GRACE, F. M. 2017. High-intensity
interval training (HIIT) increases insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) in sedentary
aging men but not masters' athletes: an observational study. *Aging Male*, 20, 54-59.

HERBERT, P., SCULTHORPE, N., BAKER, J. S. & GRACE, F. M. 2015b. Validation of a six
second cycle test for the determination of peak power output. *Res Sports Med*, 23,
115-25.

HILL, J. J., DAVIES, M. V., PEARSON, A. A., WANG, J. H., HEWICK, R. M., WOLFMAN,
N. M. & QIU, Y. 2002. The myostatin propeptide and the follistatin-related gene are
inhibitory binding proteins of myostatin in normal serum. *J Biol Chem*, 277, 40735-
41.

HORRINGTON, E. M., POPE, F., LUNSFORD, W. & MC, C. C. 1960. Age changes in the
bones, blood pressure, and diseases of rats in parabiosis. *Gerontologia*, 4, 21-31.

KAMBADUR, R., SHARMA, M., SMITH, T. P. & BASS, J. J. 1997. Mutations in myostatin
(GDF8) in double-muscled Belgian Blue and Piedmontese cattle. *Genome Res*, 7,
910-6.

KNOWLES, A. M., HERBERT, P., EASTON, C., SCULTHORPE, N. & GRACE, F. M. 2015.
Impact of low-volume, high-intensity interval training on maximal aerobic capacity,
health-related quality of life and motivation to exercise in ageing men. *Age (Dordr)*,
37, 25.

402 LEE, S. J. & MCPHERRON, A. C. 1999. Myostatin and the control of skeletal muscle mass.
 403 *Curr Opin Genet Dev*, 9, 604-7.

404 LUNSFORD, W. R., MC, C. C., LUPIEN, P. J., POPE, F. E. & SPERLING, G. 1963.
 405 *Parabiosis as a method for studying factors which affect aging in rats. Gerontologia*,
 406 7, 1-8.

407 METTER, E. J., TALBOT, L. A., SCHRAGER, M. & CONWIT, R. 2002. Skeletal muscle
 408 strength as a predictor of all-cause mortality in healthy men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci*
 409 *Med Sci*, 57, B359-65.

410 MOSHER, D. S., QUIGNON, P., BUSTAMANTE, C. D., SUTTER, N. B., MELLERSH, C. S.,
 411 PARKER, H. G. & OSTRANDER, E. A. 2007. A mutation in the myostatin gene
 412 increases muscle mass and enhances racing performance in heterozygote dogs. *PLoS*
 413 *Genet*, 3, e79.

414 POLLOCK, R. D., CARTER, S., VELLOSO, C. P., DUGGAL, N. A., LORD, J. M., LAZARUS,
 415 N. R. & HARRIDGE, S. D. 2015. An investigation into the relationship between age
 416 and physiological function in highly active older adults. *J Physiol*, 593, 657-80;
 417 discussion 680.

418 PUGH, J. K., FAULKNER, S. H., JACKSON, A. P., KING, J. A. & NIMMO, M. A. 2015.
 419 Acute molecular responses to concurrent resistance and high-intensity interval
 420 exercise in untrained skeletal muscle. *Physiol Rep*, 3.

421 RAMOS, J. S., DALLECK, L. C., TJONNA, A. E., BEETHAM, K. S. & COOMBES, J. S. 2015.
 422 The impact of high-intensity interval training versus moderate-intensity continuous
 423 training on vascular function: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med*, 45,
 424 679-92.

425 RATKEVICIUS, A., JOYSON, A., SELMER, I., DHANANI, T., GRIERSON, C., TOMMASI, A.
 426 M., DEVRIES, A., RAUCHHAUS, P., CROWTHER, D., ALESCI, S., YAWORSKY, P.,

GILBERT, F., REDPATH, T. W., BRADY, J., FEARON, K. C., REID, D. M., GREIG, C. A. & WACKERHAGE, H. 2011. Serum concentrations of myostatin and myostatin-interacting proteins do not differ between young and sarcopenic elderly men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 66, 620-6.

ROTH, S. M., MARTEL, G. F., FERRELL, R. E., METTER, E. J., HURLEY, B. F. & ROGERS, M. A. 2003. Myostatin gene expression is reduced in humans with heavy-resistance strength training: a brief communication. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*, 228, 706-9.

ROWE, J. W. & KAHN, R. L. 1987. Human aging: usual and successful. *Science*, 237, 143-9.

SAREMI, A., GHARAKHANLOO, R., SHARGHI, S., GHARAATI, M. R., LARIJANI, B. & OMIDFAR, K. 2010. Effects of oral creatine and resistance training on serum myostatin and GASP-1. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*, 317, 25-30.

SCULTHORPE, N. F., HERBERT, P. & GRACE, F. 2017. One session of high-intensity interval training (HIIT) every 5 days, improves muscle power but not static balance in lifelong sedentary ageing men: A randomized controlled trial. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 96, e6040.

SHIRAEV, T. & BARCLAY, G. 2012. Evidence based exercise - clinical benefits of high intensity interval training. *Aust Fam Physician*, 41, 960-2.

SINHA, M., JANG, Y. C., OH, J., KHONG, D., WU, E. Y., MANOHAR, R., MILLER, C., REGALADO, S. G., LOFFREDO, F. S., PANCOAST, J. R., HIRSHMAN, M. F., LEBOWITZ, J., SHADRACH, J. L., CERLETTI, M., KIM, M. J., SERWOLD, T., GOODYEAR, L. J., ROSNER, B., LEE, R. T. & WAGERS, A. J. 2014. Restoring systemic GDF11 levels reverses age-related dysfunction in mouse skeletal muscle. *Science*, 344, 649-52.

- THUM, J. S., PARSONS, G., WHITTLE, T. & ASTORINO, T. A. 2017. *High-Intensity Interval Training Elicits Higher Enjoyment than Moderate Intensity Continuous Exercise. PLoS One, 12, e0166299.*
- WALKER, K. S., KAMBADUR, R., SHARMA, M. & SMITH, H. K. 2004. *Resistance training alters plasma myostatin but not IGF-1 in healthy men. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 36, 787-93.*
- WALKER, R. G., POGGIOLI, T., KATSIMPARDI, L., BUCHANAN, S. M., OH, J., WATTRUS, S., HEIDECKER, B., FONG, Y. W., RUBIN, L. L., GANZ, P., THOMPSON, T. B., WAGERS, A. J. & LEE, R. T. 2016. *Biochemistry and Biology of GDF11 and Myostatin: Similarities, Differences, and Questions for Future Investigation. Circ Res, 118, 1125-41; discussion 1142.*
- WHITTEMORE, L. A., SONG, K., LI, X., AGHAJANIAN, J., DAVIES, M., GIRGENRATH, S., HILL, J. J., JALENAK, M., KELLEY, P., KNIGHT, A., MAYLOR, R., O'HARA, D., PEARSON, A., QUAZI, A., RYERSON, S., TAN, X. Y., TOMKINSON, K. N., VELDMAN, G. M., WIDOM, A., WRIGHT, J. F., WUDYKA, S., ZHAO, L. & WOLFMAN, N. M. 2003. *Inhibition of myostatin in adult mice increases skeletal muscle mass and strength. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 300, 965-71.*
- YARASHESKI, K. E., BHASIN, S., SINHA-HIKIM, I., PAK-LODUCA, J. & GONZALEZ-CADAVID, N. F. 2002. *Serum myostatin-immunoreactive protein is increased in 60-92 year old women and men with muscle wasting. J Nutr Health Aging, 6, 343-8.*
- ZHOU, Y., JIANG, Z., HARRIS, E. C., REEVES, J., CHEN, X. & PAZDRO, R. 2016. *Circulating Concentrations of Growth Differentiation Factor 11 Are Heritable and Correlate With Life Span. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 71, 1560-1563.*
- ZIMMERS, T. A., DAVIES, M. V., KONIARIS, L. G., HAYNES, P., ESQUELA, A. F., TOMKINSON, K. N., MCPHERRON, A. C., WOLFMAN, N. M. & LEE, S. J. 2002.

476 *Induction of cachexia in mice by systemically administered myostatin. Science, 296,*
477 *1486-8.*

478

479

480 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

481 Disclosure statement

482 The authors have no conflicts of interests

483 Funding

484 BE is supported by a Society for Endocrinology Early Career Grant.

485

486

MANUSCRIPT TABLES

Table 1: Participant anthropometric and performance parameters on enrolment to the investigation in lifelong sedentary (SED), and lifelong exercising (LEX), older males. Data presented as mean [SD].

	SED (n=13)	LEX (n=11)
Age (years)	64 [6]	62 [6]
Stature (cm)	174 [6]	174 [6]
Body mass (kg)	91 [19]	80 [12]
Body fat (%)	24 [16]	16 [6]
FFM (kg)	66 [6]	66 [7]
Peak oxygen uptake ($\text{ml} \cdot \text{kg} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$)	28 [6]	40 [7]*
Peak power output (W)	663 [147]	831 [221]*
Peak power output ($\text{W} \cdot \text{kg FFM}^{-1}$)	10 [2]	12 [2]*

*Denotes significantly different than SED ($p < 0.05$). FFM = fat free mass