

Kinkead-Clark, Zoyah and Hardacre, Charlotte ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3155-4132 (2016) Using cross-cultural conversations to contextualize understandings of play: a multinational study. Early Child Development and Care, 187 (5-6). pp. 935-945.

Downloaded from: https://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/2862/

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available <a href="here">here</a>) for educational and not-for-profit activities

# provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
  - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

#### You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found here.

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing <a href="mailto:insight@cumbria.ac.uk">insight@cumbria.ac.uk</a>.

# **Early Child Development and Care**



# Using cross cultural conversation to contextualise understandings of play; a multinational study

Journal:	Early Child Development and Care	
Manuscript ID	GECD-2016-0165	
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue Article	
Keywords:	Play,, ethnomethodology, cross cultural discourse, conceptualisation of children's play	



URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/gecd

# Using cross cultural conversation to contextualise understandings of play; a multinational study

The following study, framed within an ethnographic methodology, examines researcher perspectives on play in the lives of children from diverse cultural contexts. Two questions guided this study; 1) how do researchers conceptualise children's play and 2) what shapes their understanding of play. In order to answer these questions a critical discourse was established between two researchers who had each completed ethnographic studies of play in the UK and Jamaica. The initial research studies comprised of observations, semi-structured interviews, field notes and collection of artefacts relating to play. Through discourse, new understandings were unearthed by examining the different contexts of play. The aim of this study is to contextualise our understanding of play and to expand our notions of play beyond researcher positionalities. This discursive method allows concepts of play to be grounded, but not restricted by national contexts through juxtaposition with multinational policies, programmes and practices.

#### Introduction

Children's engagement and use of play have long been explored within the research sphere. Multidisciplinary, interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary attempts at interrogating this issue have been grounded in the goal of achieving greater breadth and depth of knowledge of the factors shaping children's play, while simultaneously removing professional boundaries, which often serve as limitations and hindrances in the research process (Choi & Pak, 2007). Parten (1933), a psychologist, focussed on children's social play at home and in the wider community, Veitch, et al, (2006), researchers in health and nutrition, examined the spaces in which children's play and how parents perceived it, while Rubin (1977), a human development expert, focussed on describing the behaviours children exhibit during play. Studies such as these, among others, have added great richness to the field and have contributed significantly to the body of literature guiding our understanding of play as not merely for pleasure, but more so because of its benefits in supporting children's cognitive, socio-emotional and affective development.

The value of studies on children's play play cannot be overemphasised. After all, according to Gusso and Carvalho (2013), play is a "a basic human motivation and a locus of individual development and of culture assimilation and construction leads to a particular view on childhood and early education (2013, p.1)". The powerful influences

of play have gained steady global acceptance however, it has been overwhelmingly evident that the majority of these studies have emerged from researchers from dominant- hegemonic perspectives, (Europe, North-America and Australasia). As two researchers, one (from Jamaica and the other from the UK) who have also conducted ethnographic research on children's play, we were very interested in how, we have been shaped by our positionalities and how these influence our perspectives and our interpretation of children's play within our own research contexts.

In the following study, framed within ethnographic methodology, we draw on data derived from our engagement in critical discourse to "unplug" how we have been influenced by our individual positionalities and to assess how these have guided our interpretation of children's play. Our primary aim is to contextualise our understanding of play and to expand our notions of play beyond researcher positionalities.

# Researchers' positionalities

The nature of our discourse is grounded in reflexivity. Our aim is simple. As suggested by England (1998) we seek to rise above the notion of reflexivity as "a confession to salacious indiscretions...but rather [to see this] as an opportunity [for] self-critical, sympathetic introspection and the self conscious analytical scrutiny of the self as researchers (p244)". Through this, we see this as opening ourselves, our lives and our experiences to unveil the factors we believe have shaped our positionalities and that we believe have formed the lens through which we look at our research, our research contexts and the co-constructors we work with.

Bearing in mind the aforementioned, as researchers, from different disciplines, engaged in this reflexive process, it was important that we examine our positionalities because we recognise that the positions from which we come are powerful (Skelton, 2001; England, 1998; Merriam et al 2001). Rightly so, in this regard, because as Skelton (2001) explains, our experiences "... have a bearing upon who we are, how our identities are formed and how we do our research; we are not neutral, scientific observers, untouched by the emotional and political contexts of places where we do our research."

For mere expediency we have found it quite useful to outline our positionalities in tabular format.

Table 1. Researchers' positionalities.

Zoyah	Charlotte
Wife, Mother, Educator	Lecturer, Practitioner, Aunt
Former kindergarten teacher, now an Early Childhood teacher educator	Family Learning Tutor
Middle income background	Middle income background
Jamaican of African descent	English of European descent
From a country labelled as developing with minimal visibility in research studies on ECE	From a country labelled as developed and highly visible in research studies on ECE
Most research conducted in communities labelled as disadvantaged/inner-city	Most research conducted in communities labelled as disadvantaged/inner-city. Notable segregation of ethnic minority groups in northwest meaning that some settings have high numbers of children from Pakistan and Bangladesh and others are almost exclusively white British.

# A scoping review of play from a multi-cultural perspective

For this article, we have found it important to present a brief meta-analysis of studies conducted about children's play. Attempts were made to present a multi-cultural perspective of such research studies.

## Understanding children's play from a cross-cultural perspective

Several studies have sought to outline cross-cultural perspectives of children's play and to understand how adults, more specifically parents, support children in this activity. Research has been fairly balanced in outlining the impact of culture or lack thereof, on shaping children's play. For instance, Faver and Lee-Shin's (2000) study of Korean American mothers highlighted the role of acculturation in changing dominant parent practices and beliefs in supporting children's play while, Göncü, Mistry & Mosier's (2000) study (conducted in the United States, Turkey, Guatemala and India) described how toddlers' play vary across cultures. Their findings suggest that though all children engage in social play, the frequency of this play differs.

Perhaps one of the most ground-breaking studies which initially sought to explore this issue from a cross cultural perspective was Whiting's (1963) Six Cultures Study, conducted in six countries; Kenya, India, Japan, Mexico, the United States and the Philippines. Though the primary aim of this study was to describe how child rearing practices across different cultures had an impact on "subsequent differences in children's personalities", one of the findings that was unearthed was how parents engagement in play activities with their children differed across cultural lines. Subsequent studies have also affirmed these different parental approaches. Carvalhlo et al's (2003) exploration of children's play in Brazil outline that for Brazilian children, play differs along gender, geographical and socio-economic lines. Bornstein et al's (1999) study, in a similar vein, also explored this issue. In their research which compared how mothers from the United States and Argentina engaged in various forms of play with their toddler children. Similar to Carvalhlo et al (2003) the authors suggest that differences emerge and along cultural and gender lines. They too highlight that Argentine mothers' had more frequent play experiences with their children than their American counterpart. Interestingly enough, both sets of mothers played with their sons differently from how they played with their daughters.

Another study which explored cultural differences in play was that of Edwards (2000) who drew on Whiting's (1963) Six Cultures study. According to Edwards, at the more visible level, culture shapes play because it influences whether or not adults support and encourage children's play, whether or not they design the environment in ways conducive to play and whether or not they supply the resources to facilitate children's engagement in various types of play. Hyun and Choi's (2004) examination of "gender doing and gender-bending" in children's play also support this. In their comparative study of American and South Korean children, the authors suggest that children from South Korea, unlike their North American counterpart, are "uncomfortable" with play that requires them to usurp perceived gender norms. These findings highlight that children learn very quickly the dominant social and cultural norms of their contexts and are mindful of them as they play.

Another study which also compares South Korean and North American children highlights little difference exists in their play. Farver, Kim and Lee-Shin's (2000) study, specifically focusing on pretend play in Korean American and European American children concludes that minimal difference can be seen in the play of the two groups of

children from distinct cultural backgrounds. Several factors may account for this. For instance, these findings support Faver and Lee-Shin's (2000) study about the role of acculturation in guiding parents practices about play. In extension, the authors outline that other behaviours supported by parents facilitate the minimal differences in children's behaviour.

# Parental beliefs about play; what shapes this?

Literature has documented much about parental beliefs about play and how this shapes children's play. Interest in this aspect in particularly important because social mores, values and practices are primarily transmitted within homes and communities and it is within these environments that children learn how to respond to, and, fit in with the prevailing cultures. The aforementioned studies of Hyun and Choi's (2004) and Bornstein et al (1999) serve as examples of how parents can influence how their children play, the types of play their engage in and their children's willingness or not to push dominant cultural and social norms in their play. Gaskins, Haight and Lancy (2007), refer to these as the three cultural variations on parents' acceptance of play and the value of it to children. These are 'Culturally curtailed play', 'Culturally accepted play' and 'Culturally cultivated play'.

- 1. 'Culturally curtailed play' refers to the practice of discouraging children from playing. Within the Caribbean, especially in poorer communities, parents curtail children's play because they see little value in the activity.
- 2. 'Culturally cultivated play', as the name suggests refers to cultures that support and encourage children's play. In such cultures, parents engage in play with their children because they are cognisant of the significant benefits of it. This typically occurs in wealthier and more educated families in the Caribbean. This is also typical in more developed countries such as North America and some European countries.
- 3. 'Culturally accepted play' refers to cultures where play is tolerated but not supported. In such cultures, parents do not recognise the value of play but accept children play for fun or to pass time. Parents in this context refrain from engaging in play with their children.

Carvalhlo et al (2003), Göncü, Mistry & Mosier (2000) and Gosso & Carvalhlo's studies all serve as examples of three cultural variations on parents' acceptance of play. For instance Göncü, Mistry & Mosier's (2000) study concluded that American and Turkish parents think of themselves as play partners with their children and encouraged frequent moments where they played with their children. Parmar, Harkness, & Super's (2004) study also confirms this as it relates to European American parents. In their study of Asian and European American parents beliefs about play and how this shapes children's behaviours at home and school, their findings suggest that Asian parents saw very little value of play in supporting their children's development and as such did not encourage children's engagement in this activity. This has also been a similar practice within most Caribbean communities.

Findings from Roopnarine & Jin (2012), Leo-Rhynie (1997), Grantham-McGregor et al (1983), Barrow (2008) and Author outline that within the English speaking Caribbean, there is great reluctance to accept play as a valuable activity which supports children's development; intellectually, cognitively, socially and physically. This is particularly the case for families from lower income communities.

Similar to Asian families, as highlighted by Parmar, Harkness, & Super's (2004) study, Caribbean parents predominantly encourage their children to develop robust academic skills because they see moments of play as time which could otherwise have been used for meaningful, intellectually stimulating activities. As Barrow (2008) explains, in these communities, play is considered to be wasteful, unproductive and a "distraction and a potential problem... making children and their homes dirty and untidy".

As is the case in many other cultures, data suggests there is a strong correlation between parent socio-economic and educational backgrounds and their perspectives about play. According to Roopnarine and Davidson (2015), Tamis-Lemonda et al (2012), Veitch, et al (2006), parents from homes with less capital, are less likely to engage in play with their children. Undoubtedly factors such as high levels of stress, other home and parenting responsibilities and job obligations among other factors account for this.

#### Methodology

# Data collection and Analysis

To gather the data for this ethnomethodological study, we engaged in four cross cultural conversations (one face to face and three via Skype) about our individual research on play. Each critical discussion was guided by one of our research questions;

- 1) How do we conceptualise children's play?
- 2) What shapes our understanding of play?

Each of our conversation sessions lasted for approximately 30 minutes. During these sessions we discussed several issues germane to our understanding of how we perceive play, factors shaping play within our research contexts and how these influenced us. In order to achieve this, we drew on; our previous research, our research methodology, how we accessed our data, the contexts of our research, and how our positionalities (both life experiences and the contexts in which we were situated) influenced our conceptualisation and understanding of play.

Through use of Grounded Theory, we were able to elicit dominant themes emerging from our conversations. Grounded Theory, is an inductive qualitative research method which allow themes to evolve from the data rather than from literature. This approach was specifically useful as it allowed us to take advantage of the "theoretical sensitivity" which gave us the opportunity to have frequent encounters with our data through "stepping back" every now and again once new lines of inquiry were revealed (Glaser, 1978; Strauss & Corbin, 1998; Hoare, 2012).

#### **Findings**

Our conversations revealed several underlying factors which influenced our understandings of play. Three dominant themes emerged from our conversations;

- 1. Changing lives, changing perspectives
- 2. Dominant positions
- 3. The gap; play interrupted by policy, practice and cultural norms?

#### Changing lives, changing perspectives

Throughout our conversations, a dominant construct we frequently referred to was how we approached our research. It was quite evident that our perspectives of our research about children's play evolved as we experienced significant change in our lives. These

changes in our positionalities were significant because they transformed the lens through which we examined our research settings, how we understood our coconstructors behaviours and actions, and how we made meaning of their play. Changing lives came through a range of new experiences. Whether through increased experience as researchers, opportunities to conduct research in other countries, contexts and cultures dissimilar to ours, or even changes in our personal lives, all these shifts modified how we looked at and approached our research.

For instance, in one of our conversations we spoke of the changes in our approach to our research when we reflected on our lives as parents and aunts. These changes were great and had a significant impact on how we viewed our co-constructors. In one such case, Zoyah shared;

"... when I became a parent my interpretation of children's play changed. Through new eyes I was able to truly understand or perhaps justify why children reacted to situations in the way they do. I was also able to look at my co-constructors and see similarities in my children's behaviours... before I became a mother I never once thought of these things..."

#### Dominant positions

" Zoyah has to look at (literature on play) from a best fits approach. For me it's familiar."

Though we understood prior to commencing our conversations that we came from different positions and had diverse experiences in our individual research studies, as we became more engaged in our discourse, we recognised that, while dissimilar, we also had much in common. In one sense we recognised that we had a commonality as two female researchers exploring an issue which has been historically dominated by male researchers, however we also recognised we were dissimilar in that we came from dominant and non-dominant research cultures and this impacted how we approached and understood the value of our research.

As female researchers, we recognised that our positionalities as mother and aunt undergirded our feminist approach to our research. As Zoyah explained, in one of our conversations, there was the recognition that her role of mother influenced how she understood the behaviours and actions of her co-constructors.

Through reflexive practice, as we sought to situate our research, and interrogate our identities our understanding of our play recognised the significance of our gender and the impact it had on how she approached her research. For instances in Zoyah's

case, as "an female outsider, invading the protected spaces" of her co-constructors commonsense had to be used. It is well known that Jamaica's inner-city communities can be some of the most dangerous spaces for women. In this case,

"... as a woman, I had to take into consideration my safety. I had to look at the physical risk I was putting myself in... in some instances, I entered spaces that I knew I had to leave before the sun went down....commonsense had to be used... not because of the risks from my coconstructors but because of the communities in which they lived. There were a couple occasions when I had to ask my husband to be my chauffer and if needed my body guard ... In some way, this served as a lesson for the struggles of my co-constructors of the risks they faced as they played. In some sense my experienced helped me as a researcher. Frequently conducting research in some of Jamaica's most volatile inner-city communities served as a reminder of what my co-constructors' lives are like on a daily basis."

Another issue that emerged throughout our discussions, was our frequent reference to the different contexts and cultures from which we emerged and how these broadly influenced our research, the issues we explored and the lens through which we viewed our co-constructors. We understood that we were representatives of dominant (Charlotte) and non-dominant (Zoyah) research voices. Dominant in the that sense that much of the research about children's play comes from a European perspective and non-dominant in the sense that very little research about play has emerged from a Jamaica perspective.

This occurrence had implications for how we approached our research. For one of us (Charlotte, from the UK) the research is relatable. In our conversation about extant research on play Charlotte shared; "literature about play is very familiar to me...I can relate to it more...it makes sense". In direct contradiction to this (Zoyah, from Jamaica) shared;

"I come from a context where there really is a dearth of literature germane to the Jamaican context ... most times literature is very unreflective and in some instances irrelevant to my context. When I read about children's play and issues about play being at risk... I can't relate to it... Jamaican children still play. In authentic settings at home and in their communities, they play with sticks, they play in the streets... my experience is very different from what research alludes to.

The gap; play interrupted by policy, practice and cultural norms?

"Adults feel the need to dictate what children's play should looks like." (Charlotte, Personal Communication)

An interesting finding that we unearthed though our discussions was the gap that existed between policy and practice/rhetoric and reality in our individual contexts. We addressed how play, especially at school, the home and in the wider community had become influenced by "political correctness" and notions of good play, appropriate play, constructive play and safe play.

Notions of what adults believe children's play should look like have begun to affect the authenticity of this natural childhood activity. We discussed how play is viewed from a Jamaican perspective and focussed on how prevailing cultural notions of play as being unconstructive, "romping" contradicted the dominant British perceptive of play as a valuable activity all children were encouraged to participate in. As Charlotte explained, "We [The Government] have done a good job of selling to parents (in England) the value of play,. They understand its importance, however, it is frequently the case where adults; teachers and parents, feel the need to dictate what children's play should look like."

From a Jamaican point of view, adult interruptions of play frequently hinge on gender appropriateness. Within the Jamaican context, there still remains rigid adherence to "boy play" and "girl play". Boys are often reprimanded and forbidden from engaging in play experiences that replicates or closely resembles stereotypical girl behaviours. In such situations, boys may be punished, scolded, or even have toys taken away.

Girls too face similar condemnation. Historically, it was often the case where rough and tumble play was considered only for boys. In fact, it was frequently the case where boys were the ones who were allowed to play, while girls had to remain indoors and help with housekeeping chores. Truth be told, in many families, it still exists where girls are forbidden from engaging in play which could result in their clothes getting dirty, their skin being bruised or sadly, playing in the sun where their skin would be tanned.

In Jamaica, in direct contradiction to what predominantly obtains in the UK, is the fact that play is not necessarily seen as a valuable childhood pastime, for this reason, children's play is interrupted by cultural and historical perceptions of rightness and appropriateness and acceptability.

## **Discussion and implications**

The primary aim of this study was to examine researcher perspectives on play in the lives of children from diverse cultural contexts. Through use of cross-cultural discourse, we were able to acquire some understanding of each other's approach, interpretation and conceptualisation of play. This discursive method draws attention to the need for concepts of play to be grounded, but not restricted by national contexts through juxtaposition with multinational policies, programmes and practices.

Our conversations elicited three dominant themes:

- 1. Changing lives, changing perspectives
- 2. Dominant positions
- 3. The gap; play interrupted by policy, practice and cultural norms?

Our findings confirm dominant research findings which highlight the influence of researcher positionalities on their interpretation, contextualisation and of their research(Skelton, 2001; England, 1998; Merriam et al 2001). Our rich discourse about extant literature on play elicited substantive feedback on privileged researcher hegemony and how non-dominant communities, such as Jamaica, and dare we say many of the other developing countries, struggle with a "best fits approach". Tantamount to a Cinderella approach, in many instances researchers, from such non-dominant contexts have to siphon through the research from more prolific and dominant voices on children's play to find literature that fits or closely resembles what refers to their context.

Our findings also highlight the risk factors of children's play. Similar to the findings of Farver, Kim and Lee-Shin's (2000), Parmar, Harkness, & Super's (2004) Roopnarine & Jin (2012), Leo-Rhynie (1997), Hyun & Dong (2004), Grantham-McGregor et al (1983), Barrow (2008) and Author studies on play (conducted among European, European –American and Caribbean families) we were able to highlight differences in socio-cultural practices and how these disrupt the naturalness of children's play.

Undoubtedly, as both our societies become "less safe" for children, there is growing need for adults to dictate when and how children ought to play. From Charlotte's British perceptive, fear of accidents, increased use of technology and other social dangers has significantly limited the time children play outside. Interestingly, this also obtains in however in Zoyah's context, Jamaica, the insidious impact on crime has weaselled its way into many of Jamaica's communities and has interrupted the spaces and time when and where children play.

#### Conclusion

This article highlights the findings of a grassroots conversation between two researchers who have conducted research about children's play. Our overarching aim was to highlight the gap between policy and practice/rhetoric and reality and to find ways to encourage children's authentic play whilst being true to our values as educators and researchers. As two researchers, who have conducted research about children's play, we sought to contextualise our understanding of play and to expand our notions of play beyond researcher positionalities and to embrace cross cultural.

Our findings unearth the need for researchers to interrogate their own identities and to examine the "baggage" the take with them into their research settings. Though the findings of this study are not generalisable they provide fodder for other researchers to consider how they make meaning of their studies and to consider how concepts of play can be grounded, but not restricted by national contexts.

#### References

Barrow, C. (2008). *Early Childhood in the Caribbean.Working Papers in Early Childhood Development, No. 47*.Bernard van Leer Foundation. PO Box 82334, 2508 EH, The Hague, The Netherlands.

Bornstein, M., Haynes, O., Pascual, L., Painter, K., & Galperín, C. (1999). Play in Two Societies: Pervasiveness of Process, Specificity of Structure. *Child Development*, 70(2), 317-331.

- Carvalho AMA, Magalhães CMC, Pontes FAR, Bichara, ID, eds. Brincadeira e cultura:

  Viajando pelo Brazil que brinca [Play and Culture: a Travel through Brazil at Play].

  São Paulo, SP: Casa do Psicólogo; 2003.
- Choi, B. C., & Pak, A. W. (2007). Multidisciplinarity, interdisciplinarity, and transdisciplinarity in health research, services, education and policy: 2. Promotors, barriers, and strategies of enhancement. *Clinical & Investigative Medicine*, *30*(6), 224-232.
- England, K. V. L. (1994). Getting personal: Reflexivity, positionality, and feminist research. *The Professional Geographer*, 46(1), 80-89.
- Edwards, C. P. (2000). "Children's Play in Cross-Cultural Perspective: A New Look at the Six Cultures Study." *Cross-Cultural Research* 34:318–338.
- Farver, J. A. M., & Lee-Shin, Y. (2000). Acculturation and Korean-American children's social and play behavior. *Social Development*, 9(3), 316-336.
- Farver, J. A. M., Kim, Y. K., & Lee-Shin, Y. (2000). Within cultural differences examining individual differences in Korean American and European American preschoolers' social pretend play. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, *31*(5), 583-602.
- Gaskins, S., Haight, W. and Lancy, D.F. (2007). The cultural construction of play. In A. Göncü and S. Gaskins (eds) Play and Development: Evolutionary, Sociocultural and Functional Perspectives. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Glaser, B. G. (1978). *Theoretical sensitivity: Advances in the methodology of grounded theory*. Sociology Press.
- Grantham-McGregor, Sally, Jacqueline Landman, and Patricia Desai. 1983. "Child Rearing in Poor Urban Jamaica." Child: Care, Health, and Development 9:57–71.
- Göncü, A., Mistry, J., & Mosier, C. (2000). Cultural variations in the play of toddlers. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, *24*(3), 321-329.
- Gosso, Y., & Carvalho, A. M. A. (2013). Play and Cultural Context. Play, 21.

- Haight, Wendy L., Wang Xiao-lei, Fung Heidi Han-tih, Williams Kimberley, and Mintz Judith. "Universal, Developmental, and Variable Aspects of Young Children's Play: A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Pretending at Home." *Child Development* 70.6 (1999): 1477-488.
- Hoare, K. J., Mills, J., & Francis, K. (2012). Dancing with data: an example of acquiring theoretical sensitivity in a grounded theory study. International Journal of Nursing Practice, 18(3), 240-245. doi:10.1111/j.1440-172x.2012.02038.x
- Hyun, E., & Dong, H. C. (2004). Examination of young children's gender-doing and gender-bending in their play dynamics: A cross-cultural exploration. International Journal of Early Childhood, 36(1), 49-65.
- Leo-Rhynie, E. 1997. "Class, Race, and Gender Issues in Child Rearing in the Caribbean."
  In Caribbean Families: Diversity among Ethnic Groups, edited by Jaipaul L.
  Roopnarine and Janet Brown, 25–55.
- Merriam, S. B., Johnson-Bailey, J., Lee, M. Y., Kee, Y., Ntseane, G., & Muhamad, M. (2001). Power and positionality: Negotiating insider/outsider status within and across cultures. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 20 (5), 405-416.
- Parmar, P., Harkness, S., & Super, C. M. (2004). Asian and Euro-American parents' ethnotheories of play and learning: Effects on preschool children's home routines and school behaviour. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 28(2), 97-104.
- Parten, M. B. (1933). Social play among preschool children. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 28(2), 136.
- Roopnarine, J. L., & Davidson, K. L. (2015). Parent-Child Play across Cultures. *The Handbook of the Study of Play*, 2, 85.
- Roopnarine, J. L., &Jin, B. (2012).Indo Caribbean immigrant beliefs about play and its impact on early academic performance. *American Journal of Play*, 4(4), 441.

- Roopnarine, J.L. (2011). "Cultural Variations in Beliefs about Play, Parent-Child Play, and Children's Play: Meaning for Childhood Development." In The Oxford Handbook of the Development of Play, edited by Anthony D. Pellegrini, 19–37.
- Rubin, K. H. (1977). Play behaviors of young children. *Young children*, 32(6), 16-24.
- Skelton, T., (2001). *Cross-cutural research: issues of power, positionality and "Race". In*: M. Limb, & C. Dwyer (eds.) Qualitative Methodologies for Geographers. London, Arnold, 87-100. [Accessed 23 August 2008].
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Tamis-Lemonda, C. S., Baumwell, L., & Cristofaro, T. (2012). Parent-child conversations during play. *First Language*, 32, 413–438.
- Veitch, J., Bagley, S., Ball, K., & Salmon, J. (2006). Where do children usually play? A qualitative study of parents' perceptions of influences on children's active free-play. *Health & place*, *12*(4), 383-393.
- Whiting, B. B. (1963). Six cultures: Studies of child rearing.