

Heather Prince, Linda Allin, Ellen Beate Hansen Sandseter & Eva Ärlemalm-Hagsér (2013) Outdoor play and learning in early childhood from different cultural perspectives, *Journal of Adventure Education & Outdoor Learning*, 13:3, 183-188,

EDITORIAL

Outdoor play and learning in Early Childhood from different cultural perspectives

UK Editors: *Heather Prince & Linda Allin*

International Editors: *Ellen Beate Hansen Sandseter & Eva Ärlemalm-Hagsér*

This themed edition of the *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning* focuses on outdoor play and learning in early childhood through a lens of cultural differences and similarities. Five articles are included in this special issue and are preceded by a discussion of the contemporary challenges in this area of research.

During the last century, there has been an overwhelming change in the nature of children's play in western countries (Brussoni, Olsen, Pike & Sleet, 2012). Play is an ambiguous concept concerning children's "own" activity: a voluntary, intrinsically motivated experience where the activity itself is more important than the outcome (Bateson, 2005; Sutton-Smith, 1997). Play can include activities that are voluntarily engaged in, without adult intervention, characterized by fun, intense activity, spontaneity, freedom and self-initiative (Wiltz & Fein, 2006) but it can also encompass structured play with varying degrees of adult guidance.

Within a generation, a rapid decline in opportunities for outdoor play has been noted as children's play in western countries has been domesticated and supervised by adults (Clements 2004; Francis and Lorenzo 2006; Ginsburg 2007; Sandberg, 2012). Children spend less time than ever before outdoors and future generations of children may have increasingly lower expectations of the amount of contact with nature that they will have in their lives (Karsten, 2005). However, in some western countries such as Scandinavia, children's free play outdoors is still an important part of childhood (Sandseter, 2010, 2012; Ärlemalm-Hagsér & Sandberg, in press). In other countries, children's opportunities for outdoor play outside of contexts such as school and childcare have undergone significant erosion. It is well documented that changes in urban environments prevent children engaging freely in their neighbourhoods (Francis and Lorenzo 2006). Changes in urban environments restrict children to 'islands' such as homes, day care and schools (Kernan 2010) In a Swedish study about children's contact with nature in an urban context, Sandberg (2012) argues that social class is influential in the degree of children's contact with nature and of their awareness of its positive connotations, as different kinds of dwellings and neighbourhoods provide different opportunities for outdoor play.

One of the barriers for children's outdoor play identified by Sandberg (2012, p. 185) is children's mobility restrictions *sensu* "increased due to concerns about traffic and so-called 'stranger danger' ". According to Kytä (2004) a child friendly environment is that in which children should have the opportunity to move around freely and access all the various parts ('affordances') of their environment. Without these, they will not be able to create play and

activity that fosters well-being, health and development. The importance of not restricting children's free play due to safety concerns is also indicated (see e.g. Brussoni et al., 2012; Sandseter, 2009, 2010), and research shows that some of the most influential factors for the decline of children's free outdoor play are adult concerns about children's safety, a societal trend moving towards a view of the child as being more vulnerable and in need of protection, and play spaces and play equipment that do not reflect children's interests and needs (Brussoni et al., 2012).

The other important barrier to children's outdoor play is the lack of "proximity to nature areas and places for outdoor play" (Sandberg, 2012, p.185). Play environments are also important for children's exercise and health, and Dyment, Bell and Lucas (2009) found that it is important to ensure a great diversity of design features and green (nature) elements in school grounds to promote children's physical active play, and especially to enhance activities for girls. Several other researchers have studied and discussed the effect of the outdoor environment on children's play. Fjørtoft (2000) found that functional play such as gross-motor activities and basic skills (e.g., running, jumping, throwing, climbing, crawling, rolling, swinging, and sliding) were predominant when children played in nature compared with traditional pre-school play areas. Moreover, landscape structures such as steep slopes, rough cliffs, and trees contributed to play activities such as climbing and sliding. According to Fjørtoft, pre-schoolers consider traditional playgrounds to be more boring than natural playscapes, and children develop better motor abilities when playing in nature compared with traditional playgrounds. Comparing children's play in traditional-equipment play areas, contemporary-designed playgrounds and natural-design playgrounds, Lee (1999) found that children reacted to natural playgrounds enthusiastically and actively and that these afforded the most challenging play. Traditional playgrounds afforded the least challenging play and the most non-play (wandering or standing still). However, evidence from a study by Hart and Sheehan (1986) found that there was no difference in the amount of children's verbal interaction, social play and cognitive play between a contemporary playground and a traditional playground.

Outdoor play is regarded as beneficial for children's development and learning in many areas. For example, children's outdoor play might benefit them because they practise and enhance different motor and physical skills (Fjørtoft, 2000, Grahn, Mårtensson, Lindblad, Nilsson & Ekman, 1997, Vigsø and Nielsen, 2006), and are able to develop perceptual competences such as depth, form, shape, size, and movement perception (Fiskum, 2004, Rakison, 2005) as well as general spatial-orientation (Bjorklund and Pellegrini, 2002). Research also indicates that children show improved risk assessment and learn how to master risky situations through challenging outdoor play, (Ball, 2002, Boyesen, 1997, Smith, 1998, Stutz, 1999, Sandseter, 2010, Sandseter, 2012). Outdoor play is also considered important for children to develop democratic values and practice through social interactions (Aasen, Grindheim & Waters, 2009). Child self-worth and independence are also strengthened by learning how to manage the environment and nature, in which they live, play and explore (Nilsen, 2008).

The studies

The first two papers examine the environments of play in different parts of Europe, the value placed on them and their optimisation.

In *Provisions for outdoor play and learning in Slovene preschools*, Kos & Jerman scrutinize the opportunities for outdoor play and learning from the perspectives of teachers, parents and the children themselves. They examine the amount of time pre-school teachers dedicate to play and learning in playgrounds and in natural environments, the activities of the children therein, the barriers to outdoor play and learning and the value that parents and teachers attribute to them. The results show that a majority of the Slovene pre-school teachers and parents see outdoor activities as an important part of the everyday life of pre-school children and emphasise the need for children to spend more time in natural settings, although the potential of these is not optimised. It would seem that the evidence here from Slovenia supports that from Sweden (Sandberg, 2012) that a key factor driving time spent outdoors is proximity to nature

Luchs & Fikus' paper, *A comparative study of active play on differently designed playgrounds*, also emphasises 'affordances' (Gibson, 1979) as the potential provided by the surrounding environment through their research which focuses on play environments in urban areas for kindergarten children aged five and six, in Germany. They highlight differences in the amount of time ('episodes'), duration and types of play activities between naturally structured and contemporary types of playground, suggesting that the most complex and long-lasting play takes place in natural play areas.

Risk is an important consideration in outdoor play. Niehues, Bundy, Brown, Tranter, Ragen & Engelen in Australia show how altering adults' perception of risk could enhance the sustainability of outdoor play, *Everyday uncertainties: Reframing perceptions of risk in outdoor free play*. Risk aversion can lead to the overprotection of children and potentially limit their potential for learning through challenging and risky play activities. Risk is often used negatively, but Niehues et al show how a risk reframing intervention can help educators and parents to respond to risk and uncertainty in new ways, to enable them to view the benefits of engaging in risky play and the common outcomes of health, happiness and resilience.

The next two papers focus more on the processes and outcomes which can be achieved through outdoor play.

McArdle, Harrison & Harrison describe the 'Nature Nuture' project which sought to promote resilience in early years children from challenging backgrounds in Scotland: *Does a nurturing approach that uses an outdoor play environment build resilience in children from a challenging background?* Again, the importance of a natural setting (woodland) in addition to a nurturing approach is seen as key to the success of the project as evidenced through an ethnographic study.

Waite, Rogers & Evans' paper, *Freedom, flow and fairness: exploring how children develop socially at school through outdoor play* explores outdoor play in England. They suggest that outdoor and natural contexts allow children to be more self-directed in their activity and provide opportunities for children to negotiate and resolve arguments without adult

arbitration. In their ESRC supported study, children wore felt bags with mobile digital recorders to capture their conversations away from adults. Analyses of dialogues show fascinating insight into children's social interactions including sustained inter-child play and the potential social and educational implications of outdoor play-based learning.

Concluding comments

As Davis and Elliott (2009) and Elliott (2008) propose, there is an absence of a critical discussion in relation to children's outdoor play. Several knowledge gaps remain to be explored further: firstly, hierarchies of power are rarely mentioned in research on outdoor play and learning; secondly, children and childhood are often viewed as universal and neutral; thirdly, the relationship between human and nature as an anthropocentric world-view is often subject to multiple interpretations (Elliott & Davis, 2008; Ärlemalm-Hagsér, 2013a; 2013b) and finally gender differences (Waller, 2010; Ärlemalm-Hagsér, 2010; Ärlemalm-Hagsér & Sandberg, in press). Young children learning and playing in the outdoors can transcend traditional compartmentalisations and Ärlemalm-Hagsér and Hellman (2012) stress that children often challenge stereotypic understandings within the day-to-day activities in the pre-school.

This is an important time for early childhood practitioners and researchers to re-evaluate approaches to outdoor play, and to consider the different opportunities available for outdoor play and play in natural environments in early childhood (Waller 2007). It is hoped that this themed edition will make a significant contribution.

References

- Aasen, W., Grindheim, L. T., & Waters, J. (2009). The outdoor environment as a site for children's participation, meaning-making and democratic learning: examples from Norwegian kindergartens. *Education 3-13*, 37(1), 5-13.
- Ärlemalm-Hagsér, E.. (2010). Gender choreography and micro structures—early childhood professionals' understanding of gender roles and gender patterns in outdoor play and learning, *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 18(4), 515–526.
- Ärlemalm-Hagsér, E., & Hellman, A. (2012). *A struggle for equity*. Children in Europe, 23, 28-29.
- Ärlemalm-Hagsér, E. (2013a). 'An interest in the best for the world'? Education for sustainability in the Swedish preschool [Engagerade i världens bästa? Lärande för hållbarhet i förskolan]. (Doctoral Thesis, Gothenburg Studies in Educational Sciences, 335). Gothenburg: Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis.
- Ärlemalm-Hagsér, E. (2013b). Respect for Nature – A prescription for developing environmental awareness in preschool. *Center for Educational Policy Studies Journal*, 3(1), 25-44.
- Ärlemalm-Hagsér E., & Sandberg A. (in press). Outdoor Play in a Swedish Preschool Context. In S. Knight (Ed.), *International Perspectives on Forest School: Natural Spaces to Play and Learn*. London: Sage.

- Ball, D. J. (2002). *Playgrounds - Risks, benefits and choices* (Vol. 426/2002). London: Health and Safety Executive (HSE) contract research report, Middlesex University.
- Bateson, P. (2005). The role of play in the evolution of great apes and humans. In D. Pellegrini & P. K. Smith (Eds.), *The nature of play* (pp. 13-24). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Bjorklund, D. F., & Pellegrini, A. D. (2002). *The origins of human nature: Evolutionary developmental psychology*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Boyesen, M. (1997). *Den truende tryggheten*. (Doctoral Thesis), The Norwegian University of Science and Technology Norway, Trondheim.
- Brussoni, M., Olsen, L. L., Pike, I., & Sleet, D. A. (2012). Risky Play and Children's Safety: Balancing Priorities for Optimal Child Development. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 9(9), 3134-3148.
- Clements, R. (2004). An investigation of the status of outdoor play. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 5(1), 68-80.
- Davis, J., & Elliott, S. (2009). Exploring the resistance: an Australian perspective on educating for sustainability in early childhood, *International Journal of Early Childhood*, 41(2), 65-77.
- Dyment, J., Bell, A., & Lucas, A. (2009). The relationship between school ground design and intensity of physical activity. *Children's Geographies*, 7(3), 261-276.
- Elliott, S., & Davis, J. (2008). Introduction: Why natural outdoor playspaces? I S. Elliott (Red.), *The outdoor playspace naturally*. (s. 1-14). Sydney: Pademelon Press.
- Fiskum, T. (2004). *Effekt av barnehagemiljø på motorisk og spatial kompetanse hos barn. En tverrsnittstudie av den motoriske og spatiale kompetansen hos barn i en friluftsbarnehage og barn i en tradisjonell barnehage*. (Master thesis), Nord-Trøndelag University College, Norway, Levanger.
- Fjørtoft, I. (2000). *Landscape and playscape. Learning effects from playing in a natural environment on motor development in children*. (PhD Thesis), Norwegian School of Sport Science, Norway, Oslo
- Francis, M., & Lorenzo, R. (2006). Children and city design: Proactive process and the 'renewal' of childhood. In C. Spencer & M. Blades (eds.). *Children and their environments: Learning, using and designing spaces*. (pp. 217-237). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin.
- Ginsburg, K.R., & The Committee on Communications & The Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health (2007). The importance of play in maintaining healthy child development and parent-child bonds. *Pediatrics*, 119, 182-191.
- Grahn, P., Mårtensson, F., Lindblad, B., Nilsson, P., & Ekman, A. (1997). Ute på dagis. Sweden, Alnarp: The University of Agriculture in Sweden.

- Hart, C. H., & Sheehan, R. (1986). Preschoolers' play behaviour in outdoor environments: Effects of traditional and contemporary playgrounds. *American Educational Research Journal*, 23(4), pp.668-679.
- Karsten, L., (2005) It all used to be better? Different generations on continuity and change in urban children's daily use of space. *Children's Geographies*, 3(3), 275 – 290.
- Kernan, M. (2010). Space and place as a source of belonging and participation in urban environments: Considering the role of early childhood education and care settings. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 18(2), 199-213.
- Kyttä, M. (2004). The extent of children's independent mobility and the number of actualized affordances as criteria for child-friendly environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*(24), 179-198.
- Lee, S. H. (1999). The cognition of playground safety and children's play – a comparison of traditional, contemporary, and naturalized playground types. In M. L. Christiansen (Ed.), *Proceedings of the international conference of playground safety*. Pennsylvania: Penn State University: Center for Hospitality, Tourism & Recreation Research.
- Nilsen, R. D. (2008). Children in nature: Cultural ideas and social practices in Norway. In A. James & A. L. James (Eds.), *European childhoods : cultures, politics and childhoods in Europe* (pp. 38-60). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rakison, D. H. (2005). Infant perception and cognition: An evolutionary perspective on early learning. In B. J. Ellis & D. F. Bjorklund (Eds.), *Origins of the social mind: Evolutionary psychology and child development* (pp. 317-353). New York: Guilford Press.
- Sandberg, M. (2012). De är inte ute så mycket: den bostadsnära naturkontaktens betydelse och utrymme i storstadsbarns vardagsliv ['They are not outdoors that much': Nature close to home – its meaning and place in the everyday lives of urban children], Göteborg: University of Gothenburg.
- Sandseter, E. B. H. (2009). Affordances for risky play in preschool - the importance of features in the play environment. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 36, 439-446.
- Sandseter, E. B. H. (2010). *Scaryfunny*. A qualitative study of risky play among preschool children. Doctoral Thesis, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway.
- Sandseter, E. B. H. (2012). Restrictive safety or unsafe freedom? Norwegian ECEC practitioners' perceptions and practices concerning children's risky play. *Childcare in Practice*, 18(1), 83-101.
- Smith, S. J. (1998). *Risk and our pedagogical relation to children: On playground and beyond*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Stutz, E. (1999). Rethinking concepts of safety and the playground: The playground as a place in which children may learn skills for life and managing hazards. In M. L. Christiansen (Ed.), *Proceedings of the international conference of playground safety*. Pennsylvania: Penn State University: Center for Hospitality, Tourism & Recreation Research.

- Sutton-Smith, B. (1997). *The ambiguity of play*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Vigsø, B., & Nielsen, V. (2006). *Børn & udeliv*. Esbjerg: CVU Vest Press.
- Waller, T. (2007). 'The trampoline tree and the swamp monster with 18 heads': Outdoor play in the Foundation Stage and Foundation Phase. *Education 3-13*, 35(4), 393-407.
- Wiltz, N. W. & Fein, G. G. (2006). Play as children see it. In D. P. Fromberg & D. Bergen (Eds.) *Play from birth to twelve contexts, perspectives, and meanings* (2nd ed.), (pp. 127-139). London: Routledge.