

Kizzi, Joseph, Sum, Alvin, Houston, Fraser E. and Hayes, Lawrence ORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6654-0072> (2016) Influence of a caffeine mouth rinse
on sprint cycling following glycogen depletion. *European Journal of Sport
Science*, 16 (8). pp. 1087-1094.

Downloaded from: <https://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/2068/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
- a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

1 ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION

2

3 **Influence of a caffeine mouth rinse on sprint cycling following glycogen depletion**

4

5 Running head: Caffeine rinsing following glycogen depletion

6

7

8

9

10 **Abstract**

11 Attenuated performance during intense exercise with limited endogenous
12 carbohydrate (CHO) is well documented. Therefore, this study examined whether caffeine
13 (CAF) mouth rinsing would augment performance during repeated sprint cycling in
14 participants with reduced endogenous CHO. Eight recreationally active males (aged 23 ± 2
15 yr, body mass 84 ± 4 kg, stature 178 ± 7 cm) participated in this randomized, single-blind,
16 repeated-measures crossover investigation. Following familiarization, participants attended
17 two separate evening glycogen depletion sessions. The following morning, participants
18 completed five, 6 s sprints on a cycle ergometer (separated by 24 s active recovery), with
19 mouth rinsing either 1) a placebo solution or 2) a 2% caffeine solution. During a fifth visit,
20 participants completed the sprints without prior glycogen depletion. Repeated measures
21 ANOVA identified significant main effect of condition (CAF, placebo, and control [$P<0.05$;
22 $ES=0.850-0.897$]), sprint (1-5 [$P<0.005$; $ES=0.871-0.986$]), and interaction (condition x
23 sprint [$P<0.05$; $ES=0.831-0.846$]), for peak and mean power. The control condition exhibited
24 the highest peak power (overall mean 760 ± 77 W) and mean power (overall mean $699 \pm$
25 83 W) over the five sprints ($P<0.001$ in both instances). CAF peak power (overall mean $643 \pm$
26 79 W) was significantly greater than placebo (mean 573 ± 79 W [$P<0.05$; $ES=0.850$]).
27 Additionally, CAF mean power (overall mean 589 ± 80 W) was significantly greater than
28 placebo (519 ± 82 W [$P<0.05$; $ES=0.397$]). These data indicate that mouth rinsing a
29 caffeinated solution reduces decrements caused by CHO reduction, which may benefit
30 athletes wishing to train in a low-CHO state.

31

32 **Key words:** Anaerobic · Carbohydrate · Ergogenic · High intensity · Repeated sprint
33 exercise

34

35 **Introduction**

36 That exercise performance is attenuated with low carbohydrate (CHO) availability,
37 yet certain training adaptations are enhanced with low endogenous CHO, presents a challenge
38 to athletes aiming to maximize training quality (Impey et al., 2015). Exogenous supply of
39 CHO has the potential to improve exercise performance (Stellingwerff & Cox, 2014; Wilson,
40 2015), particularly high-intensity exercise that is more reliant on CHO than fat oxidation
41 (Spriet, 2014). Moreover, numerous studies have described attenuated performance during
42 high-intensity exercise when endogenous CHO availability is limited (Gavin, Myers, &
43 Willems 2015a; 2015b). For example, Silva-Cavalcante and colleagues (2013) reported that
44 when endogenous CHO availability was reduced by ~30%, 4 km cycling time trial (TT) time
45 was 2.1% slower than in a control condition. Furthermore, Langfort, Zarzeczny, Pilis, Nazar,
46 and Kaciuba-Uscitko (1997) observed reduced mean power during a 30 s Wingate test (from
47 581 ± 7 to 533 ± 7 W) in healthy men after three days of a low CHO diet (~5% CHO)
48 compared with a normal diet (~50% CHO). Paradoxically, it is commonplace for some
49 athletes to train in a state of low CHO availability (Taylor et al., 2013; Impey et al., 2015) to
50 augment molecular signalling for endurance training adaptations (Bartlett, Hawley, &
51 Morton, 2015). For example, in an elegant investigation, Hansen et al. (2004) examined the
52 influence of performing one leg knee-extensor exercise in a state of high or low muscle
53 glycogen for 10 weeks. This was achieved by training one leg twice a day, every second day,
54 and training the contralateral leg once daily. These authors reported that following training,
55 time to exhaustion was markedly improved in the low-glycogen leg, compared to the high-
56 glycogen leg. Furthermore, activity of the mitochondrial enzyme 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA
57 dehydrogenase and resting muscle glycogen was augmented following training, but to a
58 greater extent in the low-glycogen leg, which suggests enhanced skeletal muscle oxidative
59 capacity following training with limited endogenous CHO. Additionally, Cochran et al.

60 (2015) investigated the influence of low CHO intake between high intensity interval training
61 sessions performed three hours apart. Improved time trial time performance was observed
62 after only two weeks in the group consuming $0.3 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ CHO between sessions ($211 \pm 66 \text{ W}$
63 to $244 \pm 75 \text{ W}$), compared to the group consuming $2.3 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ CHO between sessions ($203 \pm$
64 53 W to $219 \pm 60 \text{ W}$). Taken together, these investigations support the notion that exercise
65 training performed in a CHO-restricted state may enhance skeletal muscle adaptations which
66 in turn increase work capacity.

67 It has long been known that the oralpharyngeal cavity contains receptors that respond
68 to taste (Beidler, 1954). However, until recently it was thought improved exercise
69 performance following ingestion of substrates was solely due to post-absorptive effects
70 (Burke & Maughan, 2015). It is now recognized the response to substrate ingestion begins in
71 the mouth, via specific receptors, and continues in the gut, via the release of various
72 hormones influencing substrate metabolism (Burke & Maughan, 2015; Hagger &
73 Chatzisarantis, 2013). Indeed, Kamimori et al. (2002) observed a significantly greater
74 caffeine absorption rate following administration of caffeinated chewing gum, compared to
75 capsule formulation. These authors therefore concluded the buccal mucosa was a primary site
76 for caffeine absorption into systemic circulation, as a result of caffeine-adenosine receptor
77 interactions within the mouth (Rubinstein, Chandilawa, Dagar, Hong, & Gao, 2001).
78 Subsequent investigations have found improved performance in aerobic (Doering, Fell,
79 Leveritt, Desbrow, & Shing, 2014; Pataky et al., 2015), anaerobic (Kasper et al., 2015), and
80 repeated sprint (Beaven, Maulder, Pooley, Kilduff, & Cook, 2013; Correia-Oliveira et al.,
81 2014) exercise following caffeine mouth rinsing. However, these results may depend on
82 testing methods, as Clarke, Kornilios, and Richardson (2015) recently reported that caffeine
83 (CAF) mouth rinsing did not improve muscular strength or muscular endurance during the
84 bench press exercise.

Caffeine ingestion has previously demonstrated efficacy in reducing impairments in running (Kasper et al., 2015) and cycling (Silva-Cavalcante et al., 2013) performance, caused by a CHO-lowering protocol. Kasper and colleagues (2015) investigated high-intensity interval running capacity (1 min intervals at 80% maximal oxygen uptake, interspersed with 1 min walking at 6 km·h⁻¹). These authors reported improved running capacity (measured by total distance covered until fatigue) when CAF ingestion was added to a CHO mouth rinse in a glycogen depleted state. The practical application of this information is that athletes can recover performance decrements caused by low endogenous CHO with administration of CAF. However, there are a paucity of data concerning the effect of mouth rinsing a solution containing solely CAF on repeated sprint performance with low endogenous CHO availability. Therefore, the objective of this investigation was to examine whether CAF mouth rinsing would rescue performance reductions caused by low endogenous CHO availability during repeated sprint cycling, compared to placebo.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Eight recreationally active males (aged 23 ± 2 yr, body mass 84 ± 4 kg, stature 178 ± 7 cm, maximal power output [W_{max}] 194 ± 17 W) participated in this randomized, single-blind and repeated-measures crossover investigation. Participants gave written informed consent and the investigation was approved by the London Metropolitan University Ethical Review Committee. Participants were free from medication, and abstained from exercise, caffeinated beverages, and alcohol for the previous 24 h.

Design

Participants visited the laboratory on six occasions. On the first visit, athletes underwent anthropometric assessment and an incremental test followed by a repeated sprint cycling familiarization trial. Participants then attended two separate glycogen depletion sessions (commencing between 17.30 – 20.00 h) followed by five, 6 s sprint cycling bouts (each separated by 24 s active recoveries) the following morning (08.00 – 09.00 h). During a further visit, participants completed the repeated sprint cycling bouts without prior glycogen depletion (six visits in total; Figure 1).

*****INSERT FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE*****

Incremental Test

The incremental test was performed on a cycle ergometer (Wattbike trainer, Wattbike Ltd., Nottingham, UK) and consisted of a 3 min warm-up at 100 W, followed by increments of 30 W every 3 min, until voluntary exhaustion, or when participants were unable to maintain the required power output (Bentley et al. 2007). Maximal power output (W_{\max}) was defined as the highest power output maintained during a complete 3 min stage. When the last stage was not completed, W_{\max} was determined in accordance with the methods of Kuipers, Verstappen, Keizer, Geurten, & van Kranenburg (1985).

Carbohydrate Availability Lowering Protocol

Participants arrived at the laboratory between 17.30 – 20.00 h, at least two hours postprandial. The protocol used for reducing endogenous CHO availability has previously been validated and shown to reduce endogenous CHO availability to 30% of pre-exercise values (Gollnick, Piehl, & Saltin, 1974). The protocol consisted of a constant power output,

at an intensity corresponding to 70% W_{\max} for 90 min on a cycle ergometer (Wattbike trainer, Wattbike Ltd., Nottingham, UK). After 5 min rest, participants performed six, 1 min cycling bouts at 125% W_{\max} , with 1 min rest intervals.

Dietary Control

During the morning and afternoon of the CHO availability lowering protocol, participants followed the same dietary pattern contained in their food record, up to the beginning of exercise. This was determined using a food diary on the day prior to, and the day of, the incremental test and familiarization with the sprint cycling protocol. After the exercise protocol was finished (19.15 – 21.45 h), participants received a low-CHO meal replacement (400 ml; total energy 97 kcal, 0.6 g CHO, 0.3 g fat, and 23.0 g protein [MyProtein, The Hut.com Ltd, UK]). Participants received the same standardized, low-CHO meal replacement one hour before the trial the next morning (~08.00 h). In the control (CON) trial, participants were asked to replicate the diet recorded 24 hours before the familiarization visit, and consumed a standardized meal derived from their diet record. According to self-reporting, all participants adhered to dietary replication.

Repeated Sprint Cycling Test

During morning visits, participants performed five, 6 s cycling sprints under the following conditions: 1) 12–14 h after a validated exercise-protocol designed to reduce endogenous CHO availability, followed by placebo (PLA) mouth rinsing, 2) 12–14 h after a validated exercise-protocol designed to reduce endogenous CHO availability, followed by CAF mouth rinsing, and 3) with no prior depletion or mouth rinse (CON). Randomization was ensured by assigning each condition a number (1-3), then generating eight sets (one per participant) of randomized 1, 2, and 3, using a computer program (Research randomizer:

Version 4.0). For example, if participant one received '1, 2, 3' they would conduct the conditions in the following order: CON, PLA, CHO and if participant two received '2, 1, 3' they would conduct the conditions in the following order: PLA, CON, CHO. Each visit was separated by seven days for washout. Participants completed a standardized 5 min warm up at 100 W on a cycle ergometer (Monark 994E, Monark, Sweden), subsequently mouth rinsing the solution for 10 s, before expectorating into a waste container. Participants mouth rinsed between each 6 s sprint (six mouth rinses in total). Solutions consisted of 25 ml of a 2% caffeine solution (CAF [500 mg; 6 mg·kg⁻¹]) or a taste-matched non-caloric placebo (PLA) in line with previous investigations (Beaven et al., 2013). Placebo and CAF were taste matched by using very strong **sugar-free** orange squash. Successful blinding of solutions was confirmed by participants correctly guessing the administered solution on 10 of the 16 opportunities (Fisher's exact test P=0.376). Participants were required to pedal at 50 rpm before being given a verbal countdown to start five, 6 s maximal sprint efforts with resistance of 10% body mass applied to the flywheel, interspersed by 24 s active recovery (unloaded pedaling) whereby participants repeated the 10 s mouth rinsing (as used by Beaven et al., 2013). Mean power output and peak power output were recorded using the inbuilt software (Monark 994E, Monark, Sweden) and verbal encouragement was given throughout.

Participants were asked to provide pain perception ratings following each sprint (Cook, O'Connor, Eubanks, Smith, & Lee, 2007). A ten-point scale accompanied with verbal, written and visual descriptions was used. This was chosen as high intra-class correlations (r=.88-.98) suggest this scale is a reliable measure of pain perception during exercise (Cook et al., 2007). Standardized verbal instruction of the correct use of the scale was provided prior to each experimental procedure.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM North America, New York, USA). To determine parametricity, Levene's tests (homogeneity of variance) and Shapiro-Wilk (normal distribution) were employed. Where parametric assumptions were met, data were analyzed using a 3 x 5 (condition x sprint) repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for differences in peak and mean power, and perceived pain. Where an interaction effect was detected, one-way ANOVA with Bonferoni correction was used to detect between which condition differences existed. Significance was set *a priori* at $P < 0.05$ and effect sizes (ES) are reported for primary outcome measures in line with previous recommendations (Cohen, 1992; Lakens, 2013).

Results

There was a significant main effect of condition, bout, and an interaction effect for peak power output, mean power output, and perceived pain (all $P < 0.001$; ES=0.831-0.986). The CON condition exhibited the greatest peak power output (overall mean 760 ± 77 W; 95% CI=712-808 W) and mean power output (overall mean 699 ± 83 W; 95% CI=640-758 W) over the five sprints. There was an improvement in peak power (overall mean 573 ± 79 W; 95% CI=516-631 W and 643 ± 79 W; 95% CI=582-705 W for PLA and CAF respectively) and mean power (overall mean 519 ± 82 W; 95% CI=450-578 W and 589 ± 80 W; 95% CI=521-657 W for PLA and CAF respectively) following depletion and CAF compared to depletion and PLA (Figure 2A;B). The CON condition exhibited the lowest perceived pain (overall mean 4 ± 1) over the five sprints. There was a significant increase in perceived pain following depletion and PLA compared to depletion and CAF (8 ± 1 and 7 ± 1 respectively [Figure 2C]). Under CON and PLA conditions, peak power decreased by ~16% and ~17% over the six bouts. Moreover, under CON and PLA conditions mean power decreased by

~16% and ~20% over the six bouts. Under the CAF condition, participants maintained mean power and peak power from bout one to five.

Peak Power Output

During sprint one, CON peak power (828 ± 51 W) was significantly greater than CAF (615 ± 79 W; $P < 0.001$; $ES = 0.850$) and PLA (627 ± 68 W; $P < 0.001$; $ES = 0.859$). During sprint two, CON peak power output (803 ± 63 W) was significantly greater than CAF (617 ± 93 W; $P = 0.018$; $ES = 0.763$) and PLA (609 ± 65 W; $P = 0.004$; $ES = 0.836$). During sprint three, CON peak power output (744 ± 73 W) was greater than CAF (631 ± 83 W; $P = 0.018$; $ES = 0.583$) and PLA, (573 ± 71 W; $P = 0.004$; $ES = 0.766$), whilst CAF peak power output was greater than PLA ($P = 0.015$; $ES = 0.352$). During sprint four, CON peak power output (727 ± 62 W) and was greater than PLA, (542 ± 76 W; $P = 0.004$; $ES = 0.802$), but not CAF (654 ± 71 W; $P = 0.148$; $ES = 0.474$), whilst CAF peak power output was greater than PLA ($P = 0.001$; $ES = 0.612$). During sprint five, CON peak power output (697 ± 63 W) was significantly greater than PLA, (518 ± 74 W; $P = 0.005$; $ES = 0.805$), whilst CAF peak power output (694 ± 54 W) was also greater than PLA ($P < 0.001$; $ES = 0.825$).

Mean Power Output

During sprint one, CON mean power output (757 ± 72 W) was significantly greater than CAF (575 ± 82 W) and PLA ($[578 \pm 68$ W] $P = 0.001$; $ES = 0.765-0.789$). During sprint two, CON mean power output (740 ± 84 W) was significantly greater than CAF (576 ± 90 W; $P = 0.004$; $ES = 0.709$) and PLA (561 ± 71 W; $P = 0.002$; $ES = 0.780$). During sprint three, CON mean power output (694 ± 75 W) was greater than CAF (583 ± 87 W; $P = 0.014$; $ES = 0.561$)

and PLA, (510 ± 85 W; $P=0.002$; $ES=0.754$), whilst CAF mean power output was greater than PLA ($P=0.002$; $ES=0.393$). During sprint four, CON mean power output (665 ± 62 W) and was greater than PLA, (483 ± 76 W; $P=0.002$; $ES=0.794$), whilst CAF mean power output (596 ± 79 W) was also greater than PLA ($P<0.001$; $ES=0.587$). During sprint five, CON mean power output (639 ± 75 W) was significantly greater than PLA, (461 ± 58 W; $P=0.003$; $ES=0.798$), whilst CAF mean power output (617 ± 75 W) was significantly greater than PLA ($P<0.001$; $ES=0.759$).

*****INSERT FIGURE 2 NEAR HERE*****

Rating of perceived pain

During sprint one, CON perceived pain (2 ± 1) was significantly less than CAF (5 ± 1 ; $P=0.001$; $ES=0.853$) and PLA (6 ± 1 ; $P=0.001$; $ES=0.895$). During sprint two, CON perceived pain (3 ± 1) was less than CAF (6 ± 1 ; $P=0.001$; $ES=0.853$) and PLA (7 ± 2 ; $P=0.001$; $ES=0.896$). During sprint three, CON perceived pain (4 ± 1) was less than CAF (7 ± 1 ; $P=0.008$; $ES=0.808$) and PLA, (8 ± 1 ; $P=0.001$; $ES=0.932$). During sprint four, CON perceived pain (4 ± 1) was less than CAF (7 ± 2 W; $P=0.003$; $ES=0.808$), and PLA, (9 ± 2 ; $P<0.001$; $ES=0.932$), whilst CAF was less than PLA ($P=0.043$; $ES=0.578$). During sprint five, CON perceived pain (5 ± 1) was significantly less than CAF (8 ± 2 W; $P=0.002$; $ES=0.855$), and PLA, (9 ± 1 ; $P<0.001$; $ES=0.999$), whilst CAF perceived pain (8 ± 1) was significantly less than PLA ($P=0.008$; $ES=0.688$).

Discussion

253 This study investigated the influence of reduced endogenous CHO on repeated sprint
254 cycling performance, and the effect CAF mouth rinsing had on performance in this state. The
255 primary finding was that mouth rinsing a caffeinated solution maintained repeated sprint
256 cycling performance in participants with reduced endogenous CHO availability compared to
257 control, whereas performance progressively decreased when mouth rinsing PLA. It is
258 important to note the temporal power profiles however, as CAF peak and mean power output
259 was not significantly greater compared to PLA until sprint three. Moreover, although CAF
260 mean power and peak power was not significantly different from CON during sprints three to
261 six, reduced performance compared to CON was observed during sprints one and two.

262 Results reported here are in line with previous investigations suggesting that a) CAF
263 mouth rinsing can improve repeated sprint exercise performance (Beaven et al., 2013), and b)
264 CAF can reduce deleterious performance effects of glycogen depletion (Silva-Cavalcante et
265 al., 2013; Kasper et al., 2015). Beaven et al. (2013) recently reported that when compared to
266 placebo, CAF mouth rinsing improved peak and mean cycling power during sprint one and
267 two (of five), yet reduced mean power during the final sprint. These authors suggested a role
268 for caffeine in activating a supraspinal or central mechanism, capable of enhancing neural
269 drive to motor units, accessing muscle recruitment reserve. As such, this additional muscle
270 recruitment may have led to rapid depletion of ATP, evidenced by a reduction in mean power
271 during the final sprint. Although our data agree, in part, with Beaven and colleagues (2013) in
272 reporting increased peak and mean power following CAF mouth rinsing, no fatiguing effect
273 was observed as a result of increased power profiles. Therefore, we attribute this
274 phenomenon to the influence of glycogen depletion in the present investigation. i.e. low
275 endogenous CHO availability did not permit recruitment of the muscle recruitment reserve.
276 In support, Kasper et al. (2015) previously observed that the addition of a 200 mg CAF dose
277 improved high intensity interval running capacity in a CHO restricted state compared to

solely a CHO mouth rinse (65 ± 26 min compared to 52 ± 23 min). Moreover, both these conditions were superior to placebo (36 ± 22 min) indicating that CHO mouth rinsing abrogates the deleterious effect of low endogenous CHO, and that the addition of CAF ingestion has an additive effect.

Whilst we accept the present investigation as descriptive, rather than mechanistic, one potential mechanism by which CAF improved power profiles is a reduction in pain perception (Duncan, Stanley, Parkhouse, Cook, & Smith, 2013; Meeusen, Roelands, & Spriet, 2013). Gonglach, Ade, Bemben, Larson, and Black (2015) suggested caffeine ingestion exerts an ergogenic effect by allowing greater work to be performed for a given amount of perceived pain at moderate intensity. This is supported by data in the present investigation whereby peak and mean power output was significantly increased under the CAF condition compared to PLA, despite a reduction in perceived pain. Moreover, numerous authors have described a dampening of pain perception (Duncan & Oxford, 2012), or enhanced athletic performance for equal pain perception (Astorino, Terzi, Roberson, & Burnett, 2011; Astorino, Roupoli, & Valdivieso, 2012) during exercise with CAF compared to placebo. Taken together, these data suggest muscle pain exerts an effect in the regulation of exercise intensity (Delextrat et al., 2015), and caffeine supplementation (whether by ingestion [Gonglach et al., 2015], or mouth rinsing [as in the present study]) modifies perception of pain. A second potential mechanism for improved performance within the present study was that CAF increased voluntary muscle activation. Behrens and colleagues (2015b) observed $7 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ CAF increased rate of torque development and enhanced normalized muscle activity in the agonist muscles (plantar flexors) during maximal isometric voluntary contraction, without accompanying alteration to antagonist muscle activity. The same research group (Behrens et al., 2015a) reported a similar phenomenon in the knee extensors, as $8 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ CAF increased maximal voluntary torque and muscle activation

during concentric, isometric, and eccentric contractions. As such, increased muscle activation may explicate improved power profiles within the present study, however this is a *posteoiri* hypothesis, and should be interpreted with caution, as electromyography was outside the scope of the present investigation.

The practical application of the present study is that performance during repeated sprint cycling with reduced endogenous CHO can be improved by mouth rinsing a caffeinated solution, rather than ingestion of fluid or chewing gum, which may be preferential to some athletes. Therefore, we believe our data to have practical implications for those sportspersons who purposely include periods of CHO-restriction into their training programmes to strategically enhance muscle oxidative capacity, in the form of mitochondrial adaptations.

In conclusion, we provide novel data demonstrating that mouth rinsing a caffeinated solution when in a CHO-depleted state ameliorates low CHO-induced sprint cycling performance decrements. Future research may wish to explore the chronic adaptations to high intensity sprint training with reduced CHO, with and without a caffeinated mouth rinse, and compared to training in a state of high CHO availability.

Authors report no funding sources or conflict of interests.

References

Astorino, T. A., Roupoli, L. R., & Valdivieso, B. R. (2012). Caffeine does not alter RPE or pain perception during intense exercise in active women. *Appetite*, 59, 585-90. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2012.07.008

326 Astorino, T. A., Terzi, M. N., Roberson, D. W., & Burnett, T. R. (2011). Effect of caffeine
 327 intake on pain perception during high-intensity exercise. *International Journal of Sports*
 328 *Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 21, 27-32.

329 Bartlett, J. D., Hawley, J. A., & Morton, J. P. (2015). Carbohydrate availability and exercise
 330 training adaptation: too much of a good thing? *European Journal of Sports Science*, 15, 3-12.
 331 doi: 10.1080/17461391.2014.920926

332 Beaven, C. M., Maulder, P., Pooley, A., Kilduff, L., & Cook, C. (2013). Effects of caffeine
 333 and carbohydrate mouth rinses on repeated sprint performance. *Applied Physiology,*
 334 *Nutrition, and Metabolism*, 38, 633-7. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2012-0333

335 Behrens, M., Mau-Moeller, A., Weippert, M., Fuhrmann, J., Wegner, K., Skripitz, R., Bader,
 336 R., & Bruhn, S. (2015a). Caffeine-induced increase in voluntary activation and strength of the
 337 quadriceps muscle during isometric, concentric and eccentric contractions *Scientific Reports*,
 338 5, 10209. doi: 10.1038/srep10209

339 Behrens, M., Mau-Moeller, A., Heise, S., Skripitz, R., Bader, R., & Bruhn, S. (2015b).
 340 Alteration in neuromuscular function of the plantar flexors following caffeine ingestion
 341 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 25, e50-7. doi: 10.1111/sms.12243

342 Beidler, L. M. (1954). A theory of taste stimulation. *Journal of General Physiology*, 38, 133-
 343 9.

344 Bentley, D. J., Newell, J., & Bishop, D. (2007). Incremental exercise test design and analysis:
 345 implications for performance diagnostics in endurance athletes. *Sports Medicine*, 37, 575-86.
 346 doi: 10.2165/00007256-200737070-00002

347 Burke, L. M. & Maughan, R. J. (2015). The Governor has a sweet tooth - mouth sensing of
 348 nutrients to enhance sports performance. *European Journal of Sports Science*, 15, 29-40. doi:
 349 10.1080/17461391.2014.971880

350 Clarke, N. D., Kornilios, E., & Richardson, D. L. (2015). Carbohydrate and caffeine mouth
351 rinses do not affect maximum strength and muscular endurance performance. *Journal of*
352 *Strength and Conditioning Research*, 29, 1926-31. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000945

353 Cochran, A. J., Myslik, F., MacInnis, M. J., Percival, M. E., Bishop, D., Tarnapolsky, M. A.,
354 & Gibala, M. J. (2015). Manipulating Carbohydrate Availability Between Twice-Daily
355 Sessions of High-Intensity Interval Training Over 2 Weeks Improves Time-Trial
356 Performance. *International Journal of Sports Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 25, 463-70.
357 doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2014-0263

358 Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112, 155-9.

359 Cook, D., O'Connor, P., Eubanks, S., Smith, J., & Lee, M. (2007). Naturally occurring
360 muscle pain during exercise: assessment and experimental evidence. *Medicine and Science in*
361 *Sports and Exercise*, 29, 999-1012.

362 Correia-Oliveira, C. R., Santos, R. A., Silva-Cavalcante, M. D., Bertuzzi, R., Kiss, M. A.,
363 Bishop, D. J., & Lima-Silva, A. E. (2014). Prior low- or high-intensity exercise alters pacing
364 strategy, energy system contribution and performance during a 4-km cycling time trial. *PLoS*
365 *One*, 9, e110320. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110320

366 Delextrat, A., O'Connor Ellis, M., Baker, C. E., Matthew, D., Sum, A., & Hayes, L. D.
367 (2015). Acetaminophen ingestion improves repeated sprint cycling performance in females:
368 A randomized crossover trial. *Kinesiology*, 47, 145-50.

369 Doering, T. M., Fell, J. W., Leveritt, M. D., Desbrow, B., & Shing, C. M. (2014). The effect
370 of a caffeinated mouth-rinse on endurance cycling time-trial performance. *International*
371 *Journal of Sports Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 24, 90-7. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2013-
372 0103

373 Duncan, M. J. & Oxford, S. W. (2012). Acute caffeine ingestion enhances performance and
 374 dampens muscle pain following resistance exercise to failure. *Journal of Sports Medicine and*
 375 *Physical Fitness*, 52, 280-5.

376 Duncan, M. J., Stanley, M., Parkhouse, N., Cook, K., & Smith, M. (2013). Acute caffeine
 377 ingestion enhances strength performance and reduces perceived exertion and muscle pain
 378 perception during resistance exercise. *European Journal of Sports Science*, 13, 392-9. doi:
 379 10.1080/17461391.2011.635811

380 Gavin, J. P., Myers, S. D., & Willems, M. E. (2015a). The effect of glycogen reduction on
 381 cardiorespiratory and metabolic responses during downhill running. *European Journal of*
 382 *Applied Physiology*, 115, 1125-33. doi: 10.1007/s00421-014-3094-4

383 Gavin, J. P., Myers, S. D., & Willems, M. E. (2015b). Neuromuscular responses to mild-
 384 muscle damaging eccentric exercise in a low glycogen state. *Journal of Electromyography*
 385 *and Kinesiology*, 25, 53-60. doi: 10.1016/j.jelekin.2014.10.005

386 Gollnick, P. D., Piehl, K., & Saltin, B. 1974. Selective glycogen depletion pattern in human
 387 muscle fibres after exercise of varying intensity and at varying pedalling rates. *Journal of*
 388 *Physiology*, 241, 45-57.

389 Gonglach, A. R., Ade, C. J., Bembien, M. G., Larson, R. D., & Black, C. D. (2015). Muscle
 390 Pain as a Regulator of Cycling Intensity: Effect of Caffeine Ingestion. *Medicine and Science*
 391 *in Sports and Exercise*, Epub ahead of print. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000767

392 Hagger, M. S. & Chatzisarantis, N. L. (2013). The sweet taste of success: the presence of
 393 glucose in the oral cavity moderates the depletion of self-control resources. *Personality and*
 394 *Social Psychology Bulletin*, 39, 28-42. doi: 10.1177/0146167212459912

395 Hansen, A. K., Fischer, C. P., Plomgaard, P., Andersen, JL., Saltin, B., & Pedersen, BK.
 396 (2005). Skeletal muscle adaptation: training twice every second day vs. training once daily.
 397 *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 98, 93-99. doi: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00163.2004

398 Impey, S. G., Smith, D., Robinson, A. L., Owens, D. J., Bartlett, J. D., Smith, K., ... Morton,
 399 J. P. (2015). Leucine-enriched protein feeding does not impair exercise-induced free fatty
 400 acid availability and lipid oxidation: beneficial implications for training in carbohydrate-
 401 restricted states. *Amino Acids*, 47, 407-16. doi: 10.1007/s00726-014-1876-y
 402 Kamimori, G. H., Karyekar, C. S., Otterstetter, R., Cox, D. S., Balkin, T. J., Belenky, G. L.,
 403 & Eddington, N. D. (2002). The rate of absorption and relative bioavailability of caffeine
 404 administered in chewing gum versus capsules to normal healthy volunteers. *International*
 405 *Journal of Pharmacology*, 234, 159-67. doi: 10.1016/S0378-5173(01)00958-9
 406 Kasper, A. M., Cocking, S., Cockayne, M., Barnard, M., Tench, J., Parker, L., ... Morton, J.
 407 P. (2015). Carbohydrate mouth rinse and caffeine improves high-intensity interval running
 408 capacity when carbohydrate restricted. *European Journal of Sports Science*, Epub ahead of
 409 print. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2015.1041063
 410 Kuipers, H., Verstappen, F. T., Keizer, H. A., Geurten, P., & van Kranenburg, G. (1985).
 411 Variability of aerobic performance in the laboratory and its physiologic correlates.
 412 *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 6, 197-201.
 413 Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a
 414 practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4, 863. doi:
 415 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00863
 416 Langfort, J., Zarzeczny, R., Pilis, W., Nazar, K., & Kaciuba-Uscitko, H. (1997). The effect of
 417 a low-carbohydrate diet on performance, hormonal and metabolic responses to a 30-s bout of
 418 supramaximal exercise. *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational*
 419 *Physiology*, 76, 128-33.
 420 Meeusen, R., Roelands, B., & Spriet, L. L. (2013). Caffeine, exercise and the brain. *Nestle*
 421 *Nutrition Institute Workshop Series*, 76, 1-12. doi: 10.1159/000350223

Pataky, M. W., Womack, C. J., Saunders, M. J., Goffe, J. L., D'Lugos, A. C., El-Sohemy, A., & Luden, N. D. (2015). Caffeine and 3-km cycling performance: Effects of mouth rinsing, genotype, and time of day. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, Epub ahead of print. doi: 10.1111/sms.12501

Rubinstein, I., Chandilawa, R., Dagar, S., Hong, D., & Gao, X. P. (2001). Adenosine A(1) receptors mediate plasma exudation from the oral mucosa. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 91, 552-60.

Silva-Cavalcante, M. D., Correia-Oliveira, C. R., Santos, R. A., Lopes-Silva, J. P., Lima, H. M., Bertuzzi, R., ... Lima-Silva, A. E. (2013). Caffeine increases anaerobic work and restores cycling performance following a protocol designed to lower endogenous carbohydrate availability. *PLoS One*, 8, e72025. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072025

Spriet, L. L. (2014). New insights into the interaction of carbohydrate and fat metabolism during exercise. *Sports Medicine*, 44, Suppl 1, S87-96. doi: 10.1007/s40279-014-0154-1

Stellingwerff, T. & Cox, G. R. (2014). Systematic review: Carbohydrate supplementation on exercise performance or capacity of varying durations. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, 39, 998-1011. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2014-0027

Taylor, C., Bartlett, J. D., van de Graaf, C. S., Louhelainen, J., Coyne, V., Iqbal, Z., ... Morton, J. P. (2013). Protein ingestion does not impair exercise-induced AMPK signalling when in a glycogen-depleted state: implications for train-low compete-high. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 113, 1457-68. doi: 10.1007/s00421-012-2574-7

Wilson, P. B. (2015). Multiple Transportable Carbohydrates During Exercise: Current Limitations and Directions for Future Research. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning research*, 29, 2056-70. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000835

447

448 **Figure Captions**

449 **Figure 1:** Schematic representation of experimental methodology. CON = control, PLA =
450 glycogen depletion and placebo mouth rinse, CAF = glycogen depletion and caffeine mouth
451 rinse.

452

453

454 **Figure 2:** Power profiles and ratings of perceived pain for five, 6 s sprints separated by 24 s
455 active rest in control (CON), glycogen depletion and placebo (PLA), and glycogen depletion
456 and caffeine (CAF) conditions. A) Peak power; B) Mean power; C) Perceived pain. Data are
457 presented as mean \pm SD. § = CON significantly greater than PLA ($P < 0.05$). * = CON
458 significantly greater than CAF ($P < 0.05$). # = CAF significantly greater than PLA ($P < 0.05$).
459 ¥ = CON significantly less than PLA ($P < 0.05$). & = CAF significantly less than PLA
460 ($P < 0.05$).