

Relph, Nicola ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3323-3444 and Herrington, Lee (2015) Criterion-related validity of knee joint-position-sense measurement using image capture and isokinetic dynamometry. Journal of Sport Rehabilitation .

Downloaded from: https://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/1721/

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available <u>here</u>) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
 - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found <u>here</u>.

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2013-0119

Criterion-Related Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measurement Using Image Capture and Isokinetic Dynamometry

TECHNICAL REPORT 10

Nicola Relph and Lee Herrington

Context: Clinicians require portable, valid, and cost-effective methods to monitor knee joint-position-sense (JPS) ability. **Objective:** To examine the criterion-related validity of image-capture JPS measures against an isokinetic-dynamometer (IKD) procedure. **Design:** Random crossover design providing a comparison of knee JPS measures from image capture and IKD procedures. **Participants:** 10 healthy participants, 5 female, age 28.0 ± 13.29 y, mass 60.3 ± 9.02 kg, height 1.65 ± 0.07 m, and 5 male, 29.6 ± 10.74 y, mass 73.6 ± 5.86 kg, height 1.75 ± 0.07 m. **Main Outcome Measures:** The dependent variables were absolute error scores (AES) provided by 2 knee directions (flexion and extension). The independent variables were the method (image capture and IKD). **Results:** There was no significant difference between clinical and IKD AES into knee-extension data (P = .263, r = 0.55). There was a significant difference between clinical and IKD AES into knee-extension data (P = .016, r = .70). **Conclusions:** Analysis of photographic images to assess JPS measurements using knee flexion is valid against an IKD positioning method, but JPS measurements using knee extension data and thus may provide an optimal environment to produce maximal knee JPS acuity. Therefore, clinicians do not need expensive equipment to collect representative JPS ability.

Keywords: proprioception, isokinetic dynamometer

Clinicians use knee joint-position-sense (JPS) measurements to assess static knee proprioception ability.1 This is an important measurement, as it can either identify patients with a JPS deficiency that may lead to an increased risk of knee injury or progress along a proprioceptive-based rehabilitation program. The traditional clinical JPS measurement technique involves passive knee movement by the clinician to a specific target angle, then active reproduction of this angle by the patient.1 Image capture can be used to collect knee position and hence knee JPS information. However, as the clinician is part of this data-collection process, measurement bias² may be introduced to the data. Therefore, an isokinetic dynamometer (IKD) provides an alternate means to position the knee target angle, removing researcher bias. Kiran et al3 reported high correlations between concurrent measurement of JPS using an IKD, photo analysis, and electrogoniometry. However, all target knee positions were completed by the IKD arm and therefore did not replicate a typical clinical setting. Grob et al4 did consider the correlation between a self-built low-speed motor and passive researcher positioning techniques on different occasions. Results indicated a poor correlation between the 2 measurements (r = -2), suggesting that the methods should not be used interchangeably. It is notable that when the target angle was positioned by the researcher rather than a pulley system, participants produced better JPS acuity results. However, the matching method was produced using a visual analog scale, which has limited ecological validity.¹

Smith et al5 produced a systematic review on the reliability of JPS measurement techniques. Their findings suggested that intrarater reliability depended on dataacquisition techniques; image capture produced greater reliability than electrogoniometry and dynamometry. However, no study has considered the concurrent validity of assessment methods using the same participants.5 An analysis of the validity of JPS techniques is difficult, as there is no universally accepted "gold standard" method of collecting JPS data. However, the use of an IKD to position a limb at a defined angle is accepted. Therefore, criterion-related, specifically concurrent validity was investigated in this study by comparing a clinical JPS measurement technique with an IKD JPS protocol. Concurrent validity is defined as a comparison between 1 previously validated protocol and a new or previously unvalidated procedure.6 Clinicians use JPS to measure the effectiveness of a rehabilitation program, so it is

Commented [JG1]: Please provide 1 or 2 more keywords/phrases for indexing purposes that are not included in the title

Relph is with the Dept of Medical and Sport Sciences, University of Cumbria, Carlisle, UK. Herrington is with the School of Health Science, University of Salford, Salford, UK. Address author correspondence to Nicola Relph at <u>Nicola.Relph@Cumbria.ac.uk</u>.

imperative that the measurements have concurrent validity. The aim of the current study was to validate measurement of JPS using a clinical researcher passivepositioning technique versus an IKD-positioning technique.

Methods

A convenience sample of 10 healthy participants took part in the study (see Table 1 and Appendix). All were free from lower-extremity injury and neurological disease and had no previous history of significant knee injury or surgery. Participants read an information sheet and provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the university ethics board. The dependent variables were collected using IKD (Humac Norm 776, CSMi, Stoughton, MA, USA) and image-capture procedures. The image-capture equipment included a camera (Casio Exilim, EX-FC100, Casio Electronics Co, Ltd, London, UK) and a tripod (Camlink TP-2800, Camlink UK, Leicester, UK). The camera setup followed the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences (BASES) guidelines.⁷

\<<<<<TABLE 1>>>>>>>

Procedures

The study was a random crossover design; hence, participants were tested using both methods, a week apart. Participants wore shorts and removed the sock and shoe from their dominant-leg foot. The participants were prepared for image-capture data collection by placing markers on the following anatomical points: a point on a line following the greater trochanter to the lateral epicondyle, close to the lateral epicondyle (placement of a marker directly on the greater trochanter is difficult due to clothing), the lateral epicondyle, and the lateral malleolus of the dominant leg (following Andersen et al⁸).

Each participant was seated on the end of an orthopedic assessment plinth and blindfolded (see Figure 1). The dominant leg was passively moved by the researcher through 30° to 60° of knee extension from a starting knee angle of 90° or through 60° to 90° of knee flexion from a starting angle of 0° to a target angle at an angular velocity of approximately 10°/s. The order of the target angles was randomly allocated using randomly generated numbers. The participant then actively held the leg in this position for 5 seconds. A photograph of the leg in the target position was taken using the camera placed 3 m from the sagittal plane of movement on the fixed-level tripod. The leg was then passively returned to the starting angle, and the participant was instructed to actively move that leg to the target angle and hold it in this position. Another photograph was taken, and the participant instructed to move the leg back to the starting position. The process was repeated 5 times for each target angle on the dominant leg.

\<<<<<<FIGURE 1>>>>>>

Knee JPS measurements were also collected using an IKD. A specific protocol was written (see Table 2) to

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

ensure that the IKD passively moved the participant's dominant leg to the predetermined target angles. The participant was seated in the IKD chair but not secured in the chair, as this may have introduced sensory feedback from the popliteal fossa, which was not present in the clinical trials. Once the center of rotation of the dominant knee had been correctly aligned to the center of rotation of the IKD lever axis, the leg was strapped to the lever and the participant blindfolded. The IKD protocol then passively moved the leg through 30° to 60° of extension from a starting knee angle of 90° or through 60° to 90° of flexion from a starting angle of 0° to a specified target angle at an angular velocity of 2°/s. Target angles were randomly selected across the range of motion. The leg was held in this position for 5 seconds and then returned to the starting angle. The participant was then instructed to move the leg to the target angle and hold, at which point the experimenter noted the knee angle using the IKD software. This process was repeated 5 times for both knee extension and flexion.

\<<<<<TABLE 2>>>>>>

Data Reduction

Knee angles were measured from the image-capture data using 2-dimensional manual digitizing software (ImageJ, US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997). Knee JPS was calculated from the average delta scores between target and reproduction angles across 5 flexion and 5 extension trials, producing absolute error scores (AES) in which only magnitude was measured Interexaminer and intraexaminer reliability were confirmed using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC 2,1).9 The ICC value corresponding to interexaminer reliability was .98, and 95% confidence intervals ranged from .96 to .99. The ICC value for intraexaminer reliability was .96, and 95% confidence intervals ranged from .91 to .98. Therefore it can be confirmed that interreliability and intrareliability of the data-analysis method were at an acceptable level. Test-retest reliability was confirmed before the current study; knee-extension trials provided an ICC of .89 and knee-flexion trials an ICC of .92.

AES scores from IKD data were calculated by subtracting the reproduction angle from the target angle set in the protocol. The averages of the 5 extension trials and 5 flexion trials were used for further analysis in each condition (photo analysis and IKD).

All statistical analysis was completed in SPSS (Version 19, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to examine normality of data, which was confirmed. Related-samples t tests were used to compare clinical and IKD JPS scores. An alpha level was set at P < .05. The corresponding t statistic and degrees of freedom were used to calculate effect size (r).⁹

Results

There was no significant difference between image-capture AES $(3.7^\circ\pm1.4^\circ)$ and IKD AES $(4.3^\circ\pm1.8^\circ)$

knee-flexion data (P = .263, r = .55). There was a significant difference between image-capture AES ($2.5^{\circ} \pm 0.7^{\circ}$) and IKD AES ($4.3^{\circ} \pm 1.9^{\circ}$) knee-extension data (P = .016, r = .70).

Discussion

Clinicians use JPS to measure the effectiveness of a rehabilitation program and identify patients who may be more at risk for knee injury, so it is imperative that the measurements be valid. Criterion-related validity was confirmed for knee-flexion JPS; there were no differences between JPS in a clinical and IKD setting (P = .263, r =.55). However, knee-extension JPS using an imagecapture technique was different than an IKD-based technique (P = .263, r = .7). The IKD data provided significantly greater error scores than the image-capture data for knee extension. This supports previous evidence that JPS measurement techniques should not be used interchangeably; however, passive positioning by a researcher may provide a more optimal environment for maximal JPS performance.4 It is possible in the IKD setting that participants had to adapt to the addition of the lever arm increasing the mass of the leg and the torque required to extend the knee; hence, effort was not as natural when compared with the image-capture setting and ecological validity was reduced. This may not have the same effect on knee flexion, as the torque required in this direction would be assisted by gravity. Another feasible explanation was the seating in both tests. In the imagecapture test condition participants were seated on the edge of a plinth and hence were not conscious of a back rest and could use pelvis rotation to assist knee extension and the associated hamstring lengthening. Previous research suggests heightened afferent information when muscles are lengthened.10 In the IKD setting participants were seated on the edge of the seat and not supported by the back rest but may have been less likely to use pelvis rotation to assist knee extension and hence perhaps use a less natural (more resistance to) knee-extension movement. Therefore, a clinical setting may provide a more "optimal" environment for knee-extension JPS measurement, as ecological validity is increased.

Results of this validity study have important implications for clinicians. The image-capture measurement of knee JPS with passive positioning of target angles produced similar (knee flexion) and improved (knee extension) AES compared with the IKD setting. This suggests that a clinical measurement technique provides a more optimal environment and "best scores" for JPS than an IKD setting. Therefore, knee JPS can be measured in a clinical setting using cheap and easily accessible equipment; expensive IKD equipment is not necessary.

References

- Beynnon BD, Renstrom PA, Konradsen L, Elmqvist LG, Gottlieb D, Dirks M. Validation of techniques to measure knee proprioception. In: Lephart SM, Fu FH, eds. Proprioception and Neuromuscular Control in Joint Stability. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2000:127–138.
- Sica GT. Bias in research studies. Radiology. 2006;238(3):780–789. <u>PubMed</u> doi:10.1148/radiol.2383041109
- Kiran D, Carlson M, Medrano D, Smith DR. Correlation of three different knee joint position sense measures. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2010;11:81–85. <u>PubMed</u> doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2010.06.002
- Grob KR, Kuster MS, Higgins SA, Lloyd DG, Yata H. Lack of correlation between different measurements of proprioception in the knee. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2002;84(4):614–618. <u>PubMed</u> doi:10.1302/0301-620X.84B4.11241
- Smith TO, Davies L, Hing CB. A systematic review to determine the reliability of knee joint position sense assessment measures. *Knee*. 2013;20(3):162–169. PubMed doi:10.1016/j.knee.2012.06.010
- Sim J, Arnell P. Measurement validity in physical therapy research. *Phys Ther.* 1993;73(2):102–110. <u>PubMed</u>
- Payton CJ. Motion analysis using video. In: Payton, CJ, Bartlett, RM, eds. Biomechanical Evaluation of Movement in Sport and Exercise: The British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences Guidelines. London: Routledge; 2008:8–32.
- Andersen SB, Terwilliger DM, Denegar CR. <u>Comparison of open versus closed kinetic chain test</u> positions for measuring joint position sense. J Sport Rehabil. 1995;4(3):165–171.
- 9. Field A. Discovering Statistics Using SPSS. London, UK: Sage; 2005.
- Ribot-Ciscar E, Roll JP. Ago-antagonist muscle spindle inputs contribute together to joint movement coding in man. *Brain Res.* 1998;791(1-2):167–176. PubMed doi:10.1016/S0006-8993(98)00092-4

Page 3 of 11

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

Appendix: Questionnaires Used to Define Participants' Knee-Function Score (KOOS and Lysholm) and Activity Level (GPPAQ and Tegner)



General Practice Physical Activity Questionnaire

Date.....

Name.....

1. Please tell us the type and amount of physical activity involved in your work.

		Please mark one
а	I am not in employment (e.g. retired, retired for health reasons, unemployed, full- time carer etc.)	box only
b	I spend most of my time at work sitting (such as in an office)	
с	I spend most of my time at work standing or walking. However, my work does not require much intense physical effort (e.g. shop assistant, hairdresser, security guard, childminder, etc.)	
d	My work involves definite physical effort including handling of heavy objects and use of tools (e.g. plumber, electrician, carpenter, cleaner, hospital nurse, gardener, postal delivery workers etc.)	
е	My work involves vigorous physical activity including handling of very heavy objects (e.g. scaffolder, construction worker, refuse collector, etc.)	

 During the <u>last week</u>, how many hours did you spend on each of the following activities? <u>Please answer whether you are in employment or not</u>

		Please mark one box only on each row				
		None	Some but less than 1 hour	1 hour but less than 3 hours	3 hours or more	
a	Physical exercise such as swimming, jogging, aerobics, football, tennis, gym workout etc.					
b	Cycling, including cycling to work and during leisure time					
с	Walking, including walking to work, shopping, for pleasure etc.					
d	Housework/Childcare					
е	Gardening/DIY					

3. How would you describe your usual walking pace? Please mark one box only.



Appendix Figure 1 — GPPAQ.

Commented [JG2]: As I indicated in an earlier e-mail, we cannot use these images without permission from the copyright holder, if indeed they are copyrighted. You will need to determine this and obtain permission if necessary before we can publish these.

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), English version LK1.0

KOOS KNEE SURVEY	

Today's date: ____/ ___ Date of birth: __

Name:

INSTRUCTIONS: This survey asks for your view about your knee. This information will help us keep track of how you feel about your knee and how well you are able to perform your usual activities.

1

Answer every question by ticking the appropriate box, only one box for each question. If you are unsure about how to answer a question, please give the best answer you can.

Symptoms These questions should be answered thinking of your knee symptoms during the last week.

S1. Do you have swelling in your knee?						
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always		

S2. Do you feel grinding, hear clicking or any other type of noise when your knee moves? Rarely Sometimes Ofter Alu

S3. Does your ki Never	nee catch or han Rarely	g up when moving? Sometimes	Often	Always
S4. Can you stra Always	ighten your knee Often	e fully? Sometimes	Rarely	Never
S5. Can you ben Always	d your knee full Often	y? Sometimes	Rarely	Never

Stiffness

The following questions concern the amount of joint stiffness you have experienced during the **last week** in your knee. Stiffness is a sensation of restriction or slowness in the ease with which you move your knee joint.

S6. How severe	is your knee joint	stiffness after firs	st wakening in th	he morning?
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
S7. How severe	is your knee stiff	ness after sitting.]	ving or resting	later in the day?

None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme

Appendix Figure 2(a) - KOOS.

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), English version LK1.0

Pain				
P1. How often of	to you experience	knee pain?		
Never	Monthly	Weekly	Daily	Always

What amount of knee pain have you experienced the last week during the following activities?

P2. Twisting/pivoti None	ing on your knee Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
P3. Straightening k None	inee fully Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
P4. Bending knee f None	ully Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
P5. Walking on fla None	t surface Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
P6. Going up or do None	wn stairs Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
P7. At night while None	in bed Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
P8. Sitting or lying None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
P9. Standing uprig None	ht Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme

Function, daily living The following questions concern your physical function. By this we mean your ability to move around and to look after yourself. For each of the following activities please indicate the degree of difficulty you have experienced in the last week due to your knee.

A1. Descending	stairs				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
A2. Ascending st	tairs				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
a (1) TTO O G					

Appendix Figure 2(b) — KOOS.

Page 6 of 11

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), English version LK1.0

For each of the following activities please indicate the degree of difficulty you have experienced in the **last week** due to your knee.

A3. Rising from	sitting				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
-	-	-	-	-	
A4. Standing					
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
	-			-	
A5. Bending to f	loor/pick up an	object			
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
A6. Walking on	flat surface				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
A7. Getting in/o	ut of car				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
•		•	•	•	
A8. Going shopp	ving				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
A9. Putting on so	ocks/stockings				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
A10. Rising fron	n bed				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
A11. Taking off	socks/stockings				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
A12. Lying in be	d (turning over,	maintaining knee	position)		
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
	u	u		u	
A13. Getting in/	out of bath				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
	•		•		
A14. Sitting					
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	
A15. Getting on/	off toilet				
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	

Appendix Figure 2(c) — KOOS.

Page 7 of 11

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), English version LK1.0

For each of the following activities please indicate the degree of difficulty you have experienced in the **last week** due to your knee.

A16. Heavy don	nestic duties (mo	ving heavy boxes,	scrubbing floors	, etc)
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
A17. Light dome	estic duties (cool	king, dusting, etc)		
None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme

Function, sports and recreational activities The following questions concern your physical function when being active on a higher level. The questions should be answered thinking of what degree of difficulty you have experienced during the **last week** due to your knee.

SP1. Squatting None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
SP2. Running None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
SP3. Jumping None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
SP4. Twisting/piv None	oting on your i Mild	injured knee Moderate	Severe	Extreme
SP5. Kneeling None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extreme
Quality of Life				
Q1. How often are Never	e you aware of Monthly	your knee problem Weekly	? Daily	Constantly
Q2. Have you mo	dified your life	style to avoid pote	ntially damaging	activities
to your knee? Not at all	Mildly	Moderately	Severely	Totally
Q3. How much an Not at all	e you troubled Mildly	with lack of confid Moderately	ence in your kne Severely	e? Extremely
Q4. In general, ho None	w much diffic Mild	ulty do you have wi Moderate	th your knee? Severe	Extreme

Thank you very much for completing all the questions in this questionnaire. Appendix Figure 2(d) - KOOS.



Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

Lysholm Knee Questionnaire / Tegner Activity Scale

Name:	
First	Last
Physician:	

Date: 09 01 14

1. Limp:

a) None
 b) Slight or periodical
 c) Severe and constant

2. Support:

○ a) None
 ○ b) Stick or crutch
 ○ c) Weight-bearing impossible

3. Locking:

- a) No locking and no catching sensations
 b) Catching sensation but no locking
 c) Locking occasionally
- ⊖ d) Locking frequently
- e) Locked joint on examination

4. Instability:

- a) Never giving way
 b) Rarely during athletics or other severe exertion
 c) Frequently during athletics or other severe exertion (or incapable of participation)
 d) Occasionally in daily activities
- e) Often in daily activities
 f) Every step

Appendix Figure 3(a) — Lysholm/Tegner.

5. Pain:

a) None
b) Inconstant and slight during severe exertion
c) Marked during severe exertion
d) Marked on or after walking more than 2 km
e) Marked on or after walking less than 2 km
f) Constant

6. Swelling:

a) None
b) On severe exertion
c) On ordinary exertion
d) Constant

7. Stair-climbing:

a) No problems
 b) Slightly impaired
 c) One step at a time
 d) Impossible

8. Squatting:

- a) No problems
- b) Slightly impaired
 c) Not beyond 90°
- () d) Impossible

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures

Activity Level Before Injury	Current Activity Level	Activity Level Following Surgery if applicable		
0	0	0	Competitive sports Soccer - national and international elite	
0	C	С	Competitive sports Soccer, lower divisions Ice hockey Wrestling Gymnastics	
0	0	0	Competitive sports Bandy Squash or badminton Athletics (jumping, etc.) Downhill skiing	
C	С	С	Competitive sports Tennis Athletics (running) Motorcross, speedway Handball Basketball Recreational sports Soccer Bandy and ice hockey Squash Athletics (jumping) Cross-country track findings both recreational and competitive	
0	0	0	Recreational sports Tennis and badminton Handball Basketball Downhill skiing Jogging, at least five times per week	
С	С	0	Work Heavy labor (e.g., building, forestry) Competitive sports Cycling Cycling Cross-country skiing Recreational sports Jogging on uneven ground at least twice weekly	
0	0	0	Work Moderately heavy labor (e.g., truck driving, heavy domestic work) Recreational sports Cycling Cross-country skiing Jogging on even ground at least twice weekly	
С	0	0	Work Light labor (e.g., nursing) Competitive and recreational sports Swimming Walking in forest possible	
0	0	0	Work Light labor Walking on uneven ground possible but impossible to walk in forest	
0	0	0	Work Sedentary work Walking on even ground possible	
0	0	0	Sick leave or disability pension because of knee problems	
jner:			Lysholm Score: 0 Print Form Submit	

Appendix Figure 3(b) — Lysholm/Tegner.

Page 10 of 11

Validity of Knee Joint-Position-Sense Measures



Figure 1 — Typical setup for image-capture knee-joint position-sense measurements.

Table 1 Participant Characteristics (Mean ± SD)

	Age (y)	Mass (kg)	Height (m)	BMI	GPPAQ range	KOOS	Lysholm	Tegner
Females								
(n = 5)	28.0 ± 13.29	60.3 ± 9.02	1.65 ± 0.07	22.1 ± 1.80	Inactive to active	98.6 ± 3.18	98.8 ± 2.68	5.0 ± 1.22
Males								
(n = 5)	29.6 ± 10.74	73.6 ± 5.86	1.75 ± 0.07	24.1 ± 1.97	Active	92.5 ± 10.87	87.6 ± 17.5	7.8 ± 1.30

Abbreviations: BMI, body-mass index; GPPAQ, General Practitioner Physical Activity Questionnaire; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (the closer the score to 100, the better the knee condition); Lysholm, Lysholm Knee Score (the closer the score to 100, the better the knee condition); Tegner, Tegner Activity Scale (the closer the score to 10, the more physically active) (see Appendix for more details).

Table 2 Isokinetic Dynamometer Protocol

Action	Angle (°)	Hold time (s)
From 0° (full extension) into knee flexion		
passive	90/80/70/90/75	5
passive	0	2
active	Replication	5
passive	0	Back to step 1
From 90° into knee extension (0°)		
passive	30/45/60/45/45	5
passive	90	2
active	Replication	5
passive	90	Back to step 1

Note: Passive action defines isokinetic dynamometer lever movement. Active motion defines participant muscle contraction.

Page 11 of 11