

Mukungu, Kate (2018) Locating the individual in collective activism to address violence against women in post conflict contexts. In: Women's Paths Symposium 2018, Women of the Global South and its Diasporas: Rights, Representation and Activism, 5th June 2018, University of Leeds. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/5374/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
- a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

**Acknowledging Activists
Identities in in Research:
Taking the Lead from
Namibian Activists
Addressing Violence Against
Women and Girls**

Research Purpose

- ▶ Women's activism is a key influencer of policy and practice (Htun and Weldon 2012)
- ▶ Conceptualise women's activism in post conflict / divided societies
- ▶ Understand the impact of legacy of conflict and division on VAW activism and vice versa

Methodology

- ▶ Qualitative, feminist research
- ▶ Life history method
- ▶ 10 activists in each field site
- ▶ Namibia - 2015 and Northern Ireland - 2016

Aim for Today

To make the case for rethinking the social science convention of distorting the identity all life history participants.

Pseudonymity

- ▶ Pre interview, participants were asked to select a pseudonym
- ▶ Rosa refused – subjected to extensive public ridicule (and support) as an activist
- ▶ “Why should I hide behind another name?”

Pseudonymity

- ▶ Sarry supported women to go public about their experiences of violence and abuse.
- ▶ Hiding her identity wouldn't feel right.
- ▶ “How can you write about a person who does not exist?”



What to do?

Being Convinced by Rosa and Sarry

- ▶ Already aware of the need to carefully manage Rosa's data - easily identifiable
- ▶ Sarry and Rosa both gave compelling reasons that were integral to their activism
- ▶ Remembered the unease, but acceptance, expressed by some other participants

Feminist Methodological Angst

- ▶ Women's human rights defenders across the globe endured many harms (Barcia, 2014)
- ▶ Therefore distorting identity in research may be all important OR feel totally irrelevant
- ▶ Feminist reflexivity; importance of feminism in activism (Yoder, Tobias and Snell, 2011)

Feminist Methodological Angst

- ▶ Postcolonial reflexivity: personal motivation, the choice of field sites and dignity
- ▶ Thinking about research through imperial eyes (Tuhiwai Smith, 1999)
- ▶ Expecting push back from Departmental Ethics Committee

Respecting Participants' Wishes

- ▶ I researched confidentiality and anonymity issues to request amended ethical approach
- ▶ Grinyer (2009) – allowed choice because of distress experienced by participants
- ▶ Guenther (2009) – didn't allow choice based on concern it would harm participants

Problems with “Pseudonymity”

- ▶ Guenther (2009) concluded women could be recognised even with distorted names
- ▶ Every datum can point to an identification
- ▶ When groups, places are involved, how do you effectively distort?

Rethinking Methodology

- ▶ Smythe and Miller (2000) recommend process consent; consent for every datum
- ▶ Not possible in this instance, but valuable lesson learned for the future
- ▶ Seeking to coming together with research participants in praxis

The Outcome

- ▶ The Departmental Ethics Committee approved variation in approach
- ▶ 5 out of 16 have chosen to use their own name
- ▶ Still attempting to make contact with 4 Namibian participants

References

Barcia, Inmaculada (2014) *Our Right To Safety: Women Human Rights Defenders' Holistic Approach to Protection*. Toronto: Association for Women's Rights in Development (AWID).

Grinyer, Anne. (2009) The Anonymity of Research Participants: Assumptions, Ethics and Practicalities. *Pan-Pacific Management Review* 12: 49–58.

Guenther, Katja M. (2009) The politics of names: rethinking the methodological and ethical significance of naming people, organizations, and places. *Qualitative Research* 9: 411–21.

Htun, Mala, and S. Laurel Weldon. 2012. The civic origins of progressive policy change: Combating violence against women in global perspective, 1975–2005. *American Political Science Review* 106: 548–69.

Mukungu, Kate (2017) “How can you write about a person who does not exist?”: rethinking pseudonymity and informed consent in life history research. *Social Sciences*, 6 (3). p. 86.

Tuhiwai Smith, Linda (1998) “Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous People”. London and New York: Zed Books Ltd.

Yoder, Sylvia. 2008. “When Declaring “I am a Feminist” Matters: Labelling is Linked to Activism. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research* 64: 9–18.

