

Roscoe, Jason ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6088-1327> , Taylor, Julie ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4113-3857> , Wilbraham, Susan ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8512-0041> and Harrington, Rufus (2019) CBT supervision at the coalface: how closely does the reality mirror the recommendations? An exploration of supervisor and supervisee experiences. In: British Association for Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapies (BABCP) Annual Conference, 3-5 September 2019, University of Bath, UK. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/5019/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
- a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

CBT Supervision at the coalface: how closely does the reality mirror the recommendations?

An exploration of supervisor and supervisee experiences

Authors: Jason Roscoe¹ Dr Julie Taylor² Dr Susan Wilbraham³ Rufus Harrington⁴
(jason.roscoe@cumbria.ac.uk) University of Cumbria^{1, 2, 3, 4}



INTRODUCTION:

Little was known from a phenomenological perspective about the 'lived experience' of supervisees and supervisors in terms of the factors that influence the choices they make about how to use clinical supervision. Similarly, it was also unknown as to how trainers and supervisors decide to structure their supervision sessions or whether they felt they had the appropriate knowledge and training to be effective in this role in the absence of a unified supervision model in the profession.

Previous research by Townend et al (2002) sought to establish a national picture of how supervision was experienced in which low levels of live supervision were identified. This study was undertaken pre-IAPT (Improving Access to Psychological Therapies) and given the significance of IAPT in how CBT is delivered it is important to gather knowledge about how perceptions might have changed following this.

The current study is the first to have collated the views of both supervisees and supervisors and those who practice CBT both accredited and non-accredited or who are not BABCP members.

METHOD:

A qualitative research design was used involving a sample of six therapists with a broad range of clinical experience that were selected from the author's professional network for semi-structured interviews. Some of the participants held dual roles or three roles as trainer, supervisor and supervisee. An inductive approach was used where questions looked to explore participants' experience of providing and receiving supervision and whether these experiences met with their expectations of the supervisor or supervisee roles.

ANALYSIS:

Transcripts were thematically analysed following the approach recommended by Braun & Clarke (2006).

Five themes emerged:

- 1: Safeness of supervision
2. Autonomy
3. Taking supervision seriously
4. Supervisor skill-set
5. Need for more structure

P1 "You can know a subject really well; it doesn't mean that you can *teach it or you can pick up somebody else's feelings about it, kind of thing. You need to be a qualified supervisor and you also need to know about the subject. You need kind of both sides of it, if you like.*"

P.3 *'I think there's two answers. I think one of them is the more honest answer probably and that's there's always that worry that because you've done something for seven years that you should have a certain level of competence and therefore a fear of being crap*

P.6 *'it almost kind of gives a message that someone is willing to invest in their supervisory practice erm and I think if someone's had training, to me as a clinician it gives a message that someone is able to reflect on their supervisory practice and on their supervision of supervision*

DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that supervisees place enormous value on the level of trust and rapport with their supervisor with this taking precedence over them having attended supervisor training or being accredited as a supervisor. A commitment to accreditation and training did show that supervisors invest in their role and there was a desire for more structure to supervision without it being too rigid. It also revealed a level of fear within supervision and a reluctance to be exposed as incompetent.

CONCLUSION:

Despite low levels of live supervision being reported in Townend et al (2002) the reasons for this were not discussed. The findings in this current study indicate that there may be a deliberate avoidance of live supervision due to supervisee fears about being exposed as incompetent. Unlike the CTS-R (Blackburn et al, 2000) there is no universally adopted comparable supervision measure to ensure that there is consistency in terms of format or delivery. As there is no unified framework in CBT Supervision it is difficult to ensure that standards are consistent and to gauge good quality versus sub standard supervision practices. Further research is required to identify problematic supervisee and supervisor beliefs that may act as obstacles within supervision.

References:

- Blackburn, I.M, James, I.A, Milne, D.L & Reichelt, F.K (2000) Cognitive Therapy Scale – Revised (CTS-R), Newcastle
- Braun, V & Clarke, V (2006) *Using thematic analysis in psychology*, Qualitative Research in Psychology, 2, 77-101
- Townend, M, Ianetta, L & Freeston, M (2002) Clinical Supervision in Practice: A survey of UK Cognitive Behavioural Psychotherapists Accredited by the BABCP, Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 2002, 30, 485-500