
Downloaded from: http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/4718/

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria’s institutional repository ‘Insight’ must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria’s institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available here) for educational and not-for-profit activities provided that

• the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form

• a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work

• the content is not changed in any way

• all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

• sell any part of an item

• refer to any part of an item without citation

• amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator’s reputation

• remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found here.
Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.
Sonographers’ management of work-related musculoskeletal disorders: An ideological dilemma?
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Abstract

Background: Contradictions within common sense and the governing ideologies of cultures and institutions are typically analysed as points of practical paralysis. Although rarely acknowledged in the imaging sciences, the work of Billig et al. (1988) conversely highlights how dilemmas within ideology can also have enabling impacts on everyday thought; they can assist individuals in reasoning constructively about themselves and their social environments. Given this, the research reported in this paper explores the manners in which practicing sonographers with work-related musculoskeletal disorders manage their own professional lives. It draws particular attention to how the ideological dilemmas evident, while sometimes constrictive, can also reinforce the participants’ positive self-identification.

Method: Extended semi-structured interviews with N=10 experienced sonographers working in the UK were conducted and provisionally analysed using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (Miller, Booth and Spacey, 2017). Core thematic areas that emphasised ideological contradictions were then further examined to highlight how participants specifically made sense of them.

Results: The key ideological tensions evident in the findings pertained to those between individuality and collectivity, and freedom and necessity. Evidence indicated that the participants often freely chose to work while injured, despite being fully aware of the
prospective personal costs. In doing so, they underscored both their own agency as professionals, and also their own commitment to a broader altruistic model that reinforced their identities as good healthcare professionals.

Conclusions: Ideological dilemmas provide a useful analytic framework for understanding some of the everyday aspects of working with injury in ultrasound. Further exploration of the conceptual facility thereof is recommended elsewhere in the imaging sciences.
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