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SOCIALLY JUST & AUTHENTIC RESEARCH
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AIMS OF SESSION
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Socially Just
DEFINITIONS IN LITERATURE

• **Equality**: every human being has an absolute and equal right to common dignity and parity of esteem and entitlement to access the benefits of society on equal terms.

• **Equity**: every human being has a right to benefit from the outcomes of society on the basis of fairness and according to need

• **Social Justice** – deliberate and specific intervention to secure equality and equity.

• (Chapman and West-Burnham, 2010).
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POINTS OF DIVERSION
One way to map these demands is to consider their source.

Who drives the demands?

What are their interests?

What power do they have over your project?
MAKING THE INVISIBLE...VISIBLE
I WANT MY RESEARCH TO BE…
THE VALUE OF YOUR WORK

‘MEDICAL’ SCIENCE
POSITIVISM

SOCIAL SCIENCE
POST-POSITIVISM
KNOWLEDGE DEMOCRACY

- Valuing a range of:
  - Sources of Knowledge
  - Types of Knowledge
  - Ways of Generating Knowledge
  - Ways of Capturing Knowledge
  - Communicating Knowledge
KNOWLEDGE DEMOCRACY

WHAT'S RIGHT / WRONG

WHAT'S THE BEST FIT FOR PURPOSE
MAKING IT WORK IN THE REAL WORLD
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