

Prince, Heather (2018) Making sense of the sensory outdoors. In: Leather, Mark, (ed.) Book of extended abstracts: 16th European EOE conference: Outdoor atmospheres and narratives - connecting young people to the world. Plymouth Marjon University, Plymouth, UK, pp. 91-96.

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/4096/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
 - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

Making Sense of the Sensory Outdoors

Heather Prince²⁵

Many practitioners introduce young people to sensory activities outdoors to encourage them to make a connection with the environment. This is an inclusive approach to engaging a group of children, which is low cost, utilises little equipment, does not require technical expertise and can take place in a local environment. However, in respect of pedagogy and learning, there are questions about its justification and meaning, and whether or not young people can derive a wider understanding of sensory deprivation and disability. Furthermore, through experiencing nature, do young people actively seek a relationship or connection with it?

Educationalists following Montessori approaches promote sensory integration in young children by providing sensorial materials, usually in a 'prepared' indoor environment. More recently through such pedagogies, the potential of the outdoors for enabling sensory exploration has been recognised: '...the connection between child and nature is of critical importance' (Noddings, 2017, p.45) and should be more inclusive. i.e. not only for children with sensory challenges.

Graham (2014) provides evidence that the most important outcomes for young people of outstanding Outdoor Learning are creativity, ownership and progression. Pedagogical approaches that stimulate sensory awareness, by their very nature encourage and stimulate curiosity, exploration, inquiry, experience and communication and address these outcomes well. Klein, Moon and Hoffman (2006) use similar approaches to define the concept of sense-making as '... a motivated, continuous effort to understand connections (which can be among people, places, and events) each to anticipate their trajectories and act effectively' (ibid.p.71) and involve the concepts of creativity, curiosity, comprehension, mental modelling and situation awareness. Some formal education initiatives and schooling are founded on these outcomes and approaches to outdoor learning, such as nature kindergartens, forest school and bush kinder, and within these and other early year settings,

²⁵ University of Cumbria, UK. heather.prince@cumbria.ac.uk

outdoor play is supported and encouraged. The integration of environmental education including sensory activities in Early Childhood Education (ECE) through its application to the theoretical models of Piaget and Vygotsky has only recently been recognised in the literature (Hebe, 2017). Within outdoor settings for older children, the justification for the inclusion of sensory activities in outdoor learning or environmental education is weaker. Key early proponents of sensory activities in the outdoor environment included educators such as Joseph Cornell and Steve van Matre, 'Learning experiences in our field should include more M & M (magic and meaning) and less 'N & N's (names and numbers)' (van Matre, 1999, p.4). Van Matre included a range of sensory activities in progressive programmes such as 'Earthkeepers' and 'Sunship Earth' popular in the 1980's and 1990's. There have been re-iterations of many of these activities often used out of the intended context, wrongly appropriated or not at all. However, there is a belief that when children are using their senses in the outdoor environment, this plays a key role in knowledge retention and intellectual and cognitive development (Kalisch, 1999; Louv, 2008) although there seems to be little research evidence to support this.

A simulation of sensory deprivation, for example sight, may allow young people to understand the issues of visual impairment and supports the co-construction of narratives about equality, diversity and inclusion and an appreciation of disabilities (Prince, 1999). Conversely, viewed from the perspective of a visually impaired person, disabling the enabled in this way, albeit temporarily, is seen to affect corporeal movement and body language and promotes non-visual 'pictures' or somatic conceptions of the land and a heightened awareness of other senses.

Kellert (2012) introduces the term 'naturalistic necessity' – the role of children's direct experience in nature for growth and development. The question is whether such sensory experiences in the outdoors can develop a relationship or connection with nature. Bögeholz (2006) provides some empirical evidence for experiences in nature contributing to the individual development of attitudes and values and thus suggests that such education promotes and enhances sustainable practice (Cooper, 1999). Outdoor experiences that involve understanding and experiencing nature can change behaviour and attitudes and are key attributes of sustainability (Barnes and Sharp, 2004). Prince (2017a) provides vignettes

of outdoor experiences within formal education that promote a shift towards pro-environmental behaviour.

Are the senses equally addressed with outdoor experiences with young people? It is often the case that 'Ways of seeing' privileges sight relative to other sensory modalities' (Macpherson & Minca, 2005) recognised as 'ocularcentrism' (Jay, 1994). An example of this is asking young people to explore their environment through the lenses of a colour following a prismatic ecological approach (Cohen, 2013) 'Colour ...as a multispecies sensory process or network that generates biosemiotic material effects with their own metaphorical meaning ...' (Yates, 2013, p.85). However, touch is also important and can break the distance between subject and object, assuming a proximal and performative form of knowledge that exceeds representation (Goesser, 2014). The more recent understanding of 'haptic' (of, or pertaining to, touch) experiences, within outdoor adventure education and experiential learning, draws from haptic geographies. These encompass more than the tactile or tactile sensations towards embodiment (Paterson, 2009). In sensory activities, the feeling of an object relative to the body and a body relative to the object supports the understanding of the importance of the involvement of tactile, proprioceptor (the sense of bodily position) and kinaesthetic experiences.

Sensory activities in the outdoors enhance the interest and motivation of young people, and can support cognitive development and changes in behaviour, values and attitudes. Much evidence is anecdotal and more research is needed to substantiate this supposition. Education and learning are important for the development of knowledge and understanding of sustainability amongst young people (Christie, 2012) although the link between outdoor learning experiences and a shift towards pro-environmental behaviour is complex (Prince, *ibid*). However, in a recent survey of the provision of outdoor learning in the formal curriculum of primary schools in England (Prince, 2017b) teachers were keen to use activities in the local environment that are low cost, equipment light, required a low level of teacher expertise in the area of outdoor learning and address core curriculum areas (science, literacy and numeracy). Sensory activities are examples of pedagogical approaches meeting these criteria.

Making sense and eliciting meaning of sensory activities in the outdoors is viewed here from the perspective of the adult and of educators and facilitators of outdoor learning. The voice of the young person ('generation Z') should be heard, as illustrated here:

Note: A & E is the 'Accident and Emergency' department in UK hospitals.

Wild is a child

Wild is a child who stays out until dark
Wild is the child that lights fire with bark
Wild is a child with mud on their knees
Wild is the child who climbs up in the trees
Wild is a child a long way from home
Wild is the child with no need for a comb
Wild is a child who wipes their bum with a leaf
Wild is the child who uses a stick to brush their teeth.
Wild is a child who sleeps under the stars
Wild is the child who keeps tadpoles in jars
Wild is a child who fell out of a tree
Wild is the child with their own parking space at A&E
Wild is a child that I would like to be.

Rowan Ashworth (9), Winner of the Wordsworth Poetry Prize (2017)

The extent to which sensory experiences outdoors should be mediated and facilitated, or whether young people simply need space, place and opportunity to explore and make sense of their environments before adult intervention or interpretation, are complex and debated questions. Sensory experiences outdoors do give young people agency and ownership and undoubtedly promote creativity and enquiry. To achieve progression and promote learning, an outdoor experiential pedagogical approach utilising the senses is invaluable.

References

- Barnes, P. & Sharp, B. (2004). *The RHP companion to outdoor education*. Lyme Regis, UK: Russell House Publishing.
- Bögeholz, S. (2006). Nature experience and its importance for environmental knowledge, values and action: recent German empirical contributions. *Environmental Education Research*, 12(1), 65-84.
- Christie, B. (2012). *The impact of outdoor learning experiences on attitudes to sustainability: review of literature*. Prepared for Field Studies Council. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh.

- Cohen, J.J. (Ed.) *Prismatic ecology. Ecotheory beyond green.* Minnesota, US; London: University of Minnesota Press.
- Cooper, G. (1998). *Outdoors with young people. A leader's guide to outdoor activities, the environment and sustainability.* Lyme Regis, UK: Russell House Publishing.
- Cornell, J.B. (1979). *Sharing nature with children.* Nevada City, US: Dawn Publications.
- Goeser, A.H. (2014). *A haptic geography: How it feels to be Outward Bound.* Unpublished PhD, University of Nebraska, US.
- Graham, S. (2014). *Outstanding outdoor teaching and learning.* Presentation given at the Association of Heads of Outdoor Education Centres (AHOEC) Lake District Regional Meeting, 17 January.
- Hebe, H.N. (2017). Towards a theory-driven integration of Environmental Education: The application of Piaget and Vygotsky in Grade R. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 12(6), 1525-1545.
- Jay, M. (1994). *Downcast eyes: the denigration of vision in the twentieth century French thought.* Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Kalisch, K. (1999). *The role of the instructor in the Outward Bound educational process.* Kearney, US: Morris Publishings.
- Kellert, S. R. (2012). The naturalistic necessity. In Dunlap, J. and Kellert, S. R. (Eds.) *Companions in wonder: Children and adults exploring nature together* (pp.113-136). Cambridge, MA, US: The MIT Press.
- Klein, G., Moon, B. & Hoffman, R.R. (2006). *Making sense of sensemaking 1- alternative perspectives.* Retrieved from:
<http://perigeantechnologies.com/publications/MakingSenseofSensemaking1-AlternativePerspectives.pdf>
- Louv, R. (2008). *Last child in the woods: Saving our children from nature-deficit disorder.* Chapel Hill, NC, US: Agonquin Books.
- Macpherson, H. and Minca, C. (2005). Landscape, embodiment and visual impairment: an exploration of the limits of landscape knowledge. UNESCO University and Heritage 10th International Seminar, 'Cultural landscapes in the 21st century'.
- Noddings, A. (2017). Classroom solutions for sensory-sensitive students. *Montessori Life*, 29(2), 45-49.
- Paterson, M. (2009). Haptic geographies: ethnography, haptic knowledges and sensuous dispositions. *Progress in Human Geography*, 33(6), 766-788.
- Prince, H.E. (2017a). Outdoor experiences and sustainability. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*, 17(2), 161-171.
- Prince, H.E. (2017b). *The provision of outdoor learning in the formal curriculum of primary schools in England.* Presentation at the launch of the University of Cumbria, Learning, Education and Development Research Centre, November 2017.
- Prince, H.E. (1999). Experiential environmental education for primary aged children. In P. Higgins, P. & Humberstone, B. (Eds.). *Outdoor Education in the UK* (pp. 23-29; pp. 19-27 in German). Lüneburg, Germany: Verlag Erlebnispädagogik.

Van Matre, S. (1999). *Earth Education ... a new beginning*. Grenville, US: IEE.

Yates, J. (2013). Orange. In Cohen, J.J. (Ed.) *Prismatic ecology. Ecotheory beyond green*.
Minnesota, US; London: University of Minnesota Press.