

Reid, Vincent M., Dunn, Kirsty, Young, Robert J., Amu, Johnson, Donovan, Tim and Reissland, Nadja (2018) Correction: The human fetus preferentially engages with face-like visual stimuli (2). *Current Biology*, 28 (5). p. 824.

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/3669/>

***Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.***

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

**provided that**

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
  - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

**You may not**

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing [insight@cumbria.ac.uk](mailto:insight@cumbria.ac.uk).

# The Human Fetus Preferentially Engages with Face-like Visual Stimuli

Vincent M. Reid,\* Kirsty Dunn, Robert J. Young, Johnson Amu, Tim Donovan, and Nadja Reissland

\*Correspondence: [v.reid@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:v.reid@lancaster.ac.uk)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.02.025>

(Current Biology 27, 1825–1828; June 19, 2017)

Since publication, three errors have been highlighted:

- (1) Two fetal recordings were excluded from the final sample due to technical issues resulting in corruption of the scan at the source. The final sample and analysis remains unchanged.
- (2) A typographical error in the reported equation from Del Giudice (2011) was incorporated into the “Method Details” section. The actual calculation utilized in the original paper was the correct equation from Del Giudice (2011).
- (3) A statement related to normal distribution of the data has been removed from the “Quantification and Statistical Analysis” section. The original paper used non-parametric analyses, which are unaffected.

These errors have now been corrected in the article online. The authors apologize for these errors and any confusion that has resulted.

