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Disclosure of lesbian, gay and bisexual identity, what do we need to know?
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What is Disclosure or “Coming Out”? 

  - Continuous
  - Lifelong
  - Self-identification
  - Expression
  - Sexual Identity

- An important part of identity development with long standing implications (Durso and Meyer, 2013)
Rationale
Rationale: Why Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual?

• This research focused purely on Lesbian Gay and Bisexual
• Research identifies Sexual identity and Gender identity have differing processes, experiences and impacts of disclosure (Law et al, 2011).
• Personal experiences illustrated little understanding amongst healthcare professionals regarding LGB disclosure and the impacts and reasons for LGB specific knowledge base.
Rationale: Literature background

• Couldrick (2005) – sexual identity, debate with Kielhofner, but has impacts on occupational identity and performance.
  • Kielhofner – not OT as purely biophysical need
  • Couldrick identified impacts on clients identity, health and wellbeing

• Ryan, Legate and Weinstein (2015) – to disclose or not to? A Paradox - positive and negative experiences impacting on health and wellbeing
  • Positive – greater mental and physical wellbeing, satisfaction and increased performance in activities and vocations (Pachankis and Goldfried, 2010)
  • Non-disclosure – negative impacts of prolonged distress whilst short-term self-protection through concealment (Pachankis and Goldfried, 2010)

• AOTA (2013) has guidance on incorporating sexuality in OT practice, but no such guidance available in the UK aside from equality and diversity
Rationale: Literature background

• Heterosexism – the fostering of a culture favouring heterosexual values, relationships and identity, diminishing homosexuality (Twinley, 2014)
  • A contributory factor to repression and non-disclosure increasing secretive sexually compulsive behaviours – Chaney, Burns and Wortham (2015)
  • Non disclosure can lead to isolation, loneliness and a lack of community can perpetuate confusion and lead to marginalisation.
  • The resulting Occupational injustice reinforces detrimental impacts on LGB identity through barriers of engagement resulting from heterosexism (Twinley 2014; Chaney Burns-Wortham 2015).
Rationale: Literature background

• Disclosure is identified as a meaningful need (Durso and Meyer, 2013)
  • Heterosexism inhibits disclosure via;
    • environment, values and opportunities to disclose
  • Facilitation of disclosure increases cultural competency and client interaction with healthcare providers.

• OT utilises meaningful occupations to meet client needs; considering the person, environment and their occupations (Duncan, 2011)
  • Where LGB disclosure is a need of a client, it is arguably within OT’s remit.

• OT currently lacks the LGB evidence base to provide evidence based intervention plans, which is encouraged (COT, 2015)
Method
• Literature review conducted using guidance by Aveyard (2014)

4 major databases: IngentaConnect, Sage, Google Scholar and QUEST

Search Terms:
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Homosexual OR Homosexuality, queer, sexuality, sexual orientation NOT Transgender, NOT Gender. NOT trans, NOT transsexual.

Requisite Terms: Coming Out, OR Disclosure

• Selected subject areas to filter results in QUEST
- CASP (Singh, 2013) was used.
- Thematic analysis
  - Data Extraction table
  - Major themes highlighted
  - Common terms and phrases highlighted
  - Findings checked and re-checked for accuracy
- Bias and Reflexivity:
  - Reflective practice
  - Critical Discussions in researcher supervision with second Author

**Figure 1. A PRISMA Diagram of the search strategy utilised (adapted from Moher et al, 2009)**

Records were searched through IngentaConnect, Sage, Google Scholar, and QUEST which resulted in n=34198 journal articles with criteria including UK accessible, English language and 2010-2015 years of publication.

- Total number of articles after first QUEST subject screen n=13750
- Number of articles excluded n=20448
- Total number of articles after second QUEST subject screen n=3208
- Number of articles excluded in QUEST n=10542
- Articles after duplicates removed n=3205
- Number of articles excluded n=3
- Records included after title and abstract screen criteria n=20
- Number of articles excluded n=3185
- Number of full text articles assessed for eligibility using CASP n=17
- Number of articles excluded n=3
- Total Number of articles in analysis n=17
Findings
Numerical

• 17 papers were included in the review published over 2010-2015
  • 5 Published in the UK
  • 9 Published in the USA
  • 1 in Australia
  • 1 in Malaysia
  • 1 in South Africa

• Research type:
  • 8 papers – interview studies
  • 3 papers – survey studies
  • 2 papers – literature discussions
  • 1 paper – questionnaire study
  • 1 paper – poetic enquiry study
Themes

1. Disclosure and Non-disclosure, may have negative and positive health and wellbeing implications.

2. Support systems, perceptions, fear and expectations impact LGB identity and the disclosure process; both positively and negatively with implications on health, wellbeing and occupation.

3. Disclosure is viewed as a process with several stages, individuals may experience this to impact identity where both disclosure and repression occurs.

4. Demographical factors such as age, religion, culture, ethnicity and social economic status have impacts on individual disclosure/non-disclosure.

5. Occupation and activity based impacts emerged as a result of both positive and negative disclosure experiences.

6. Heterosexism and Homophobia were commonly experienced which had implications on individuals’ occupations, health and wellbeing through disclosure and non-disclosure.
Implications:

• Implications for OT Education

• Implications for OT Practice

• Implications for OT Research
Conclusions

• Disclosure research is lacking in the fields of OT and OS literature
• OT’s philosophical underpinnings of holistic and client-centred practice need to be further met by LGB disclosure research.
• Further education and research is necessary in OT for evidence based practice to enable effective assessment, intervention and outcomes for LGB clientele.
• Further specific research is required to identify more specific the meanings behind LGB specific occupations
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