

Briscoe, Clare (2016) Harnessing the power of feedback in Assessment for Learning. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/2428/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
- a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

Harnessing the Power of Feedback in Assessment for Learning

Assessment for Learning (AfL) originates from Black and Wiliam's (1998) initial research into the role of formative assessment in the classroom and its positive impact on pupil achievement. However, with assessment's 'traditional' connotations of testing and exams (Wiliam, in Stewart, 2012), along with the government's increasing push for progress and "higher standards" (ARG, 1999, p.2) the original intentions of Assessment *for* Learning have become confused with Assessment *of* Learning. This is particularly evident when we consider the government's misleading interpretation of AfL, which proposes a strategy based on summative assessment and measureable progress through national curriculum levels (DCFS, 2008). In fact, Torrance (2011) points to the potentially disastrous effects of the tension between two opposing desires: to improve current assessment practices to help enhance learning and "policy [which] demands for school improvement and political accountability" (Torrance, 2011, p.464).

Just as AfL has been subject to much "misinterpretation" (Swaffield, 2010) leading to the "distortion and denaturing" (Burch, 2016) of its original aims, so too have the strategies and principles within it. We currently find ourselves trapped within a "performativity" (Ball, 2003) culture; forced into "an existence of calculation" (Ball, 2003, p. 215) which pushes teachers into 'teaching to the test' or, as Davidson (2007) claims, into "educat[ing] by numbers". In the classroom, this translates into the teaching of what Dadds (2001) referred to as a "hurry-along curriculum" (Dadds, 2001, p.49), and a tendency to focus on attainment rather than achievement goals with a view to moving on to the "next level" (Stobart, 2012, p.239) and preparing for performance in "high stakes" tests (Harlen, 2012, p. 176). This "tick-box culture" (Boyd, 2012) could go some way to explaining why many teachers seem only able to adhere to the "letter" rather than the "spirit" of AfL (Marshall & Drummond, 2006, p.133) in the hope that AfL will provide them with a quick-fix solution and it is this attitude which seems to be equally prevalent when examining the application of theory on effective feedback, leading to much destructive practice (Kluger & DeNisi, 1996).

The provision of effective feedback is central to AfL. Its pivotal role becomes clear when we refer back to the ten principles of AfL drawn up by the Assessment Reform Group (ARG, 2002); it is clear that effective feedback encompasses the majority of these principles: considering pupil motivation, promoting "understanding of goals and criteria", and providing "constructive guidance" in a sensitive way since "any assessment has an emotional impact" (ARG, 2002). Indeed, the central role of feedback is equally apparent in the original definition of AfL:

the process of seeking and interpreting evidence for use by learners and their teachers to decide where learners are in their learning, where they need to go and how best to get there. (ARG, 1999, p.2; 2002)

An important aspect of AfL is that learners become more involved in their own learning by responding to feedback, which in turn helps them to become more autonomous and responsible learners. Teachers must gauge their pupils' understanding and provide feedback in order to find ways of bridging the gap between their current understanding and the next step in the learning process. It is easy, however, to see how formative and summative assessment can become amalgamated.

Feedback is a vast and somewhat controversial topic, not only because there are various ways of providing feedback but also because of the variety of factors at play (Stobart, 2012, p.239). Feedback is inextricably linked with pupil motivation and self-esteem and for this reason it is highly individual in nature. As Stobart (2012) points out "the same feedback given to two learners could have opposite effects" (Stobart, 2012, p. 239). Thus, giving effective feedback is a highly complex skill to master. In fact, although feedback has been shown to play a crucial role in pupil learning, "its impact can be either positive or negative" (Hattie and Timperley, 2007, p.81).

For the purposes of this essay, I will concentrate on teacher – pupil feedback within AfL as well as discussing the potential impacts of such feedback on pupil learning, relating this, where appropriate, to my own experience. The key issues I will explore include: creating a learning environment that is conducive to effective feedback, whether to use praise when giving feedback, how mindsets affect motivation and making feedback useful.

A climate for feedback

If we look at the etymology of the word 'assessment' (Swaffield, 2011, p. 434) coming from the Latin 'assidere' meaning 'to sit beside' the true purpose of what Swaffield (2011) refers to as "authentic" AfL becomes much clearer; it is not about gauging pupil performance from a distance. Instead it is about being in a supportive dialogue, with the teacher in a guiding role and who is constantly assessing and re-assessing her pupils so that she can provide them with the help and support they need. Indeed, Wiliam (cited in Stewart, 2012) admits that one of his biggest mistakes was his failure to emphasize the fact that pupils "aren't going to get it all the time"; hence the crucial role of effective feedback in AfL.

For Black *et al.* (2002, p. 9), feedback must be as specific as possible, outlining both positive and negative aspects of the work and providing clear indications of how to make improvements. The ARG (1999, 2002, 2003) and Black *et al.* (1998, 2004) underline the importance of providing pupils with high quality, "non-judgemental" (Harlen, 2006, p. 111) feedback that highlights problematic areas within their work, giving them "a clear understanding of what is wrong and achievable targets for putting it right" (Black and Wiliam, 1998, p. 6).

Feedback over praise

Unfortunately, a common misconception is that an important part of feedback requires us to praise our pupils when praise can actually have a multitude of

negative consequences (Didau, 2015; Dweck, 2006) including making our feedback “ego-enhancing” (Butler, 1987), so that praise can work in much the same detrimental way as providing scores. Didau (2015) highlights that the “problem with praise” is that it is not always sincere and is “always judgemental” (Didau, 2015, pp.330-1). Both Dweck (2006) and Boyd *et al.* (2015) warn of the dangers of the “wrong sort of praise” including its capacity to instil “a fear of future failure” in pupils (Boyd *et al.*, 2015, p.100) and to be seen as patronising (Ur, 1991, p. 257; Didau, 2015) and even manipulative (Kohn, 2001) making it counterproductive. When the teacher-pupil relationship is good, praise becomes unnecessary. (Boyd *et al.*, 2015, p.52; Ur, 1991)

The impact of mindset on motivation

Feedback should attempt to encourage what Dweck (2006) coined a “growth mindset”. Indeed, Wiliam (2011), just like Dweck (2006) and Boyd *et al.* (2015), suggests that we should attempt to provide our pupils with feedback that “supports a view of ability as incremental rather than fixed” (Wiliam, 2011, p.119) so that they come to understand that through effort they can achieve. When pupils understand that they have control over their academic destiny, it pushes them to take responsibility for their own learning and gives them a “powerful sense of agency” (Boyd *et al.*, 2015, p.50). As Harlen (2012) points out, when motivation is intrinsic “it is more likely to lead to a desire to continue learning” (Harlen 2012, p.173) and so feedback is likely to have more of an effect.

When is feedback useful?

Kluger and DeNisi’s (1996) study into the effects of feedback show us that feedback should be treated with caution. Wiliam (2011) points out that feedback is only effective if it is used so that pupils can make improvements and that it “should be more work for the recipient than the donor” (Wiliam, 2011, p. 129) which, unfortunately, is often not the case. This means that teachers need to allocate sufficient time in lessons to allow pupils to respond to feedback (Black *et al.*, 2002, p. 9) so that a feedback loop can be established. This is why Wiliam (2011, p. 129) suggests that we should be selective about when we give feedback since we need to build response time into our lessons.

However, even when given the time and space to respond to feedback, pupils can still remain disengaged. One way of combatting this, Wiliam (2011, p. 130) suggests, is to separate the comments from the work so that groups of pupils have to help one another to select the correct corresponding feedback that goes with each piece of work.

Moreover, Wiliam (2011, p. 130) warns of the negative effects of providing too much feedback, which can actually have the opposite effect to that which we would expect by encouraging pupils to ignore comments because they are too overwhelming and so the learning opportunity is missed. In an “age of measurement” (Biesta, 2009), quantity equals quality and this common misconception has led to teachers feeling pressured into providing such detailed commentaries of their pupils’ work that pupils and teachers alike are ‘drowned’

in the “flood of feedback” (Quigley, 2014; DfE, 2016). Thus, Wiliam (2011) argues that “less is often more” (Wiliam, 2011, p. 130) and, like Didau (2015) suggests that we should focus on “reducing feedback” (Didau, 2015, p. 249) with a view to making *less* comments *more* specific. In the past, my own tendency has been to ‘over-comment’; Didau (2015) suggests that one way of reducing feedback is to ask pupils to indicate which parts of their work they would like feedback on or feel unsure of; then, the teacher can then focus on these (Didau, 2015, p.256).

In conclusion, feedback is central to AfL; if nothing else, teachers should attempt to “do no harm” (Haydn, 2013) when providing feedback and this feedback should “cause thinking” (Wiliam, 2011, p.127) in the recipient. Only then is there a possibility that it will be taken on board and have the potential to make a positive contribution to a pupil’s learning. Moreover, if feedback is to be effective, there must be a solid teacher-pupil relationship based on trust.

Bibliography

Assessment Reform Group (1999) *Assessment for learning: beyond the black box*. Available at: <http://www.aaia.org.uk/content/uploads/2010/06/Assessment-for-Learning-Beyond-the-Black-Box.pdf> (Accessed: 19 February 2016).

Assessment Reform Group (2002) *Assessment for learning: 10 principles*. Available at: <http://www.aaia.org.uk/afl/assessment-reform-group/> (Accessed: 29 January 2016).

Assessment Reform Group (2003) *The role of teachers in the assessment of learning*. Available at: <http://www.nuffieldfoundation.org/sites/default/files/files/The-role-of-teachers-in-the-assessment-of-learning.pdf> (Accessed: 19 February 2016).

Atkinson, T. & Lazarus, E. 'Assessment'. In Swarbrick, A. (ed.) (2002) *Aspects of Teaching Secondary Modern Foreign Languages: Perspectives on Practice*. London: RoutledgeFalmer.

Ball, S.J. (2003) 'The teacher's soul and the terrors of performativity', *Journal of Education Policy*, 18 (2), pp.215-228.

Biesta, G. (2009) 'Good education in an age of measurement: on the need to reconnect with the question of purpose in education', *Educational Assessment, Evaluation & Accountability*, 21 (1), pp.33-46.

Black, P.J. & William, D. (1998) *Inside the black box: raising standards through classroom assessment*. London: King's College School of Education.

Black, P., Harrison, C., Lee, C., Marshall, B. & William, D. (2004) 'Working Inside the Black Box: Assessment for Learning in the Classroom', *The Phi Delta Kappan*, (1), pp.9-21.

Black, P., Harrison, C., Lee, C., Marshall, B. & William, D. (2002) *Working inside the black box: Assessment for learning in the classroom*. London: King's College School of Education.

Boyd, B. (2012) 'Ticked off by tick-box culture', *The Times Educational Supplement Scotland*, 3 August, Issue 2277, p.30

Boyd, P., Hymer, B. & Lockney, K. (2015) *Learning Teaching: Becoming an inspirational teacher*. Norwich: Critical Publishing Ltd.

Burch, J. (2016) *The distortion and denaturing of AfL: MFL Pfl*, University of Cumbria, 29 January, 2016.

- Butler, R. (1987) 'Task-Involving and Ego-Involving Properties of Evaluation: Effects of Different Feedback Conditions on Motivational Perceptions, Interest, and Performance', *Journal of Educational Psychology* 79(4): pp. 474-482.
- Dadds, M. (2001) *The Politics of Pedagogy, Teachers and Teaching: theory and practice*, 7:1, pp. 43-58.
- Davidson, K. (2007) 'Education by Numbers: The Tyranny of Testing', *English Drama Media*, (9), pp.66-67.
- DCSF (2008) *The Assessment for Learning Strategy*. Available at: <http://www.education.gov.uk/publications/eOrderingDownload/DCSF-00341-2008.pdf> (Assessed: 19 February 2016)
- Didau, D. (2015) *What If Everything You Knew About Education Was Wrong?* Carmarthen: Crown House Publishing Limited.
- Dweck, C., S. (2006) *Mindset: How you can fulfil your potential*. New York: Ballantine.
- Gershon, M. (2013) *How to Use Assessment for Learning in the Classroom: The Complete Guide*. USA: CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
- Harlen, W. 'The Role of Assessment in Developing Motivation for Learning'. In Gardner, J. N. (ed.) (2012) *Assessment and Learning*. 2nd edn. London: SAGE.
- Harlen, W. 'On the Relationship between Assessment for Formative and Summative Purposes'. In Gardner, J.N. (ed.) (2006) *Assessment and Learning*. London: SAGE.
- Hattie, J. & Yates, G. (2014) *Visible Learning and the Science of How we Learn*. London: Routledge.
- Hattie, J. & Timperley, H. (2007) 'The Power of Feedback', *Review of Educational Research*, March, 77:1, pp. 81-112.
- Haydn, T. "'First do no harm": Assessment, pupil motivation and learning'. In Capel, S., Leask, M. and Turner, T. (ed.) (2013) *Learning to Teach in the Secondary School: A Companion to School Experience*. 6th edn. London: Routledge.
- James, M. (2002) 'Assessment for Learning: What is it and what does research say about it?' *Learning How to Learn*. Available at: http://www.learntolearn.ac.uk/cgi-bin/learntolearn/index.pl?start=home/003_introduction (Accessed: 17 April 2016)
- Jones, J. & Wiliam, D. (2008) *Modern Foreign Languages inside the black box*. London: King's College School of Education.

Kluger, A.N. & DeNisi, A. (1996) 'The effects of feedback interventions on performance: a historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory', *Psychological Bulletin*, 119 (2), pp.254-284.

Kohn, A. (2012) 'Criticizing (Common Criticisms of) Praise', *Alfie Kohn*, 3 February. Available at: <http://www.alfiekohn.org/blogs/criticizing-common-criticisms-praise/?print=pdf> (Accessed: 17 April 2016).

Kohn, A. (2001) 'Five Reasons to Stop Saying "Good Job!"', *Alfie Kohn*, September. Available at: <http://www.alfiekohn.org/article/five-reasons-stop-saying-good-job/?print=pdf> (Accessed: 17 April 2016).

Marshall, B. & Drummond, M. (2006) 'How teachers engage with Assessment for Learning: lessons from the classroom', *Research Papers in Education*, 21 (2), pp.133-149.

National College for Teaching & Leadership (2014) *Beyond Levels: alternative assessment approaches developed by teaching schools Research Report*, September. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/349266/beyond-levels-alternative-assessment-approaches-developed-by-teaching-schools.pdf (Accessed: 14 April 2016).

Nuthall, G. (2007) *The Hidden Lives of Learners*. Wellington, New Zealand: NZCER Press.

Pollard, A. (2014) *Readings for reflective teaching in schools*. 2nd edn. London: Bloomsbury.

Pollard, A. (2014) *Reflective teaching in schools*. 4th edn. London: Bloomsbury.

Quigley, A. (2015) 'Don't make a meal out of student feedback', *The Times Educational Supplement*, September, Issue 5165.

Quigley, A. (2014) 'How learning is mired in a flood of feedback', *The Times Educational Supplement*, October, Issue 5119.

Stewart, W. (2012) 'Think you've implemented Assessment for Learning?' *The Times Educational Supplement*, 13 July, Available at: <https://www.tes.co.uk/article.aspx?storycode=6261847> (Accessed: 19 February 16).

Stobart, G. 'Validity in Formative Assessment'. In Gardner, J. (2012) (ed.) *Assessment and Learning*. 2nd edn. London: SAGE.

Swaffield, S. (2010) 'The misrepresentation of Assessment for Learning – and the woeful waste of a wonderful opportunity', *The Association for Achievement & Improvement through Assessment*. Available at:

<http://www.aaia.org.uk/content/uploads/2010/07/The-Misrepresentation-of-Assessment-for-Learning.pdf> (Accessed: 18 April 2016)

Swaffield, S. (2011) 'Getting to the heart of authentic Assessment for Learning', *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 18 (4), pp.433-449.

Thompson, M. & Wiliam, D. (2007) *Tight but loose: A conceptual framework for scaling up school reform. Paper presented at a symposium entitled: 'Tight but loose: Scaling up teacher professional development in diverse contexts' at the annual conference of the American Educational Research Association. Chicago, IL April 9-11.*

TLRP (2002) 'AfL in Action – Feedback', *Learning How to Learn*. Available at: http://www.learntolearn.ac.uk/cgi-bin/learntolearn/index.pl?start=home/005_afl_in_action/002_feedback (Accessed: 15 April 2016).

Torrance, H. (2011) 'Using Assessment to Drive the Reform of Schooling: Time to Stop Pursuing the Chimera?', *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 59 (4), pp.459-485.

Wiliam, D. (2011) *Embedded Formative Assessment*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.

Ur, P. (1991) *A Course in Language Teaching: Practice and theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.