

Crawford, Trevor, Litchfield, Damien and Donovan, Tim (2017)  
“Target-absent” decisions in cancer nodule detection are more  
efficient than “target-present” decisions! Behavioral and Brain  
Sciences, 40 (e136). pp. 23-24.

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/2073/>

*Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria’s institutional repository  
‘Insight’ must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.*

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria’s institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

**provided that**

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
- a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

**You may not**

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator’s reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing [insight@cumbria.ac.uk](mailto:insight@cumbria.ac.uk).

## Behavioral and Brain Sciences

**'Target absent' decisions in cancer nodule detection are more efficient than 'target present' decisions!**  
--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	
<b>Full Title:</b>	'Target absent' decisions in cancer nodule detection are more efficient than 'target present' decisions!
<b>Short Title:</b>	'Target absent' decisions can be more efficient than 'target present' decisions!
<b>Article Type:</b>	Commentary Article
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Trevor Crawford, PhD Lancaster University UNITED KINGDOM
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Lancaster University
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Trevor Crawford, PhD
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Trevor Crawford, PhD Damien Litchfield, PhD Tim Donovan, PhD
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	Many parts of the medical image are never fixated when a radiologist searches for cancer nodules. Experts are able to use peripheral vision very efficiently. The size of the functional visual field appears to increase according to the level of expertise. However, searching a medical image diverges, in a puzzling way, from the typical search for a target feature in the laboratory.

BBS Target article: The impending demise of the item in visual search  
By Johan Hulleman & Christian N. L. Olivers

Target absent' decisions in cancer nodule detection are more efficient than  
'target present' decisions!

Crawford TJ<sup>1</sup>, Litchfield, D<sup>2</sup> & Donovan, T<sup>3</sup>

1. Dr Trevor J. Crawford CPsychol, AFBPsS  
Deputy Director Centre for Aging Research  
Dementia Research and Eye Tracking Lab  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Psychology Department  
Lancaster University, LA1 4YF, UK

2. Dr Damien Litchfield CPsychol, fHEA  
Senior Lecturer in Psychology  
Department of Psychology  
Edge Hill University  
St Helens Rd  
Ormskirk, L39 4QP

3. Dr Tim Donovan  
Associate Professor  
Medical & Sport Sciences  
University of Cumbria  
Lancaster, LA1 3JD

Abstract: (61 WORDS)

Many parts of the medical image are never fixated when a radiologist searches for cancer nodules. Experts are able to use peripheral vision very efficiently. The size of the functional visual field appears to increase according to the level of expertise. However, searching a medical image diverges, in a puzzling way, from the typical search for a target feature in the laboratory.

Main Text: (812 WORDS)

There has been little change in the proportion of medical decision errors in radiology over the last 60 years, despite substantial advances in technology. The field has not succeeded in capturing or understanding the fundamental properties of visual search and the allocation of visual attention of the expert radiologist, nor in translating the essential search skills into training programs. We therefore welcome this work, in the hope that a new bridge will be developed that will connect visual science with this radiological challenge. As stated (p60) the fields of medical imaging visual search “have been underserved by item-based models (or any form of overarching theory of search...)” What constitutes an ‘item’ in the medical image, is not at all obvious. H & O suggest that the focus on the individual search items should now give way to a greater emphasis the properties of the functional visual field (FVF).

There is some evidence from our own work that this approach is relevant to visual search with medical images. In tasks where we have conducted eye tracking experiments on groups with differing levels of expertise, we found that experts will typically make fewer fixations than novice observers. This happens for a relatively straightforward task such as fracture detection in bones (Donovan et al, 2005) and also more complicated tasks, such as chest radiographs with many potential ‘items’ or structures which resemble pathology. We have demonstrated distinct differences between radiologists (experts), radiographers (pre and post training in chest radiograph interpretation) and novice observers when searching for lung nodules in chest radiographs. Experts find many more lung nodules while generating fewer fixations and larger saccadic amplitudes yet (see Manning et al, 2006). This supports the idea that the FVF is modifiable and does change according to the level of expertise. The work also sheds some light on the time-scale of this learning or plasticity. After 6 months of training the number of fixations of the

radiographers had reduced, compared to their pre-training levels, but had not reached that of the expert radiologist (see Table 1). Importantly, as well as making fewer fixations there was a more uniform distribution of fixations across all regions of the chest radiograph by the experts, suggesting that once the FVF has adapted to the task as a result of training, it is applied consistently across the medical image.

More direct evidence of modifications to FVF could be explored with gaze-contingent display paradigms to isolate the expertise dependent changes in visual search from the benefits (and costs) of initially processing the entire scene (Litchfield & Donovan, in press). The link of fixations with the speed of RTs points to one of the key hallmark traits of expertise – that experts are able to find targets faster and with fewer fixations than novices (Reingold & Sheridan, 2011). One hallmark of expertise, which is best confirmed using gaze-contingent paradigms, is that the perceptual span increases as a function of expertise (Kundel, Nodine & Toto 1984; Charness, Reingold, Pomplun & Stampe, 2001; Rayner, 2009). Simple RT slopes have not helped us to understand why so many cancers are missed in medical imaging, therefore we appreciate that central role of the FVF in this conceptual model. Unpacking the dynamic nature of FVF, as a function of task and expertise, may yield a greater insight into this process.

However, we do see an area of concern: The model replicates the conventional finding that target present decisions are conducted more quickly than target absent decisions (in medical images ‘target absent’ would be equivalent to the true negative images – i.e. images where no cancer modules are present). H & O state (p59) "...all models of visual search, including the framework here, seem much better at describing target-present than target-absent trials". However, in a study of chest x-rays where some films contained cancerous nodules and some did not, the target-absent (true negatives) decisions were faster than the true positive decisions (see Manning et al, 2005). Interestingly, this applied to both expert (radiologists) and novices. Our concern therefore goes beyond the lack of an explicit stop search signal. There appears to be a fundamental reversal in the normal pattern of target absent vs. target present decisions when visual search is conducted with a chest x-ray.

Recently, Litchfield and Donovan (in press) used a gaze contingent preview to

explore the effects a preview window in the domain of a naturalistic scene versus a medical image for radiologists and novices. The work found a clear dissociation between the two domains, with a strong preview benefit on the visual search performance for naturalist scenes, but no benefit with medical images for either group. Thus, our earlier and more recent work urges caution in extrapolating across the different search domains of feature search tasks, naturalist scenes and medical images. This suggests the bridge that the authors are seeking to construct will be more complex than envisaged.

## References

Charness, N., Reingold, E. M., Pomplun, M., & Stampe, D. M. (2001). The perceptual aspect of skilled performance in chess: Evidence from eye movements. *Memory & Cognition*, 29, 1146-1152. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/BF03206384>

Manning, D., Barker-Mill, S.C., Donovan, T., & Crawford, T. (2005). Time-dependent observer errors in pulmonary nodule Detection. *The British Journal of Radiology*, 78 1–5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1259/bjr/13453920>

Donovan, T., Manning, D. J., Phillips, P. W., Higham, S., & Crawford, T. (2005). The effect of feedback on performance in a fracture detection task. *SPIE*, 5749, 79–85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.593294>

Kundel, H. L., Nodine, C. F., & Toto, L. C. (1984). Eye movements and the detection of lung tumors in chest images. In A. G. Gale, & F. Johnson (Eds.). *Theoretical and Applied Aspects of Eye Movement Research* (pp. 297-304). North-Holland: Elsevier. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115\(08\)61846-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115(08)61846-4)

Litchfield, D. & Donovan, T. (in press). Worth a quick look? Initial scene previews can guide eye movements as a function of domain-specific expertise but can also have unforeseen costs. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*.

Manning D., Ethell, S., Donovan, T., & Crawford, T. (2006). How do radiologists do it? The influence of experience and training on searching for chest nodules. *Radiography* 12, 134-142. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2005.02.003>

Rayner, K. (2009). Eye movements and attention in reading, scene perception, and visual search. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 62, 1457-1506. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17470210902816461>

Table 1. Mean number of fixations per zone ( $n = 27$  x-ray films). Data from Manning et al., 2006.

	Chest Zone Number														Total	SD
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		
Radiologists	16.3	18	19.5	20.1	15.6	16	18.5	19.9	19.6	14.3	13.5	18	18	17.3	245	2.09
Radiographers Post-training	26.4	23.6	25	32.2	29.2	22.6	31	29	30.2	26.8	19.6	31.6	31.4	29.6	388	3.84
Radiographers Pre-training	31.8	28.6	30	32.2	29.5	22.6	30	29	30	26.8	19.6	31	32	29.8	403	3.6
Novices	30.3	28.5	29	29.8	29.6	23	30	30	31	27	20	30	30	31	399	3.18