

Capsey, Matt ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3659-5344, Ryan, Cormac, Mankelow, Jagjit and Martin, Denis (2024) Utilisation and experience of emergency medical services by patients with back pain: a scoping review. Musculoskeletal Science and Practice, 71. p. 102928.

Downloaded from: http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/7606/

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available <u>here</u>) for educational and not-for-profit activities

## provided that

• the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form

• a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work

- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

## You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

## The full policy can be found here.

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Musculoskeletal Science and Practice

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/msksp

**Review** article

# Utilisation and experience of emergency medical services by patients with



<sup>a</sup> Institute of Health. University of Cumbria. Bowerham Road. Lancaster. LA1 3JD. UK

back pain: A scoping review

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Rehabilitation, School of Health and Life Sciences, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, Tees Valley, TS1 3BX, UK

<sup>c</sup> School of Health and Life Sciences, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, Tees Valley, TS1 3BX, UK

| ARTICLE INFO  | A B S T R A C T  |
|---|--|
| <i>Keywords:</i><br>Scoping review<br>Back pain<br>Emergency medical services<br>Emergency care | <ul> <li>Background: Back pain is recognised as a common reason for people to access emergency medical services (EMS).</li> <li>EMS focus on identifying and treating serious and life-threatening conditions. Back pain frequently has a non-specific cause, however back pain is also a symptom for potentially serious pathology best suited for management by EMS.</li> <li>Objectives: This scoping review explores how and why patients with back pain access EMS, the care provided, and patients' and clinicians' perceptions of EMS.</li> <li>Methods: The established methodology advocated by the Joanna Briggs Institute was followed. Literature was identified via a comprehensive search of six databases as well as grey literature searching. Data was extracted to form a narrative review supported by summary tables and figures.</li> <li>Results: The review included 144 papers across the last 36 years, with half the papers published since 2018, the majority from the USA and Australia. Rates of back pain presentation range from 1 to 9% depending on the definition used, with the rate of serious pathology higher than in primary care. Patients present due to concerns about their condition, positive perceptions of the care provided by EMS and difficulty in accessing primary care. Imaging and opioids are widely used, blood markers may aid diagnosis of serious pathology, whilst physiotherapists in Emergency Departments may support management of patients without serious pathology.</li> <li>Conclusions: Back pain is a common reason for EMS presentation. Whilst non-specific back pain is the most common diagnosis further research to support the recognition and care of serious cases would be beneficial.</li> </ul> |

#### 1. Introduction

Back pain is a common cause of pain and disability. It is estimated to affect about 80% of the population during their life, with a point prevalence rate of 12% (Hoy et al., 2010, 2012). Only a small minority (<1%) are believed to have a serious underlying pathology that requires emergency treatment (Henschke et al., 2009). Back pain has been identified as a common cause for emergency presentation, and patients presenting to emergency medical services (EMS) are more likely to receive imaging than those presenting elsewhere (Downie et al., 2020). EMS focuses on identifying and treating serious and life-threatening conditions. It has been argued that care provided by EMS to patients with back pain is of low value (Machado et al., 2017; Buchbinder et al., 2020) and, rather than providing unnecessary and expensive investigations and treatment, it would be more appropriate for these patients to be referred back to primary care. This is especially relevant as, although the exact reasons vary, it is recognised that demand on EMS has been rising globally (Lowthian et al., 2011; NHS England, 2019). However, more recently, it has been argued that those who present to EMS with back pain may be substantially different to those that present to primary care services and thus the assessment and treatment provided may be appropriate (Ferreira et al., 2018; Machado et al., 2020; Capsey et al., 2022a; Melman et al., 2022). There are guidelines specific to managing back pain in EMS; established guidelines focus on primary care and some studies have tried to enhance emergency care based on these (Potier et al., 2015).

To ensure limited resources are used effectively and appropriate treatment is provided in the most appropriate setting there may be a need to develop bespoke EMS guidelines for those presenting with back

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2024.102928

Received 7 June 2023; Received in revised form 16 January 2024; Accepted 7 March 2024 Available online 14 March 2024

2468-7812/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Institute of Health, University of Cumbria, Bowerham Road, Lancaster, LA1 3JD, UK.

E-mail addresses: matt.capsey@cumbria.ac.uk (M. Capsey), c.ryan@tees.ac.uk (C. Ryan), j.mankelow@tees.ac.uk (J. Mankelow), d.martin@tees.ac.uk (D. Martin).

| Abbrevi  | Abbreviations  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| APP      | advanced practice physical therapist                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BMI      | body mass index  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CES      | cauda equina syndrome                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CRP      | C-reactive protein                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CT       | computer tomography                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED       | emergency department                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESR      | erythrocyte sedimentation rate                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICD-9-Cl | M International Classification of Diseases 9th edition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | Clinical Modification                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LBP      | low back pain  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS      | length of stay   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MRI      | magnetic resonance imaging                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSK      | musculoskeletal  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NSAID    | non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RCT      | randomised control trial                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA      | spinal epidural abscess                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLR      | straight leg raise                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

pain. However, this is difficult as there is a lack of evidence about EMS use by people with back pain, making it hard to plan care. Little is known about the extent and nature of use or the expectations and experiences of care of both the patients and clinicians. Such information would help develop an understanding of current practice and provide a foundation for guiding EMS practice relating to back pain and identify the key research needs in this area.

The aim of this scoping review was to explore the existing literature regarding use of EMS by patients with back pain, to examine and conceptually map the evidence, and to identify any gaps in the literature. Its primary aim was to explore how and why patients with back pain access EMS. A secondary aim was to explore the experiences of patients and clinicians, including the care provided and the perceptions of this care.

#### 2. Methods

The scoping review was conducted following the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology (The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2015) and adhered to the PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR). The review methodology developed a research question and inclusion criteria using a Participant, Concept and Context structure; search strategy; screening and selection; data extraction and data analysis. The study protocol has been published previously (Capsey et al., 2018).

#### 2.1. Eligibility criteria

#### 2.1.1. Participants

Studies that included adults with back pain were the focus of the review. The term back pain can be difficult to define and several variations on the term are used in the literature. Using too narrow a definition might exclude relevant studies, as such any study stating it was looking at back pain was considered. However, studies focusing solely on back pain following major trauma (such as road traffic accident) were excluded. Whilst back pain may be present following major trauma there will be additional signs and symptoms. There are separate well-established guidelines on the management of trauma (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2016).

#### 2.1.2. Concept

The concepts considered were health care utilization and patient experience. The two terms expressed the quantitative and qualitative aspects of patients' use of EMS. Utilization referred to the quantitative description of how people use EMS, this could include prevalence, diagnoses, use of investigations or treatments. Experience referred to the aspects explored through appropriate qualitative methodologies. The dual definition of concepts ensured that all methodologies (qualitative, quantitative, and mixed) were included.

#### 2.1.3. Context

The context for this review was emergency medical services (EMS). The term encompassed care delivered in any setting that provides emergency or unscheduled care. EMS is widely used in the international literature applied to both hospital-based emergency department care, ambulatory care, and ambulance services. Services characteristically do not require an appointment and are usually accessible 24 h a day. The review considered any studies that were conducted in emergency medical settings including hospital emergency departments, ambulance services, out of hours primary care, and associated telephone services.

The review considered studies published after 1987 when Waddell's landmark paper (Waddell, 1987) marked a change in approach towards a biopsychosocial understanding of back pain management. All geographical contexts were considered however due to a lack of suitable translation services only papers with an English language version were included.

#### 2.2. Information sources

Medline, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsychINFO, AMED and TRIP databases were searched for published peer-reviewed papers. The ISRCTN Registry and EU Clinical Trials Register were searched to identify potential trials. Websites of relevant clinical guidelines from the UK, Australia (GAC, 2016), USA and Canada were searched along with any relevant reference lists and development notes. OpenGrey was searched for grey literature in Europe. Where protocols were identified publications of results were searched for. If results were identified the protocol was then removed from the review, if no results could be identified then the protocol was retained. Once papers were identified for inclusion in the final review their reference lists were searched for further relevant papers.

#### 2.3. Search

An initial limited keyword search of EMBASE, Medline and CINAHL was undertaken to identify subject index terms used to describe articles. In consultation with an academic librarian this informed the development of a detailed search strategy which was tailored for each information source. A full search strategy for Medline (and other EBSCOhost databases) and EMBASE are detailed in Tables A and B in the supplementary file. The final search was updated 28<sup>th</sup> August 2023.

#### 2.4. Study selection

Sources identified during the search were collated and duplicates removed. Titles and abstracts were screened by two independent reviewers against the inclusion criteria. Those that were retained following the initial screening were retrieved and following a full text read were retained or excluded with reasons. Any disagreements that arose between reviewers were resolved through discussion. The reference lists of all included sources were screened for additional relevant papers.

#### 2.5. Data charting process and data items

Once the list of included papers was agreed data items were extracted and summarised by two independent reviewers using a data charting table (Table C in supplementary file). Reviewers completed the table independently and then results were collated and agreed. Scoping reviews map what evidence has been produced regardless of quality therefore a formal assessment of methodological quality is not advocated (The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2015). Despite there being no quality assessment, designs and methodologies of included papers were extracted and charted.

#### 2.6. Synthesis of results

Data charting tables were reviewed and collated into an overall summary table. The results of individual data items were assessed for the most suitable method of presentation. Publication dates, study design and geographical location have been presented in table or diagrammatic form. Results related to methods of defining back pain and the five initial review questions are presented narratively.

#### 3. Results

The search strategy initially identified 5223 records. After screening 144 papers were included in the review. The process is summarised in the PRISMA diagram (Fig. 1). Papers excluded at full-text read, with reasons, are summarised in Table D and incidences of multiple papers from the same underlying study are summarised in Table E, both in the supplementary file. Table 1 summarises each of the included studies.

#### 3.1. Frequency, methods and geographical distribution

Methods used across the papers were varied (table F in the supplementary file). There were 11 discussion papers, presenting either expert opinion on how to approach the assessment of back pain or the challenges of this patient group; six case studies or case series, (including one focusing on guideline development and one on a service improvement project). Of the 14 literature reviews four included a meta-analysis and two were shortcut or rapid reviews. Two protocols were included: one for an RTC and one for a qualitative study. Of the papers following an experimental or quasi-experimental method, 49 were retrospective studies of existing data. The 44 prospective studies used a range of designs, including cross-sectional or before and after studies. Only 17 studies used randomised designs reflecting the challenges of research in the emergency medicine setting. Six studies, and one protocol, used qualitative methods.

Between 1988 and 2009 the cumulative total of publications was 15 papers. The total when the search was updated on 28<sup>th</sup> August 2023 was 144, with 19 papers published in 2020, 21 in 2021 and 21 since the start of 2022. This growth in research in the area of back pain in EMS is illustrated in Fig. 2.

Most studies originated in the United States of America (n = 61) followed by Australia (n = 33). Further detail of the geographical distribution of papers is shown in Fig. 3. Work published in the USA was spread across the 36 years of the review, in comparison with 26 of the 33 papers from Australia being published after 2017.

Twenty-one papers of the 144 studies provided figures for the frequency of back pain as a percentage of overall presentations, these varied from 1% (Thiruganasambandamoorthy et al., 2014) to 9.3% (Mullins et al., 2021). An area that was addressed by very few papers (n = 7) was the prevalence of serious pathology in those presenting with back pain. Many papers specifically excluded serious diagnoses when analysing back pain patients. The findings from those papers that did look at serious pathology are summarised in table E in the supplementary file.

#### 3.2. Reasons for accessing emergency medical services

In relation to why patients access emergency medical services five papers explored patients' reasons (Stafford et al., 2014; Saggers et al., 2021; Kawchuk et al., 2022; Oshima et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2023). They identified that patients' decisions were influenced by concerns that their condition was serious, lack of access to primary care, and perceptions of the resources available in the Emergency Department (ED). Supporting this Oliveira et al. (2022) highlighted that patients with LBP attending



Fig. 1. PRISMA 2020 flow diagram for new systematic reviews which included searches of databases, registers and other sources (Page et al., 2021).

### Table 1

of included

| Author   | Design                                      | Setting              | Country | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>  | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|--|---|----------------------|---------|---|--|---|
| Association of Ambulance Chief<br>Executives (AACE) (2022) | Clinical Guideline                          | Ambulance<br>Service | υк      | Clinician defined   |  | Summarises incidence,<br>severity and outcome,<br>differential diagnoses,<br>serious pathologies, urgent<br>conditions, assessment and<br>management, pain<br>management, simple<br>exercises, and safety netting.<br>Cited references are mostly<br>based in primary care or<br>orthonaedic practice   |
| Aaronson et al. (2017)                                     | Retrospective Cohort<br>Study               | ED                   | USA     | Clinician defined. ICD-9  | 44% female. Mean<br>age 46 (±17)   | Impact of MRI imaging on the<br>rate of return visits. 6094<br>patients with back pain were<br>evaluated in c.30 months<br>13% of back pain patients (n<br>= 797) received an MRI.<br>4.5% of all back pain patients<br>returned within 7 days (n =<br>277). MRI increased mean<br>length of stay but had no<br>impact on return visits.  |
| Akbas et al. (2020)  | Prospective Parallel<br>RCT                 | ED                   | Turkey  | Clinician defined. Acute<br>low back pain (LBP)<br>related to lumbar disk<br>herniation | 4.2% of adult patients<br>admitted to ED had<br>acute LBP  | Randomised participants $n = 120$<br>Use of intradermal<br>mesotherapy for analgesia<br>Pain intensity decrease was<br>higher for mesotherapy<br>group versus systemic<br>therapy ( $n < 0.001$ )   |
| Alerhand et al. (2017)                                     | Systematic<br>Literature Review             | ED                   | USA     | Not specified   | Spinal epidural<br>abscess (SEA)<br>incidence is estimated<br>at 2.5–3 patients per<br>10,000 admissions.  | Critique of red flags related to<br>spinal epidural abscess<br>The "classic triad" (Spinal<br>pain, fever and neurological<br>deficits) presents<br>infrequently. MRI is the best<br>diagnostic imaging tool for<br>SEA and early diagnosis is the<br>major prognostic factor for<br>favourable outrome   |
| Ali et al. (2021)  | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis | ED                   | USA     | Clinician defined,<br>suspected spinal<br>infection                                     | 960 MRIs<br>examinations for<br>suspected spinal<br>infection were<br>conducted in a 75-<br>month period.<br>Median age 54.5<br>(IQR:37-66), 52.5%<br>male | To investigate predicative<br>value of raised CRP for spinal<br>infection.<br>13.6% of patients receiving<br>an MRI for suspected spinal<br>infection had a positive<br>finding. Raised CRP level<br>(>10 mg/L) showed 100%<br>sensitivity, 100% negative<br>predictive value and 35%<br>specificity.<br>Abnormal CRP, although<br>extremely sensitive, lacks<br>specificity in predicting a<br>positive MRI for spinal<br>infection unless highly<br>elevated. A normal CRP<br>makes spinal infection<br>unlikely, and its routine use<br>as a screening test can help<br>reducing utilization of<br>emergent MRI for this<br>purpose. |
| Anderson et al. (2020)                                     | Retrospective<br>Secondary Data<br>Analysis | ED                   | USA     | Clinician defined. ICD-9-<br>CM and documented<br>reason for visit                      | Estimated 8.6million<br>ED visits for LBP<br>(2014 and 2015), 3%<br>of estimated total.<br>59.1% female.<br>Average age 45                                 | LBP subgroup $(n = 1363)$ of<br>nationally representative<br>sample $(n = 44,905)$<br>Exploration of opioid therapy<br>60.1% of patients received<br>opioids, $39.9\%$ received non-<br>opioids only. Use of opioids<br>(continued on next page)  |

#### Table 1 (continued) Author Design Setting Country Pain definition<sup>a</sup> Prevalence datab Aims and key findings increases ED length of stay (1.0S)Angus and Horner (2019) Shortcut Literature ED UK Not specified The role of sexual dysfunction as a red flag in Review cauda equina syndrome Whilst clinician recording appears to be poor between 12% and 96% of patients with confirmed CES will report the presence of newonset sexual dysfunction at presentation when asked. Angus et al. (2020) ED and UK Clinician defined Pathway development using Service Improvement Study consultant physiotherapists Emergency for complex back pain Assessment Unit patients presenting to ED. The new model reduced admissions, length of stay and return visits. It improved staff and patient satisfaction. Australian Commission on Clinical care Various Australia Clinician defined To improve the early Safety and Quality in standard settings assessment, management, including ED Healthcare (ACSQ) (2022) review, and appropriate referral of patients with low back pain. To reduce the use of investigations and treatments that may be ineffective or unnecessary in managing low back pain. It specifically does not cover the diagnosis and treatment of specific causes of low back pain. Bailes et al. (2021) Patients USA Clinician defined. ICD-9, 39.4% of patients An exploration of resource Retrospective Observational Study presenting to use for patients with back recruited in ICD-10 of Electronic Health outpatient offices for pain including, but not outpatient Record Data offices LBP presented to ED exclusively, ED. Participants in the following 365 (n = 513,088) with a days. 58.0% female. diagnosis of LBP over a 10.5 Average age 52.6 $\pm$ year period. 19.5 Comorbid depression or anxiety was present in 21.4% of patients, differences in pain scores were not clinically significant but they were more likely to present to ED Bailey et al. (2013) Longitudinal Data ED USA Clinician defined, ICD-9-Exploration of the use of Analysis CM health information exchange to reduce diagnostic imaging in emergency back pain. Participants: all repeated ED patient visits for back pain with previous ED diagnostic imaging over a two-year period (n = 800). Health information exchange use was low, it's use was associated with reduced radiographic and MRI imaging but increased CTscans Balakrishnamoorthy et al. Double Blind RCT ED Australia Clinician defined. "Low Use of dexamethasone in (2015) back pain with addition to routine radiculopathy" treatment. Participants n = 58. The addition of dexamethasone improved pain scores at 24 h and reduced LOS. It had no significant effect on 6-week pain score or functional scores Behrbalk et al. (2014) Prospective Single-ED Israel Clinician defined. 59.3% female. Use of promethazine blind RCT "Severe acute LBP" Average age 43 $\pm$ 11 (anxiolytic) adjunct therapy with morphine versus

| Author                    | Design  | Setting              | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>  | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------|--|---|--|
|                           |   |                      |           |  |   | morphine alone. Participants<br>n = 59<br>The addition of promethazine<br>had no advantage on pain   |
| Bertalanffy et al. (2005) | Prospective,  | Ambulance<br>Service | Romania   | Clinician defined  |   | scores and increased LOS<br>To evaluate the efficacy of<br>paramedic-administered<br>TENS in patients with acute   |
|                           | Randomized control<br>study                         |                      |           |  |   | low back pain during<br>emergency transport.<br>TENS was found to be<br>effective in reducing pain and<br>anxiety in patients with acute<br>LBP during emergency   |
| Blokzijl et al. (2021)    | Qualitive Interviews<br>using framework<br>analysis | ED                   | Australia | Not specified  |   | transport.<br>Investigation of the overuse<br>of imaging for patients with<br>LBP in the ED. Focus groups<br>and/or interviews with 14<br>patients and 12 clinicians.<br>Patients reported that<br>decisions were made by the<br>clinician, these might be<br>driven by expectations of<br>imaging, reluctance to delay<br>receiving a diagnosis or<br>requirements from third<br>parties. Clinicians identified<br>lack of ongoing therapeutic<br>relations and manging<br>patient pressure as drivers if |
| Borczuk (2013)            | Literature review<br>and discussion                 | ED                   | USA       | Search used a glossary of common terms   |   | imaging use.<br>Advocating an evidence-<br>based approach to evaluation<br>and treatment.<br>The review advocates a red<br>flag approach to<br>management pre-hospitally;<br>in ED a detailed history and<br>use of risk stratification to<br>inform laboratory assessment   |
| Borges et al. (2020)      | Retrospective cross-<br>sectional study             | ED                   | Brazil    | Clinician defined.<br>Patients triaged with low<br>back pain symptoms via<br>the Manchester Triage<br>System (MTS) into non- | 2016 patients<br>presented in 2013.<br>50.4% female. Mean<br>age 40.5 (SD 15.7)<br>50.4% non-traumatic, | and imaging.<br>Description of patient profile<br>and management. Patients n<br>= 2016.<br>Non-traumatic back pain was   |
|                           |   |                      |           | traumatic, traumatic and non-spinal related.   | 31.7% traumatic, 17.9% non-spinal   | the most common reason for<br>patients presenting with low<br>back pain.   |
| Buchbinder (2017)         | Qualitative element<br>of a mixed-methods<br>study  | ED                   | USA       | Patient defined. Those<br>who reported back pain<br>as their primary<br>complaint  |   | Study of patient/provider<br>communication related to<br>gatekeeping. Based on audio<br>recordings of 74 ED<br>encounters.<br>ED clinicians perform a gate-<br>keeping role in identifying<br>patients unsuitable for<br>management in ED alongside<br>signposting to more   |
| Capsey et al. (2022a)     | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis         | ED                   | UK        | Patient defined  | 2% of patients<br>presented<br>complaining of back  | signposting to more<br>appropriate care. There is an<br>element of managing scarce<br>resources but also of<br>addressing the vulnerabilities<br>of disadvantaged patients in<br>accessing healthcare.<br>To quantify the prevalence of<br>people attending ED with<br>back pain, to describe their  |
|                           |   |                      |           |  | pain.<br>Median age for all<br>patients was 46 (IQR<br>30–62), 55% female.                              | characteristics and the<br>characteristics of their<br>attendance.<br>36% had no official diagnosis<br>recorded, 5% were<br>categorised as potentially<br>serious spinal pathology.  |

| Author                   | Design  | Setting              | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| Capsey et al. (2022b)    | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis                           | Ambulance<br>Service | UK        | Patient defined  | 0.7% of calls were<br>categorised as LBP.<br>59% female.   | 22% non-spinal pathology<br>and 23% simple backache.<br>56% had no recorded<br>investigations, 19% received<br>plain radiography, 5%<br>received either CT/MRI, 18%<br>had blood investigations,<br>17% had cardiac monitoring<br>or electrocardiogram.<br>To investigate the extent and<br>nature of ambulance services<br>utilization by patients<br>presenting with LBP.<br>Almost half of patients (48%)<br>initially presenting with LBP<br>were later categorised with a<br>problem elsewhere. Of the<br>patients, 49% received |
| Cetin et al. (2021)      | Multicentre cross-<br>sectional<br>observational study                | ED                   | Turkey    | Patient defined, admitted<br>to the ED with a primary<br>complaint of pain           | Of patients presenting<br>with a primary<br>complaint of pain<br>18.8% reported lower<br>back pain and 4.3%<br>reported back pain. | analgesia, including Entonox<br>(24%) and morphine (13%).<br>Most patients (69%) were<br>transported to an emergency<br>department while 28%<br>remained at home.<br>To evaluate pain<br>management practices in EDs<br>in Turkey and to evaluate the<br>prevalence and aetiologies of<br>oligoanalgesia to identify<br>possible improvement<br>strategies.   |
| Chandra et al. (2019)    | Two-centre before<br>and after practice<br>evaluation                 | ED                   | Canada    | Clinician defined.<br>Canadian Emergency<br>Department Information<br>System (CEDIS) |  | second most common pain<br>presentation after headache.<br>Patients were not separated<br>by examination for the<br>further analysis in this study.<br>Impact of Choosing Wisely<br>Canada-Emergency Medicine<br>(CWC-EM) recommendation<br>on imaging rates.<br>Participants (n = 37) were ED<br>physicians.<br>The intervention increased   |
| Chronister et al. (2020) | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis (Conference<br>Abstract)  | ED                   | USA       | Clinician defined, ICD 10<br>codes for back pain                                     | 2.9% of ED visits<br>recorded an ICD 10<br>code indicative of<br>back pain.  | physicians' awareness and<br>knowledge of the CWC-EM<br>recommendations however<br>lumbar x-ray imaging rates<br>increased.<br>To investigate if legislation<br>resulting in a decrease in<br>opiate prescribing led to a<br>decrease in patient<br>satisfaction.<br>There was no change in ED<br>back pain patient satisfaction  |
| Cofano et al. (2020)     | Expert opinion<br>(letter) discussing<br>routinely collected<br>data. | ED                   | Italy     | Clinician defined  |  | despite a marked decrease in<br>ED opiate prescriptions.<br>Back pain presentations to ED<br>decreased after the Covid<br>lockdown. Post lockdown<br>patients were more likely to<br>have a traumatic history and   |
| Cohen et al. (2017)      | Multi-site RCT  | ED                   | Australia | Clinician defined. Acute/<br>acute-on-chronic LBP                                    |  | require tests<br>An investigation into the<br>effectiveness of acupuncture<br>compared to<br>pharmacological<br>management. Patients with<br>acute LBP ( $n = 270$ ) over a<br>two-year period.<br>There was no difference in   |

| Author                 | Design  | Setting | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>  | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>  | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|------------------------|---|---------|-----------|---|---|--|
| Coombs et al. (2021)   | Prospective Multi-<br>centre pragmatic<br>stepped-wedge,<br>cluster-randomised<br>trial of a service<br>improvement<br>intervention | ED      | Australia | Clinician defined.<br>Systematized<br>Nomenclature of<br>Medicine- Clinical<br>Terms- Australian<br>Version- Emergency<br>Department Reference<br>Set |   | Evaluation of an intervention<br>to improve LBP care in ED by<br>reducing imaging and opioid<br>use.<br>The intervention did not<br>reduce imaging rates but did<br>reduce opioid use. It also<br>increased clinicians' beliefs<br>and knowledge about low<br>back nain  |
| Coombs et al. (2021)   | Systematic Review<br>and meta-analysis  | ED      | Australia | Various diagnoses based<br>on "non-specific low<br>back pain"   |   | Charting the clinical course<br>of patients with non-specific<br>LBP after an ED visit<br>Mean pain scores were:<br>71.0% at presentation; 47.7%<br>at 4 h; 46.1% at 1 day; 31.8%<br>at 1 week; 24.8% at 6 weeks;<br>13.5% at 26 weeks   |
| Corwell (2010)         | Expert opinion  | ED      | USA       | Patient defined.  |   | Discussion of the evaluation,<br>management and treatment<br>of back pain in the<br>emergency department.<br>Focused on red flags in the<br>clinical history, physical<br>assessment and treatment.<br>Diagnostic testing is<br>advocated if it will help guide<br>patient management. Tests<br>include routine blood,<br>urinalysis. Imaging is only<br>advocated if red flags are<br>present and patient<br>education is encouraged.<br>Non-opioid analgesics are<br>advocated over opioids. Also<br>discusses potential serious<br>rathelorgica |
| Davidson et al. (2022) | Qualitative<br>exploratory study  | ED      | Australia | Not specified   |   | Janoogres.<br>Identifying and exploring<br>clinicians' perceptions of<br>why patients with LBP<br>present; to ED barriers and<br>enablers to care; and<br>strategies to improve care<br>Participants felt ease of<br>access and advanced care<br>drive presentations; patient,<br>clinician and service level<br>factors are both barriers and<br>enablers; an ED pathway,<br>better resources and follow-<br>up options could improve   |
| Davidson et al. (2022) | Retrospective<br>observational study  | ED      | Australia | Clinician defined.ICD-10  | LBP accounted for<br>1.3% of all ED<br>presentations. 51.7%<br>female. Mean age<br>49.2 (20.0). Annual<br>presentations grew at<br>3.2% per year<br>between 2015 and<br>2019. | care.<br>Describing the context of LBP<br>presentations to EDs by<br>remoteness, hospital<br>delineation and staffing<br>profiles.<br>Length of stay decreased but<br>re-presentation rates<br>increased with increasingly<br>remote departments,<br>admission rates were lowest<br>for "inner regional" FDs   |
| Davis et al. (2004)    | Retrospective case-<br>control study  | ED      | USA       | Clinician defined. ICD-9<br>discharge code for intra-<br>spinal abscess   |   | Clinical presentation and<br>impact of delays on SEA.<br>Red flags in the diagnosis of<br>spinal epidural abscess-<br>"classic triad" present in only<br>13% of cases. Prognosis for<br>full recovery was worse once<br>all three deficits are present.<br>75% of SEA patients had<br>diagnostic delays. ESR was<br>more sensitive and specific<br>than total white blood cell<br>court (WRC) but hed act  |

factors may play a role in ED (continued on next page)

| Author                 | Design  | Setting                    | Country                 | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>  | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| Davis et al. (2011)    | Prospective cohort<br>analysis  | ED                         | USA                     | Study included patients<br>diagnosed with SEA in<br>ED. Also, all patients<br>with a chief complaint of<br>"neck pain" or "back<br>pain" |   | been recorded until the<br>diagnosis had been<br>suspected.<br>Exploring the use of clinical<br>decision guidelines in the<br>assessment of spinal epidural<br>abscess.<br>The implementation of<br>guidelines incorporating risk<br>factor assessment followed<br>by ESR and CRP testing<br>reduced diagnostic delays  |
| de Gruchy et al., 2015 | Prospective<br>observational cohort<br>analysis                             | ED                         | Australia               | Clinician defined  | 44.6% female.<br>Median age 34.1 (IQR<br>25.0–52.0)                                     | (83.6%–9.7%) and motor<br>deficits at time of diagnosis.<br>Analysis of physiotherapists<br>as primary practitioners.<br>Comparison with ED<br>clinicians looked specifically<br>at hand fracture, ankle sprain<br>as well as lumbar pain. 95%<br>of patients met a 4-h LOS<br>target, 46% required no<br>further medical support,<br>most were referred back to<br>primary care or outpatient<br>clinic. APPs were more time<br>efficient than ED clinicians |
| de Jesús et al. (2022) | Retrospective<br>Analysis   | ED                         | USA<br>(Puerto<br>Rico) | Clinician defined.ICD-10-<br>CM  |   | for similar diagnostic groups.<br>ED utilization by older<br>patients with<br>musculoskeletal conditions<br>Low back pain was the most<br>commonly recognised MSK<br>condition in patients aged<br>60–69, second most common<br>in 70–79, 80-89, 100+   |
| de Luca et al. (2023)  | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis                                 | ED                         | Australia               | Clinician defined, study<br>looked at patients aged<br>≥65 years   | Of 4093 presentations<br>to ED over three years<br>82.0% were non-<br>specific low back | groups and third in those<br>over 90-99.<br>39.9% had lumbar imaging,<br>34.1% were admitted. 67.1%<br>received opioid analgesics,<br>63.9% paracetamol, 33.0%  |
| Della-Giustina (2015)  | Discussion Article  | ED                         | USA                     | Not specified  | pain. 58.3% female  | NSAIDs.<br>Evaluation and treatment of<br>acute back pain.<br>Emphasis on red flag focused<br>history and physical<br>examination. MRI advocated<br>for suspected spinal infection  |
| Downie et al. (2020)   | Systematic review<br>and meta-analysis                                      | ED and<br>primary care     | Australia               | Not specified  |   | and epidural compression<br>syndromes.<br>Estimating the proportion of<br>patients seeking care for LBP<br>in primary care and ED<br>receiving imaging, along<br>with trends over time.<br>For ED: simple imaging<br>proportion 26.1%, complex<br>imaging proportion 8.2%,  |
| Drazin et al. (2016)   | Retrospective<br>multivariate analysis<br>of Nationwide<br>Inpatient Sample | ED admitted to<br>hospital | USA                     | Clinician defined.ICD-9-<br>CM   |   | any imaging 35.6%. These<br>figures are higher than in<br>primary care. Complex<br>imaging requests increased<br>between 1995 and 2015 by<br>53.5%.<br>ED utilization by patients<br>with LBP, specifically<br>admission following<br>assessment.<br>An increasing proportion of<br>patients discharged from<br>hospital with a diagnosis of<br>LBP were admitted via ED (n<br>= 118,962 over 10 years,<br>1998–2007). Socioeconomic                          |

9

Table 1 (continued)

Elam et al. (1995)

#### Author Design Setting Country Pain definition<sup>a</sup> Prevalence datab Aims and key findings utilization by patients with LBP Dubosh et al. (2019) Retrospective ED USA Clinician defined.ICD-9-57% female. Mean Incidence of serious analysis of age 44 (IQR 33-55) neurologic conditions in CM population-based patients initially discharged from ED with non-specific data diagnosis of back pain or headache and associated risk factors. Patients discharged from ED with a diagnosis of back pain (n = 1,381,614) over seven years. 0.2% of those discharged with a non-specific diagnosis of back pain had a primary outcome. Of these 41% had an intraspinal abscess. Risk factors were advanced age, male, non-Hispanic white, and comorbidities. Dutch et al. (2008) Retrospective multi-ED Australia Patient defined. Triage Impact of "liked" and centre analytical description related to "disliked" presentations on observational study waiting times. Data: 28,566 back pain. case-control pairs of ten complaints, of which back pain was one. Back pain had been identified as a disliked category in a previous pilot study, it had significantly longer waiting times than a matched control in this study (+25.5%, p <0.05). Edlow (2015) ED USA Patient defined States 2-3% of Expert opinion on diagnosis Expert opinion and management of back emergency visits are non-traumatic back pain (divided into simple. pain. serious and non-spinal causes of back pain) in ED. Recognises that the ED population is "acuityskewed" and suggests ED will likely encounter more patients with serious causes. Edwards (2016) Systematic review ED Canada Various, study included Pooled prevalence in To gather a comprehensive and retrospective ICD-9 & ICD-10 literature review = and global perspective about cross-sectional 4.39% the prevalence of low back analysis Back pain prevalence pain in emergency settings in Canadian ED and analyse six years of data 3.17%. 60.8% Nonfrom a local emergency specific LBP setting (Masters Dissertation) (prevalence 1.93%) Edwards et al. (2017) Systematic review Various Pooled prevalence Review of the literature on Canada Various, separated into and meta-analysis emergency "broad" or "narrow" 4.39%. Defined by the prevalence of low back settings presenting complaint pain in emergency settings 5.5%, by diagnostic and the impact of study coding 3.4% characteristics Low back pain is consistently a top presenting complaint in ED, the reported prevalence of low back pain varies with definition of low back pain and emergency setting. Turkey Eken et al. (2014) Randomised double-ED Clinician defined. Acute Mean age 31.5 $\pm$ 9.5. Comparison of the blind study mechanical low back 60.6% male effectiveness of IV paracetamol vs pain. Defined using a 4point verbal rating scale, dexketoprofen vs morphine and having started in the in acute mechanical LBP. last week. Participants, n = 137. Neither paracetamol. dexketoprofen nor morphine is superior to the others in treating acute LBP.

(continued on next page)

Determining ED physicians'

approach to diagnosis and

treatment of LBP. 114

| 1 | n |
|---|---|
| т | υ |
|   |   |

Three illustrative clinical

vignettes of LBP: severe

acute pain (with and

USA

ED

Ouestionnaire

#### Table 1 (continued)

| Author                       | Design   | Setting | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>                                | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>  | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|------------------------------|--|---------|-----------|---|---|---|
|                              |  |         |           | without radiculopathy)<br>and chronic LBP                   |   | respondents from 283<br>surveyed.<br>For acute pain 22% of<br>consultants recommended<br>CT, 36% recommended MRI;<br>referrals to surgical<br>specialists (orthopaedics or<br>neurosurgery) for treatment<br>were 81% for acute sciatica,<br>52% for chronic LBP and 41%<br>for acute non-sciatic LBP;<br>87% of consultants<br>recommended bed rest for<br>acute sciatica, 75% for acute<br>non-sciatic LBP, and 57% for<br>chronic LBP.<br>These recommendations<br>were expensive and deemed<br>to be of limited use.  |
| Engel-Rebitzer et al. (2021) | Secondary analysis<br>of RCT data  | ED      | Australia | Not specified   |   | Exploration of racial<br>disparities in opioid<br>prescribing after accounting<br>for patient preference.<br>1302 participants who<br>presented to ED with ureter<br>colic, MSK back and neck<br>pain. Back pain was not<br>separated in the analysis.<br>Overall Black patients were<br>less likely than White<br>patients to receive a<br>prescription for opioids,<br>regardless of their treatment<br>preference, even when<br>clinicians were provided with<br>additional data about their<br>patients' preferences and<br>risks.  |
| Eskin et al. (2014)          | Prospective<br>randomised, double-<br>blind, placebo-<br>controlled trial                      | ED      | USA       | Clinician defined   |   | To determine if a short course<br>of oral corticosteroids<br>benefits LBP ED patients.<br>The study detected no benefit<br>from oral corticosteroids in<br>ED patients with<br>Musculoskeletal LBP  |
| Fadel et al. (2020)          | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis and<br>provider survey<br>(Conference<br>Abstract) | ED      | USA       | Clinician defined, ICD-9<br>and ICD-10                      |   | Evidence based guidelines<br>made no impact on CT<br>imaging rates, lumbar MRI<br>rates went up post<br>introduction.<br>97.8% of providers agreed<br>with the use of guidelines to<br>make clinical decisions and<br>51.1% believed imaging is<br>overused for LBP patients.<br>However, 52.2% of providers<br>were unaware of the<br>guideline, 73.3% felt<br>pressure from patients to<br>obtain imaging, even if the<br>guideline deemed<br>unnecessary, 42.2% believed<br>that denying patients<br>imaging would lead to lower<br>patient-satisfaction scores,<br>and 15.6% felt that<br>compliance with the<br>guideline increased risk of<br>litigation. |
| Ferreira et al. (2019)       | Retrospective<br>analysis of routinely<br>collected data                                       | ED      | Australia | Patient defined. Key<br>word search of reasons<br>for visit | 3.4% of presentations<br>had a presenting<br>complaint of 'back<br>pain'. 45.6% of<br>patients diagnosed<br>with lumbar spine<br>condition, 54.4% | Description of diagnoses of<br>people with LBP and the<br>proportion who arrived by<br>ambulance, received<br>imaging, opioids and were<br>admitted to hospital.  |

#### Table 1 (continued)

| Author                   | Design   | Setting                     | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>                                     | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------|--|--|---|
|                          |  |                             |           |  | diagnosis beyond the<br>lumbar spine                             | Presentations (n = 14, 024)<br>over 30 months.<br>23.6% received lumbar<br>imaging, 69.6% received<br>opioids and 17.6% were<br>admitted to hospital.<br>Of the non-spinal diagnoses<br>renal disorders was the most<br>common (18.8%); 0.2%<br>received a cancer diagnosis,<br>and 0.2% vascular diagnosis.<br>Of the spinal diagnoses<br>85.4% were non-specific LBP,<br>10.1% radicular LBP, and<br>4.5% serious spinal<br>pathology.<br>Ambulance arrival was<br>associated with older age,<br>higher socio-economic status<br>but not seriousness of<br>pathology. |
| Ferreira et al. (2021)   | Retrospective<br>secondary analysis                        | ED                          | Australia | Clinician defined. ICD-9,<br>ICD-10, Systematized<br>Nomenclature of<br>Medicine Clinical Terms<br>(SNOMED)            | LBP prevalence 1.6%.<br>Mean age 51.3 (SD<br>20.0). 51.9% female | To determine if rates of LBP<br>presentation have changed<br>between 2016 and 2019 (n =<br>188,275 across the five<br>years).<br>88.6% of presentations were<br>non-specific LBP, 7.0%<br>radicular LBP, 4.3% serious<br>LBP. Of the serious LBP, 52%<br>were vertebral fractures,<br>27.4% infections and 9.9%<br>CES.<br>Presentation rates had<br>increased and there was 20-<br>fold difference in<br>presentation rates between<br>regions with the higher rates<br>in rural and regional areas,<br>and lower in metropolitan                                      |
| Forseen and Corey (2012) | Discussion Article   | Ambulatory<br>Care settings | USA       | Descriptive  |  | areas.<br>Presentation of templates for<br>evidence-based decision<br>making<br>Description of the process of<br>developing clinical decision<br>support from national<br>guidelines to address a<br>perceived variance between<br>clinical practice and the best<br>available evidence. There is<br>no assessment of the<br>effectiveness of the   |
| Friedman et al. (2006)   | Randomised, double-<br>blind, placebo-<br>controlled trial | ED                          | USA       | Clinician defined.<br>"Originating below the<br>tips of the scapulae and<br>above the buttocks" with<br>a negative SLR |  | proposals.<br>Intramuscular corticosteroids<br>compared to placebo as an<br>adjunct to normal care.<br>Participants (n = 87) with<br>non-traumatic low back pain<br>and -ve SLR. Systemic<br>corticosteroids had no<br>statistically or clinically<br>significant impact on patient<br>pain at 1-month  |
| Friedman et al. (2010)   | Retrospective<br>secondary analysis                        | ED                          | USA       | Clinician defined.<br>Primary reason for visit<br>and discharge code (ICD-<br>9) related to back pain                  | LBP prevalence at ED 2.3%.                                       | Exploration of prevalence,<br>analgesia and imaging<br>practices. Participants (n =<br>4097) from a representative<br>national sample (n =<br>183,633) over five years.<br>30.5% received a plain<br>radiograph, 9.6% had CT or<br>MRI in 2006, compared to<br>3.2% in 2002, 61.0%<br>received opioids, 49.9%   |

83.3% had low physical (continued on next page)

#### Table 1 (continued) Author Design Setting Country Pain definition<sup>a</sup> Prevalence datab Aims and key findings NSAIDs, 43.1% muscle relavants Friedman et al. (2012) Prospective ED USA Clinician defined. Median age 45 (IQR Risk factors for 7-day and 3observational cohort "Originating below the 35-53). 61% female month functional disability. study tips of the scapulae and Participants n = 556. above the buttocks" sub-Higher baseline functional divided into chronic. limitation and history of episodic and rarely/ chronic LBP were both predictors of increased never functional disability at 7-days and 3-months. Radicular signs, depression and workrelated injury were not predictive. Friedman et al. $(2012)^4$ Prospective ED USA Clinician defined. Median age 45 (IQR Description of 7-day and 3observational cohort "Originating below the 35-53). 61% female month pain and functional tips of the scapulae and outcomes. Participants n = study above the buttocks" sub-556. At 7-days 70% had functional divided into chronic, episodic and rarely/ impairment, 59% had never moderate or severe LBP, 69% had used analgesics in the previous 24-h. At 3-months 48% had functional impairment, 42% had moderate or severe LBP, 46% had used analgesics in the previous 24-h. Friedman et al. (2020) Randomised double ED USA Clinician defined. Assessment of ibuprofen plus blind study "Originating between the acetaminophen versus lower border of the ibuprofen alone on pain and scapulae and the upper functional impairment. gluteal folds" and Participants n = 120discharge diagnosis There was no significant consistent with acute difference in outcomes for nontraumatic, nonthe two groups at 48-h and 7radicular, MSK LBP days. In both groups ${\sim}25\%$ of patients reported moderate or severe pain or functional impairment at 7-days. Galliker et al. (2020) Review found a Systematic literature ED Switzerland Various reported Exploration of the diagnostic higher prevalence of accuracy of red flags, and the review serious spinal prevalence of serious spinal pathologies in ED pathology. compared reported Prevalence LBP presentations prevalence in primary requiring immediate/urgent treatment 2.5%-5.1% in care prospective, 0.7%-7.4% in retrospective studies. Suspicion or history of cancer was a red flag for spinal cancer diagnosis; Intravenous drug use, indwelling vascular catheter and another infection site were red flags for epidural abscess. Decreased likelihood was associated with no risk factor, normal ESR and no suspicion or history of cancer. Of 84 red flags only two were investigated n more than one study. Gotfryd et al. (2015) Prospective Orthopaedic Brazil Clinician defined. Pain in 3% of orthopaedic Predictive value of epidemiological data, the dorsal and/or lumbar cases met the Observational cross-ED sectional study region inclusion criteria for lifestyle and psychosocial the study. Mean age factors in clinical 39.3. No gender manifestations of back pain. predominance Participants (n = 210) with major complaint of back pain. Majority of participants worked in administrative roles (65.2%); mean BMI was 26.0 indicating overweight;

patients was not different (continued on next page)

| Author                        | Design  | Setting                              | Country | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>  | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup> | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------|---|------------------------------|---|
|                               |   |                                      |         |   |                              | disability. Increasing number<br>of medical visits in the<br>previous six months and<br>depression independently<br>correlated with physical<br>disability.   |
| Hänninen et al. (2020)        | Pilot service<br>improvement<br>programme<br>evaluation                       | Community<br>Paramedic<br>Unit       | Finland | Clinician defined.<br>International<br>Classification of Primary<br>Care (ICPC-2) |                              | Rate of recontacting ED<br>following community<br>paramedic (CP) assessment.<br>Participants: CP unit patients<br>seeking retreatment ( $n =$<br>229) after a CP unit visit.<br>The study was not back pain<br>focused but LBP (27%) and<br>nausea (42%) were the main<br>problems that led to<br>recontact within 96 h.  |
| Harwood et al. (2022)         | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis                                   | Various<br>providers<br>including ED | USA     | Clinician defined   |                              | To examine how the first<br>provider seen by an<br>individual at initial diagnosis<br>of LBP influences<br>downstream utilization and<br>costs.<br>Patients who were first seen<br>by an emergency medicine<br>providers had higher rates of<br>early opioid prescription but<br>lower rates of long-tern<br>opioid prescriptions, Rates of<br>imaging were similar to other<br>providers, as was the rate of<br>serious illness. |
| Havel et al. (2001)           | Protocol-<br>Randomised<br>Controlled Factorial<br>Trial                      | ED                                   | Austria | Clinician defined.<br>"localised between 12th<br>rib and gluteal fold"            |                              | Effectiveness of intravenous<br>analgesics vs oral NSAIDs in<br>the ED and centrally acting<br>muscle relaxant vs placebo<br>over the following three days.<br>No paper reporting the<br>results of the proposed trial<br>were located.   |
| Hayes (1999)                  | Retrospective<br>descriptive review of<br>records with<br>telephone follow-up | ED                                   | USA     | Not specified   |                              | Description of repeat users of<br>a rural ED. 28 patients<br>presenting in one-week<br>period who had previously<br>presented within the<br>preceding three months.<br>A wider review which<br>included descriptions of<br>repeat users, back pain was<br>cited as a more frequent<br>complaint- 2 patients out of<br>28 repeat users (7.1%)<br>identified in the one-week<br>study period.                                       |
| Irizarry et al. (2021)        | Randomised<br>Controlled trial  | ED                                   | USA     | Clinician defined   |                              | To compare ibuprofen,<br>ketorolac, and diclofenac for<br>the treatment of acute,<br>Non-radicular LBP.<br>There were no important<br>differences between groups<br>with regard to the primary<br>outcome. These data do not<br>rule out that possibility that<br>ketorolac results in better<br>pain relief and less stomach<br>irritation than ibuprofen.   |
| Isenberger and Salzman (2013) | Retrospective<br>observational study  | ED                                   | USA     | Not specified   |                              | Satisfaction of patients with<br>limited treatment options:<br>abdominal pain, dental pain,<br>low back pain and headache.<br>14,051 participants from<br>28,021 eligible.<br>The conference abstract<br>reported that the patient<br>satisfaction scores of LBP  |

14

## Table 1 (continued)

| Author                | Design  | Setting                | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| Jones et al. (2023)   | Triple-blinded<br>randomised placebo-<br>controlled trial | Primary care<br>and ED | Australia | Clinician defined  |  | from the combined score of<br>all other chief complaints.<br>To investigate the efficacy<br>and safety of a judicious short<br>course (up to six weeks) of an<br>opioid analgesic for acute<br>low back pain and neck pain.<br>There was no significant<br>difference between the<br>opioid and placebo groups for<br>pain severity at six weeks,<br>there were similar rates of<br>adverse events although the<br>opioid group were more<br>likely to report opioid-related<br>adverse events (e.g.<br>constriation)   |
| Jorgensen (2007)      | Retrospective<br>descriptive study                        | ED                     | USA       | Clinician defined. ICD-9-<br>CM  | Acute exacerbation of<br>chronic back pain<br>accounted for ~2.1%<br>of admissions to the<br>ED during the study<br>period. 74% were<br>assigned to the top<br>three (of five) codes<br>for severity | Documentation of the cost of<br>ED presentations (n = 1397)<br>for acute exacerbation of<br>chronic non-malignant back<br>pain.<br>Of 1397 visits in the 12-<br>month study 30% were<br>multiple visits; 3% of the<br>patients were seen 3 or more<br>times but accounted for<br>12.4% of charges. ED may be<br>a costly venue for<br>management of chronic back<br>pain.   |
| Kawchuk et al. (2022) | Prospective<br>observational study                        | ED                     | Canada    | Patient defined  |  | pain.<br>To understand why persons<br>with low back pain choose to<br>attend the emergency<br>department.<br>17.7% received at least one<br>consultation, 89.0% of<br>participants were discharged<br>home, 9.6% were admitted<br>and 1.4% were transferred.<br>Median pain intensity was 8/<br>10 and a median daily<br>functioning of 3/10. When<br>asked, 64.6% attended for<br>pain control; 44.5% stated<br>ease of access. Most<br>participants expected to<br>obtain pain medication<br>(67%) and advice (56%). Few<br>attended because of cost<br>savings (3.8%). After<br>adjustment, only advanced<br>age and ambulance arrival<br>were significantly associated<br>with admission. |
| Kim et al. (2018)     | Discussion Article  | ED                     | USA       | Not specified  |  | Description of scope and<br>potential impact of physical<br>therapists in ED.<br>The article sets out the case<br>for ED, LBP is cited as a<br>typical consultation where a<br>physical therapist may aid<br>the ED physician in providing<br>more appropriate care.  |
| Kim et al. (2019)     | Retrospective cohort<br>study                             | ED                     | USA       | Clinician defined. ICD-10  |  | Comparing analgesic<br>prescribing between physical<br>therapists and usual care.<br>Physical therapists<br>prescribed opioids at a<br>similar rate, and<br>benzodiazepines at a higher<br>rate, to usual care.   |
| Kim et al. (2020)     | Prospective<br>feasibility study                          | ED                     | USA       | Clinician defined. <2<br>weeks duration, localised<br>between 12th rib and<br>buttocks |  | Feasibility of initiating a physical therapy intervention in ED   |

| Author              | Design  | Setting | Country | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>    | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|---------------------|---|---------|---------|--|---------------------------------|--|
|                     |   |         |         |  |                                 | The majority of patients were<br>able to retain instructions<br>after a brief physical<br>therapist intervention in ED,<br>however they rarely used an<br>online educational resource<br>provided at ED discharge. No<br>outcomes related to pain or   |
| Kim et al. (2021)   | Prospective<br>observational study                                | ED      | USA     | Clinician defined. <2<br>weeks duration, localised<br>between 12th rib and<br>buttocks | Median age 40.5. 59%<br>female  | function were reported.<br>Comparison of patient-<br>reported outcomes between<br>physical therapy (n = 74) and<br>usual care (n = 370) over a<br>17-month period.<br>The ED-initiated physical-<br>therapy group had higher<br>baseline scores for<br>functioning, had greater<br>improvements at 3-month<br>follow up and lower use of<br>high-risk medications<br>(opioids, benzodiazepines<br>and skeletal muscle  |
| Kim et al. (2023)   | Qualitative study,<br>focus group and<br>individual<br>interviews | ED      | USA     | Clinician defined  |                                 | relaxants).<br>To explore patient<br>perspectives on visiting the<br>ED for low back pain to<br>inform a more patient-<br>centred approach to<br>emergency care.<br>identified 5 summary<br>themes: (1) the decision to<br>seek emergency care for low<br>back pain is motivated by<br>severe pain, resulting<br>disability, and fears about a<br>catastrophic diagnosis, (2)<br>participants sought various<br>goals from their ED visit but<br>emphasized the primacy of<br>pain control, (3) participants<br>were reluctant to use pain<br>medications but also<br>acknowledged their benefit,<br>(4) participants perceived a<br>number of benefits from<br>direct access to an ED<br>physical therapist in the ED,<br>and (5) participation in<br>physical therapy ultimately<br>facilitated recovery, but the<br>pain was a barrier to |
| Kocak et al. (2019) | Prospective<br>randomised trial                                   | ED      | Turkey  | Clinician defined.<br><48hrs LBP with an<br>identified trigger point<br>cause          |                                 | performing exercises.<br>Intravenous NSAIDs versus<br>trigger point injection of<br>local anaesthetic. Sample (n<br>= 54) of patients presenting<br>to ED with complaint of LBP.<br>Across the 60-min follow-up<br>period those in the trigger<br>point injection (n = 22)<br>appear to have had a greater<br>decrease in their pain than<br>those receiving NSAIDs (n =<br>22) at all time points.  |
| Kohns et al. (2018) | Prospective<br>observational study                                | ED      | USA     | Clinician defined. ICD-9   | Mean age 43.8. 56.2%<br>female. | 3-2) at all time points.<br>Relating the ordering of<br>advanced imaging and opioid<br>prescriptions with the<br>presentation of LBP in ED.<br>Sample n = 600.<br>63.5% had warning signs of a<br>potentially serious condition,<br>83.9% had psychosocial<br>factors. 16.6% received CT or<br>MRI, 52.6% were prescribed<br>opioids and 4.5% were   |

| Author            | Design   | Setting                              | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>                          | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup> | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------|---|------------------------------|--|
|                   |  | ED                                   | Here Ver  | Objetere de Card                                      |                              | admitted to hospital. Ina one-<br>year follow-up sample 40.8%<br>received subsequent spine<br>care and 5.1% had a<br>medically serious condition.  |
| Lau et al. (2008) | Assessor-Dinded<br>randomised trial  | ED                                   | Hong Kong | <24hrs  |                              | Assess the effect of ED<br>initiated physiotherapy on<br>pain and patient satisfaction.<br>Sample $n = 110$ . Those in the<br>intervention group ( $n = 55$ )<br>had an average of 1.6 points<br>(out of 10) less pain at ED<br>discharge and 0.9 less on<br>admission to the outpatient<br>physiotherapy department,<br>but this had disappeared by<br>one month. They were 2.1<br>points (out of 20) more<br>satisfied with their care.  |
| Liu et al. (2018) | Systematic review  | ED or other<br>acute care<br>setting | Canada    | Not specified   |                              | Review of the effectiveness of<br>interventions to reduce<br>image ordering.<br>Of five papers included in the<br>review, four reported a<br>decrease in their specific<br>imaging modality following<br>an intervention, one reported<br>a 35% increase in referrals<br>following intervention. One<br>study that decreased referrals<br>for simple radiography<br>reported a subsequent 15.4%<br>increase in referrals to CT and<br>myelography.   |
| Liu et al. (2019) | Before and after<br>observational study<br>of a service<br>improvement project | ED                                   | Sweden    | Patient defined. "Main<br>complaint was back<br>pain" |                              | Service improvement<br>redesign of ED,<br>interprofessional teams (n =<br>21,738) versus fast-track<br>streaming (n = 22,593).<br>Comparison periods both one<br>year.<br>Looked at differences in time<br>to physician and LOS for limb<br>injuries and back pain.<br>Looking at back pain patients<br>in the analysis, results<br>showed time to physician was<br>shorter in the teamwork<br>period, but length of stay was<br>on average slightly increased.<br>CT requests were higher in<br>the teamwork period, but<br>other types of imaging were<br>lower- separate figures for<br>back pain patients were not<br>given in the imaging analysis. |
| Liu (2022)        | Longitudinal<br>evaluation of a<br>service<br>improvement.                     | ED                                   | Sweden    | Not specified   |                              | Evaluation of a service<br>improvement redesign of ED,<br>interprofessional teams<br>versus fast-track streaming,<br>including impact on patient<br>flow and team behaviour.<br>Thesis that includes more<br>detailed findings from Liu<br>et al. (2019) study II of the<br>project. Conventional<br>radiographs were requested<br>for 51.4% of patients in the<br>teamwork period compared<br>to 52.8% in the fast-track<br>period; CT was requested for<br>5.9% in the teamwork period<br>compared to 4.8% in the fast<br>track; the time from request<br>of CT to result was longer in<br>the teamwork period mostly                                  |

| Table 1 (continued)     |   |                        |           |   |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| Author                  | Design                                      | Setting                | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>                            | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
| Logan et al. (2019)     | Systematic review                           | ED and<br>Primary care | Canada    | Not specified   | Only two of six<br>included studies were<br>set solely in ED   | attributed to delays prior to<br>imaging start. Separate<br>figures for back pain patients<br>were still not provided.<br>To determine the pooled<br>proportion of CT and x-ray<br>imaging of the lumbar spine<br>that were considered<br>appropriate in primary and<br>emergency care.<br>The pooled estimate for<br>appropriateness of x-rays was  |
| Loh et al. (2022)       | Case report                                 | ED                     | Australia | Not specified   |  | 43% and the pooled estimate<br>for appropriateness of CTs<br>was 54%. Risk of bias was<br>high in 4 studies, moderate in<br>one, and low in one. GRADE<br>for X-ray appropriateness<br>was low quality and for CT<br>appropriateness was very-<br>low-quality.<br>Report on "surfer's<br>myelopathy" following<br>presentation that included<br>low back pain.<br>Presenting symptoms were<br>similar to cauda equina<br>syndrome: lower limb<br>weakness, sensory loss,<br>urinary retention, and<br>perineal paraesthesia 1 h<br>after her first surf losson She         |
| Long et al. (2020)      | Narrative literature<br>review              | ED                     | USA       | Not specified   |  | atter her first sur fesson. She<br>was diagnosed with complete<br>T7 spinal cord injury<br>secondary to surfer's<br>myelopathy.<br>Evaluation of risk factors,<br>signs and symptoms<br>associated with CES<br>Diagnosis is often delayed,<br>red flags include bilateral<br>sciatica, reduced perineal  |
| Long et al. (2022)      | Expert opinion                              | ED                     | USA       | Not specified   |  | sensation, altered bladder<br>function leading to painless<br>urinary retention, loss of anal<br>tone and loss of sexual<br>function. In isolation history<br>and physical examination<br>have poor sensitivity.<br>Diagnosis typically involves<br>MRI or CT.<br>Evaluation of risk factors,<br>signs and symptoms<br>associated with SEA<br>A challenging diagnosis with<br>up to 90% misdiagnosed on  |
| Lovegrove et al. (2011) | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis | ED                     | Australia | Patient defined. "Back<br>pain" as primary<br>complaint | Prevalence 1.9%, of<br>which 43.8% were<br>simple low back pain.<br>51.4% female. Mean<br>age 46.2, modal<br>group 35-44 | nenr nrst ED visit. Risk<br>factors include<br>immunocompromise,<br>bacteraemia, contiguous<br>infection and spinal<br>instrumentation. Absence of<br>these does not preclude SEA.<br>Whilst back pain is a common<br>presenting symptom the<br>"classic triad" (back pain,<br>fever, and neurologic deficit<br>occurs) is only present in 8%<br>of cases. Diagnosis includes<br>MRI and blood cultures.<br>Examination of<br>characteristics of those<br>presenting to ED with a<br>complaint of back pain<br>including the prevalence of<br>non-muscular causes for back |
|                         |   |                        |           |   |  | (continued on next page)   |

#### Table 1 (continued)

| Author                  | Design   | Setting              | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>     | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--|---|
|                         |  |                      |           |                                  |  | pain (n = 22,655 over five<br>years).<br>15-years and >75-years were<br>more likely to have non-<br>muscular causes of their back<br>pain. Presentations were<br>mostly between 0800 and<br>1600 (35.8%) and higher at<br>weekends (30.2%). Mean<br>LOS was 4.4 h 88.9%<br>presented only once, 3%<br>three or more times. 25.4% of<br>patients were admitted. Of<br>the non-muscular group, the<br>most common diagnoses<br>were renal colic, sciatica/<br>radiculopathy, UTI or<br>pyelonephritis. Other<br>diagnoses included angina,<br>myocardial infraction,<br>pulmonary emboli and<br>pancreatitis. |
| Ly et al. (2021)        | Pre-post<br>implementation<br>service improvement<br>study | ED                   | Australia | Clinician defined. ICD-<br>10-AM |  | Introduction of a modified<br>analgesic ladder and targeted<br>education on oxycodone use.<br>Sample $n = 107$ pre-<br>intervention, $n = 107$ post-<br>intervention.<br>Patients receiving oxycodone<br>dropped from 72.9% to<br>51.4% following the<br>implementation, mean dose<br>dropped from 14 mg to 5 mg<br>and patients receiving a<br>discharge prescription<br>dropped from 33.6% to<br>24.3%. Post implementation<br>paracetamol, NSAID and<br>tramadol use increased.  |
| Machado et al. (2017)   | Discussion article   | ED                   | Australia | Not specified                    |  | Description of usual care with<br>discussion of potential<br>strategies for restructuring<br>ED practice and changing<br>clinician and patient<br>behaviour<br>Most presentations in ED are<br>similar to primary care and<br>guidelines recommendations<br>will help avoid low value   |
| Machado et al. (2020)   | Discussion article   | ED                   | Australia | Not specified                    |  | Should primary care<br>guidelines for LBP be adopted<br>in ED<br>ED sees a different spectrum<br>of low back pain<br>presentations, likely<br>including a larger proportion<br>of patients with an<br>underlying serious pathology<br>or non-spinal diseases than in<br>primary care. Current low<br>back pain guidelines do not<br>adequately cover screening<br>for these conditions.   |
| Magnusson et al. (2021) | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis                | Ambulance<br>Service | Sweden    | Clinician defined                | Back pain was the<br>presenting complaint<br>for 2.5% of patients<br>assessed by EMS,<br>1.2% of those<br>hospitalised and 3.8%<br>of those discharged<br>from ED. | To describe the patients who<br>are brought to hospital by the<br>EMS, with particular<br>emphasis on those that were<br>discharged from the ED, and<br>to assess the proportion of<br>these patients who did not<br>require hospital resources,<br>which could mean that they<br>were candidates for primary<br>care.<br>(continued on next page)  |

(continued on next page)

| Author                  | Design                                   | Setting | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>  | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|-------------------------|--|---------|-----------|---|--|--|
|                         |  |         |           |   |  | Pre-hospital triage did not<br>have the option of using the<br>lowest code (alternative<br>other than ED may be<br>suitable). Back pain was the<br>second most common<br>complaint amongst patients<br>who were transported to<br>hospital by EMS but did not<br>require homical   |
| McCarthy et al. (2021)  | Sub-analysis of RCT<br>results           | ED      | USA       | Not specified   |  | require hospital resources.<br>Study on promoting safe<br>opioid use after ED discharge.<br>267 completed diaries, back<br>pain $n = 45$ .<br>Study was widely focused on<br>oral opioid use after ED visits,<br>it identified back pain<br>patients as having the highest<br>use, median of 12 tablets,<br>median morphine milligram<br>equivalents 65. Compared to<br>all case values of 8 and 45<br>respectively. On the day of<br>discharge 88.9% back pain<br>patients consumed an opioid<br>at home, increasing to 91.1%<br>on day 1 after discharge,<br>decreasing after that but<br>remaining above the all case |
| McCaughey et al. (2016) | Retrospective cohort<br>study            | ED      | Australia | Patient defined. "Back<br>pain" with triage<br>categories 3, 4 and 5. | 2.2% prevalence for<br>"back pain". Mean age<br>49.1 (SD 21.0), modal<br>category 26-35 years.<br>52.3% female | rate to day 9.<br>Quantifying imaging<br>utilization for patients<br>presenting to ED with back<br>pain, characteristics and<br>disposition. $n = 1132$ over<br>one-year.<br>Imaging was requested for<br>29.5% of presentations:<br>26.2% radiography, 5.6% CT<br>or MRI. Rates were higher for<br>older patients. Imaging was<br>not associated with time of   |
|                         |  |         |           |   |  | presentation and did not<br>predict admission or re-<br>presentation. Of those<br>patients admitted 68.5%<br>were diagnosed with an MSK<br>disorder, the next most<br>common was 6.3% with<br>disorders of the kidney and<br>winary tract  |
| Medeiros et al. (2018)  | Prospective<br>inception cohort<br>study | ED      | Brazil    | Clinician defined.<br>>24hrs, <6 weeks                                |  | Utility of STarT Back<br>Screening Tool to predict<br>long term clinical outcomes<br>and the best time for its use.<br>Sample n = 200, presenting<br>to ED with new episode of<br>acute nonspecific LBP.<br>45% of patients were<br>classified as high risk at<br>baseline; most patients with<br>medium or high classification<br>changed their subgroup at 6<br>weeks usually improving.<br>STarT improved 6-month<br>prediction when<br>administered at week 6  |
| Meisel et al. (2022)    | Parallel, multicentre<br>RCT             | ED      | USA       |   |  | rather than baseline.<br>Compare effectiveness of 3<br>approaches for<br>communicating opioid risk.<br>Sample, patients with chief<br>complaint suggestive of<br>kidney stone or<br>musculoskeletal back pain (n   |

20

#### Table 1 (continued)

| Author               | Design   | Setting | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>  | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|----------------------|--|---------|-----------|---|--|---|
|                      |  |         |           |   |  | <ul> <li>= 1301) recruited over 22<br/>months.</li> <li>The study focused on back<br/>and kidney stone pain, the<br/>two groups were not<br/>separated in the analysis. The<br/>narrative enhanced<br/>probabilistic risk tool group<br/>had better risk recall at 14<br/>days and a lower preference<br/>for an opioid medication at<br/>discharge. There was no<br/>significant difference in<br/>opioid use at 14 days<br/>between the three<br/>intervention groups.</li> </ul> |
| Melman et al. (2022) | Retrospective<br>secondary database<br>analysis        | ED      | Australia | Clinician defined.<br>Systematized<br>Nomenclature of<br>Medicine- Clinical<br>Terms- Australian<br>Version- Emergency<br>Department Reference<br>Set |  | Determine the proportion of<br>patients admitted to hospital<br>for back pain who have<br>nonserious back pain, serious<br>spinal or serious other<br>pathology as their final<br>diagnosis. $n = 1982$ across 57<br>months.<br>Of patients admitted with an<br>initial diagnosis of non-<br>serious back pain, subsequent<br>diagnoses identified a serious<br>spinal pathology in 14.2% of<br>patients and 23.9% a serious<br>pathology beyond the lumbar<br>spinal               |
| Mills et al. (2011)  | Retrospective cohort<br>study                          | ED      | USA       | Not specified   |  | phrc.<br>Determination of the<br>association between age and<br>analgesia. Subjects $n =$<br>24,752.<br>The study looked at back ( $n =$<br>5948) and abdominal pain<br>( $n =$ 18,804), the conditions<br>were not separated in the<br>results. General results were<br>that older adults who present<br>to the ED are less likely to<br>receive analgesia and wait<br>significantly longer for it<br>compared to younger adults.  |
| Min et al. (2017)    | Prospective single<br>centre before and<br>after study | ED      | Canada    | Clinician defined. Those<br>with specific diagnoses<br>were excluded  | LBP prevalence 2%<br>LBP was fifth most<br>common discharge<br>diagnosis | Determine whether point of<br>care decision support can<br>reduce inappropriate<br>imaging of patients<br>presenting to ED with LBP.<br>Data collected on LBP<br>patients seen by 46<br>physicians across 15 months<br>(n = 1996).<br>Imaging rates dropped after<br>implementation, median<br>22%–17%, mean 23%–18%.<br>There was no impact on<br>patients later imaged at an<br>outpatient clinic. No serious<br>diagnoses were missed  |
| Morgan et al. (2013) | Retrospective<br>observational study                   | ED      | USA       | Not specified   |  | Determine which factors<br>influence patient satisfaction<br>in chief complaints with<br>treatment options limited to<br>symptomatic care. 2718<br>respondents from 5479<br>surveyed.<br>Research forum abstract.<br>Study looked at dental pain,<br>low back pain and headache.<br>Conditions were not<br>separated in the results.<br>Lower satisfaction was<br>associated with longer wait<br>(continued on next page)   |

| Author                  | Design   | Setting                | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>  | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>  | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------|---|---|--|
| Mullins et al. (2021)   | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis                    | ED                     | USA       | Clinician defined   | Proportion of overall<br>ED presentations was<br>stable. 9.1% in 2007,<br>9.3% in 2016.       | times, patient's self-<br>assessment remaining the<br>same or worsening after<br>discharge. Patients who<br>received more imaging<br>reported higher satisfaction.<br>Investigation of the trends in<br>the evaluation and<br>management of back pain in<br>U.S. EDs from 2007 to 2016.<br>Admission rates declined<br>from 6.4% to 5.0%; imaging<br>increased from 51 7% to   |
| Ngo et al. (2016)       | Retrospective<br>secondary data<br>analysis                    | ED                     | Singapore | Not specified   |   | 57.6% (with a 58.3%<br>increase in CT use); Overall<br>opioid use declined from<br>53.5% to 46.5%; tramadol<br>use increased 4.1%–8.4%<br>Pilot study of the impact of<br>physiotherapy services in ED.<br>Data of 317 patients seen by<br>on-site physiotherapist over<br>10 months.<br>Conference abstract. Study<br>focused on MSK conditions<br>including back pain, but not<br>separated in the analysis. ED  |
| Nuhr et al. (2004)      | Prospective  | Ambulance              | Austria   | Clinician defined   |   | physiotherapist patients<br>started physiotherapy sooner<br>and saw symptom resolution<br>in fewer sessions than pre-<br>implementation. Function<br>and pain outcomes improved<br>significantly. 91.3% of<br>patients were satisfied with<br>the service.   |
|                         | randomized blinded   | Service                |           |   |   | acute low back pain during rescue transport.   |
| Nunn et al. (2017)      | Retrospective cross-<br>sectional analysis of<br>clinical data | ED                     | Canada    | Clinician defined. ICD-9  | Median age 43% (IQR<br>30-57). 55% female.  | Description of demographic<br>and clinical characteristics of<br>patients presenting to ED<br>with non-urgent LBP. Simple<br>random sample (n = 325)<br>from patients presenting with<br>non-urgent LBP over six<br>years.<br>Most patients did not have<br>neurological symptoms<br>(81%), or sciatica (68%).<br>22.5% had laboratory<br>investigations, 29.5%<br>received imaging (27.4%<br>plain radiograph, 4.6% CT,<br>0.6% MRI), 59.4% received<br>medication in ED, 20.8% of<br>patients arrived by<br>ambulance, 97.2% were<br>discharged home |
| O'Cathain et al. (2022) | Cross-sectional<br>survey                                      | ED and<br>primary care | UK        | Clinician defined (with<br>PPI input) vignettes<br>representing minor or<br>non-urgent problems |   | To identify the characteristics<br>of the British population with<br>a tendency to contact<br>emergency medical services<br>and EDs for minor or non-<br>urgent problems.<br>When asked how they would<br>manage back pain that was<br>unresolved after two weeks<br>0.9% would call 999 for an<br>ambulance, 6.4% would go to<br>ED   |
| Oliveira et al. (2020)  | Prospective cross-<br>sectional study                          | ED                     | Brazil    | Clinician defined   | Patients were 58%<br>female; median pain<br>score 8/11; median<br>disability score 17/<br>24. | To describe the profile of<br>patients with acute LBP who<br>accessed EDs in Brazilian<br>public hospitals; and also to<br>describe the profile of these   |

| Author                 | Design                                  | Setting                | Country | Pain definition <sup>a</sup> | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>                  | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|------------------------|---|------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---|--|
|                        |   |                        |         |                              |   | patients according to the<br>STarT Back Screening Tool<br>(SBST).<br>49.2% were classified as at<br>high risk of developing an<br>unfavourable prognosis via<br>SBST. 74% of patients<br>reported continuing to work<br>normally without   |
| Oliveira et al. (2021) | Inception cohort<br>study               | ED                     | Brazil  | Clinician Defined            |   | interference from LBP.<br>To describe the prognosis in<br>people with recent-onset LBP<br>presenting to emergency<br>departments (EDs) and to<br>identify prognostic factors for<br>nonrecovery.<br>Within 12 months 73% of<br>participants had recovered<br>from pain, 86% recovered<br>from disability, 79% returned<br>to previous work hours and<br>70% were completely<br>recovered. The median<br>recovery times were 67 days<br>to recover from pain, 37 days<br>to recover from disability, 37<br>days to return to previous<br>work hours and duties, and<br>70 days to recover<br>completely. Higher pain<br>levels, a higher perceived risk<br>of persistent LBP, more days<br>of reduced activity due to<br>LBP, more pain sites, and<br>higher duration of LBP were<br>associated with complete<br>nonrecovery within 6<br>months |
| Oliveira et al. (2022) | Systematic Review<br>with Meta-Analysis | ED and<br>primary care | Brazil  | Patient defined              |   | To compare pain and<br>disability levels of patients<br>with acute low back pain<br>presenting to general practice<br>vs those presenting to<br>emergency departments.<br>The review included 12<br>records reporting results for<br>10 unique studies. The<br>review found low-quality<br>evidence that patients<br>presenting to emergency<br>departments had higher pain<br>scores than those in general<br>practice (mean difference of<br>17.3 points) and low-quality<br>evidence that patients<br>presenting to emergency<br>departments had higher<br>presenting to emergency<br>departments had higher<br>disability scores than those in<br>general practice (mean   |
| Oshima et al. (2022)   | Cross-sectional<br>survey               | ED                     | Brazil  | Patient defined              | Participants were<br>majority female<br>(68%) | difference: 21.7).<br>To describe the demographic,<br>physical, and psychological<br>characteristics, and reasons<br>for seeking care at emergency<br>departments due to an<br>episode of low back pain.<br>Most patients went to the<br>emergency department<br>because they were worried<br>about their pain (78%) and<br>because they could not<br>control their pain (73%).<br>Patients also choose the<br>emergency department<br>because it is alwavs available.   |

#### Table 1 (continued)

| Author                | Design   | Setting                            | Country | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>                              | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>  | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------|---|---|---|
| Overman et al. (1988) | Service evaluation   | ED                                 | USA     | Not stated  | Average age 48, 59%   | it is free, and provided them<br>good care.<br>Evaluation of physical   |
|                       | with randomisation   |                                    |         |   | male  | therapist first contact care<br>programme in ED. 174 study<br>subjects managed by physical<br>therapist ( $n = 107$ ) or<br>physician ( $n = 67$ ).<br>Compared again standard<br>care by physicians. 76.4% of<br>patients received a diagnosis<br>of LBP. Physical therapists<br>made more referrals to  |
|                       |  |                                    |         |   |   | physical therapy department<br>and recommended muscle<br>relaxants, prescription<br>analgesics and best rest less<br>frequently. Patients were<br>more satisfied with their care<br>from physical therapists.<br>Overall outcomes were<br>similar between the two<br>groups at one-month  |
|                       |  |                                    |         |   |   | however highly<br>dysfunctional patients had<br>better outcomes with  |
| Owens et al. (2011)   | Statistical brief  | ED and<br>inpatient<br>departments | USA     | Clinician defined. ICD-9-<br>CM                           | 5.8% of ED patients<br>had back problems,<br>2.7% had back<br>problems as their first<br>listed diagnosis. Mean<br>ages were 47 and 43.6<br>respectively. | statistical brief with<br>information on prevalence<br>and cost of ED visits and<br>inpatient stays related to<br>back problems. 7,294,280 ED<br>visits and 2,368,148<br>inpatient stays related to<br>back problems in 2008.<br>The rate of ED visits was<br>highest for the 18 to 44-year-<br>old group and for those from  |
| Pakpoor et al. (2020) | Retrospective<br>database analysis                             | ED                                 | USA     | Clinician defined. ICD-9-<br>CM, ICD-10                   | Mean age 41.2. 53.9%<br>female.   | rural areas.<br>Investigation of the use of<br>imaging for patients with<br>LBP. Included 134,624<br>patient encounters over 6<br>years.<br>Images were obtained in<br>33.7% of visits, decreasing<br>over the study period. 30.9%<br>radiography, 2.7% CT, 0.8%  |
| Paul and Buser (1996) | Discussion article   | ED                                 | USA     | Not specified   |   | Discussion of osteopathic<br>approaches to low back pain,<br>chest pain, torticollis, asthma<br>and sinusitis.<br>For LBP clinical history is<br>emphasized. The article<br>presents a long list of<br>potential differential<br>diagnoses, including<br>vascular, visceral, mass effect  |
| Potier et al. (2015)  | Retrospective,<br>service improvement<br>pilot study and audit | ED                                 | UK      | Clinician defined<br>"musculoskeletal lower<br>back pain" | Mean age 43.5   | and neopiastic origins.<br>Evaluation of patient<br>experience for an<br>intervention for the<br>assessment and treatment of<br>MSK LBP. Initial review of<br>case notes (n = 75); baseline<br>audit (n = 100); and post-<br>implements (n = 100)<br>Following staff education and<br>the introduction of an<br>evidence-based LBP<br>pathway, documentation of<br>history and examination<br>improved and there was an<br>increased rate of both<br>diagnostic<br>(continued on next page) |

24

#### Table 1 (continued) Author Design Setting Country Pain definition<sup>a</sup> Prevalence datab Aims and key findings accuracy. Post implementation investigation rates dropped except for xray which increased. Pugh et al. (2020) Prospective ED USA Clinician defined To assesses the impact of EDinitiated Physical Therapy longitudinal study (Conference (PT) on ED resource abstract) utilization and return rates in patients with atraumatic low back pain. Patients with low back pain who received ED PT were less likely to have imaging studies in the ED and had lower ED return rates within the year following the initial ED visit. ED PT may not only offer therapeutic benefits to ED patients but may also positively impact ED resource utilization while not increasing ED length of stay. USA Rao et al. (2015) Retrospective ED Patient defined. Chief Mean age 48 Prevalence of appropriate sample data analysis complaint of LBP imaging based on the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria. Random sample (n = 100) of patients with chief complaint of LBP (n = 624)over a four month period. 50% of patients had a precipitating event, 28% underwent imaging in ED, 24% in outpatient imaging, 54% had no imaging. 96% of imaging referrals were considered appropriate, 96% of those not imaged were considered appropriate. Reito et al. (2015) ED Finland Clinician defined ICD-10 Retrospective To investigate the secondary data population-based incidence analysis of specific spinal pathologies as a cause of atraumatic acute or subacute LBP. Of 900 ED attendances diagnosed as atraumatic back pain 31.6% were nonspecific LBP. 64.8% were radicular pain suggestive of nerve root compression, 3.7% of cases has a specific spinal pathology. Red flag accuracy was poor and the authors advocate a low threshold for referral and advanced imaging in cases where a specific spinal pathology is suspected. Rizzardo et al. (2016) ED Italy Clinician defined. ICD-10 Description of patient Retrospective Mean age 63.6. Male observational study 51% demographics and care provided. Patients admitted to the ED with diagnosis codes for sciatica, lumbosciatica and lumbago (n = 1298) over one-year. Mean LOS, 4h14m, 62.0% received NSAIDs, 40.4% received opioids, 44.1% received imaging Ryan (2022) UK To understand why people Protocol-ED Patient defined. Observational attend ED with LBP qualitative study Protocol published and data collection completed at the time of writing, no results published yet.

## Table 1 (continued)

| Author                  | Design   | Setting | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|-------------------------|--|---------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Saggers et al. (2021)   | Qualitive interview<br>study   | ED      | Australia | Clinician defined.<br>Included patients that<br>had been triaged as non-<br>specific LBP |                                | Investigation of factors<br>contributing to the decision<br>by patients with non-specific<br>LBP to seek care in ED.<br>Sample, 21 patients<br>attending ED with non-<br>specific LBP<br>Patients' perception and<br>interpretation of their<br>symptoms (including worry<br>or the desire for pain relief)<br>was the most important<br>factor, convenience was<br>important, patients expected<br>high quality care. Few were<br>advised to attend by GPs or<br>physiotherapists, but if<br>advised this was a critical<br>factor. |
| Sayer et al. (2018)     | Retrospective audit  | ED      | Australia | Clinician defined. ICD-10  | 50.3% female                   | Comparison of key<br>performance indicators<br>between advanced MSK<br>physiotherapists and other<br>clinicians. Audit of 1089<br>patients.<br>Patients seen by<br>physiotherapists (n = 360)<br>had shorter waiting times and<br>LOS, as well as lower<br>admission rates.  |
| Schlemmer et al. (2015) | Retrospective<br>analysis of patient<br>data                               | ED      | USA       | Clinician defined. ICD-9-<br>CM  | 52.8% female.<br>Median age 46 | To determine frequency and<br>type of non-indicated<br>imaging, and characteristic of<br>patients. Analysis of 14,838<br>ED events.<br>51.9% did not have<br>indications for imaging,<br>among these 30.1% received<br>imaging (15.6% of the total),<br>and of those 26.2% received<br>advanced imaging (4.1% of<br>total presentations)   |
| Schulz et al. (2016)    | Prospective study  | ED      | Australia | Clinician defined. Acute<br>MSK LBP  | Mean age 36, 45%<br>female     | Comparison of advanced<br>MSK physiotherapist with<br>other health professionals.<br>Study looked at lower limb<br>soft tissue injuries ( $n = 88$ )<br>and acute LBP ( $n = 29$ ). For<br>LBP physiotherapists were<br>less likely to order imaging or<br>use anxiolytics. Patients'<br>satisfaction was equal or<br>higher than other clinicians<br>at discharge although this<br>effect disappeared at 2-week<br>and 6-week follow up. There<br>was no significance in other<br>reported variables                                |
| Shani et al. (2020)     | Prospective<br>randomised case<br>series                                   | ED      | Israel    | Clinician defined. Non-<br>radicular, lumber spine<br>pain, <1 month                     |                                | reported variables.<br>Effectiveness of analgesia<br>when administrative route<br>preference (PO versus IM) is<br>matched to patient choice. 38<br>patients with acute LBP<br>presenting to ED.<br>Overall, patients had similar<br>pain reduction regardless of<br>route, however pain<br>reduction was greater when<br>analgesia was administered  |
| Sharma et al. (2021)    | Prospective,<br>replicated time series<br>controlled<br>experimental study | ED      | Australia | Patient defined.   | Mean age 47. 62.5%<br>female   | Impact of waiting room<br>communication strategy on<br>imaging rates. 337 people<br>presenting to ED with LBP<br>over 4-month period<br>(continued on next page)   |

decisions in hopes of

(continued on next page)

#### Table 1 (continued) Author Design Setting Country Pain definition<sup>a</sup> Prevalence datab Aims and key findings (intervention n = 99; control n - 238) A waiting room patient information strategy of digital posters and a leaflet. Imaging rates across the study were 28%, there was no evidence of a significant change in imaging rates between the intervention and control. Differences in patient awareness and satisfaction were not significant. Sharma et al. (2022) Clinician defined. Sydney 2019 mean age 51. Retrospective data ED Australia Impact of Covid-19 on analysis Local Heath District 52% female. 2020 presentation and patterns of Targeted Activity and care for LBP in ED over mean age 52, 51% female. Majority comparable 3-month periods. Reporting System (STARS) diagnosed with Presentations to ED dropped higher socio-"spinal conditions" economic status. by 31% between pre-covid (n = 694) and covid (n = 475) periods. Diagnoses of serious spinal pathology were 4% and 6% in the respective periods. Admission rates, imaging, laboratory tests and pain medication use were similar between the two periods. Arrival by ambulance was higher in the covid period. Shaw et al. (2020) Retrospective ED Australia Patient defined. Triaged Mean age 52.6 (SD Determine the frequency of observational study as describing their 20.1). 46.9% male red flags and association with primary problem as serious pathologies and investigations. 1346 "back pain" consecutive patients with back pain as presenting complaint at triage, 1000 eligible for inclusion. A list of 39 red flags were searched for in medical records, 25 based on primary care studies, 14 additional ED relevant categories. Flags were compared to primary ED diagnosis or, if admitted, discharge diagnosis. 3.3% received a serious spinal diagnosis, 14.6% received a serious non-spinal diagnosis, 73.7% were not diagnosed with a serious underlying pathology. The seven criteria most positively indicative of serious pathology were fever on examination, history of tuberculosis, known nephrolithiasis/abdominal aortic aneurysm, unexplained weight loss, writhing in pain, urinary symptoms, and flank pain. For serious spinal pathology saddle anaesthesia, history of tuberculosis, intravenous drug use, acute onset urinary retention and loss of anal sphincter tone had the highest positive likelihood ratios. Smith and Siket (2020) Expert opinion ED USA Patient defined To discuss tools to aid the frontline provider in accurate diagnosis of the neurologic emergencies and tips to improve timely treatment

imaging should only be used (continued on next page)

| Author                  | Design  | Setting   | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>                               | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup> | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|-------------------------|---|---|-----------|--|------------------------------|---|
|                         |   |   |           |  |                              | reducing diagnostic error and<br>medicolegal risk and<br>optimizing care delivery for<br>patients.<br>Most patients presenting with<br>back pain do not require<br>emergent imaging, but those<br>with new neurologic deficits<br>or signs/symptoms<br>concerning for acute<br>infection or cord compression  |
| Sohil et al. (2017)     | Retrospective<br>observational study                              | ED  | Singapore | Clinician defined. Non-<br>traumatic neck and back<br>pain |                              | warrant MRI.<br>Evaluation of the impact of<br>early physiotherapy<br>evaluation and treatment<br>pathway versus standard<br>care. 125 patients presenting<br>to ED with non-traumatic<br>neck and back pain over eight<br>months, pathway $n = 62$ ,<br>standard care $n = 63$ .<br>Use of the pathway reduced<br>waiting time for outpatient<br>physiotherapy, from a mean<br>wait of 34 days-4 days.<br>Disability and pain scores<br>were significantly different at<br>34 days for the pathway |
| Stafford et al. (2014)  | Qualitative<br>exploratory inquiry<br>based on grounded<br>theory | Urgent care<br>(ED, walk-in<br>centre, out of<br>hours service) | UK        | Clinician defined as<br>simple mechanical back<br>pain     |                              | group.<br>Exploration of why patients<br>with simple mechanical back<br>pain seek urgent care.<br>11 patients presenting to<br>urgent care with back pain.<br>Eight key motivators were<br>identified: GP access; Pain &<br>Analgesia; Function;<br>Different; Something Wrong;<br>Investigation; Third Party;  |
| Staiger et al. (2010)   | Service<br>improvement study                                      | ED and<br>community<br>healthcare                               | Australia | Clinician defined. Acute<br>LBP <3 months                  |                              | Repeat Visits.<br>Report on the development of<br>a care pathway to improve<br>service linkages across the<br>healthcare system for the<br>treatment of LBP, one aim<br>being to reduce ED<br>presentations.<br>The proposed pathway<br>introduced a referral route<br>from ED to community health<br>services for next day follow-<br>up and then onto appropriate<br>services. No results are<br>reported, and no follow-up   |
| Stewart et al. (2015)   | Component analysis<br>of healthcare records                       | Primary Care  | USA       | Clinician defined. 1CD-9                                   |                              | reported, and no nonwap<br>papers were identified.<br>Focus on healthcare use by a<br>primary care defined group<br>of LBP patients ( $n = 33,577$ ),<br>including ED presentations,<br>over a five-year period.<br>The study identified that<br>patients with three or more<br>opioid prescriptions in the<br>study period or two or more<br>surgeries were the highest<br>users of ED in the period<br>following a primary care   |
| Strudwick et al. (2018) | Rapid literature<br>review  | ED  | Australia | Not specified  |                              | encounter for LBP.<br>Review focused on<br>identifying current best<br>practice for managing LBP in<br>ED.<br>Review included 'red flag'<br>conditions that can mimic<br>MSK LBP recommended that   |

| Author                                    | Design  | Setting | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>  | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|---|---|---------|-----------|--|---|--|
| Suslavich et al.(2020)                    | Prospective<br>longitudinal study<br>(Conference<br>abstract) | ED      | USA       | Clinician defined  | Of those patients<br>referred average age<br>was 42 years (range<br>13–88), 53% were<br>female      | in the presence of red flags,<br>opioids should be used<br>judiciously, psychosocial risk<br>factors should be identified,<br>and early return to work and<br>function should be promoted.<br>To describe the types of<br>patients seen, interventions<br>performed, and follow up<br>associated with an academic<br>ED's PT program.<br>Lumbar back pain was the<br>most common reason for<br>referral to physiotherapy<br>(43.6%). The availability of<br>PT in the ED may provide an<br>adjunct to traditional<br>musculoskeletal diagnostic<br>and pain management<br>approaches while also<br>connecting patients to  |
| Tacy et al. (2017)                        | Service<br>improvement study                                  | ED      | USA       | Clinician defined. ICD-10<br>diagnosis of chronic LBP  | 2013 prevalence<br>1.8%. 0.4% Chronic<br>LBP. Study period<br>prevalence 3.7%,<br>0.2% Chronic LBP. | outpatient PT resources.<br>Application of primary care<br>guidelines for chronic LBP in<br>a nurse-initiated initiative in<br>ED. 277 patients presented to<br>Ed with chief complaint of<br>back pain over a 75-day<br>period.   |
| Tan et al. (2018)                         | Prospective<br>observational cohort<br>study                  | ED      | Singapore | Clinician defined. ICD-9   |   | Of patients presenting with<br>back pain, 33% had objective<br>red flags, a majority<br>presented with chronic rather<br>than acute back pain. During<br>the pilot pain reduction at<br>discharge improved,<br>compliance with evidence-<br>based guidance improved,<br>and re-presentation rate<br>dropped. Patient satisfaction<br>also improved.<br>Investigate STarT Back Pain<br>Screening Tool to provide<br>prognostic information. 177<br>patients presenting to ED for<br>acute LBP and completing 6-<br>month follow-up.<br>STarT Back Pain socre were<br>significantly associated with<br>6-month pain score, more<br>than the STarT psychosocial<br>score. High, low and medium<br>rick patients all bad a |
| Tekin et al. (2021)                       | Prospective single<br>centre unblinded<br>RCT                 | ED      | Turkey    | Clinician defined. Acute<br>non-specific back pain   |   | risk patients all had a<br>significant drop in pain score<br>between baseline and 6-<br>weeks.<br>Effectiveness of intradermal<br>sterile water injection as an<br>adjunct to systemic<br>treatment. 112 patients<br>admitted t ED for LBP of<br>unclear chronicity.<br>The intervention (n = 56)<br>was found to be more<br>effective than systemic<br>treatment alone (n = 56) in  |
| Thiruganasambandamoorthy<br>et al. (2014) | Retrospective health<br>records review                        | ED      | Canada    | Clinician defined. Non-<br>traumatic LBP, below the<br>costal margins and above<br>the buttocks. | Prevalence of non-<br>traumatic LBP 1%.<br>Mean age 49.3. 50.8%<br>female.                          | reducing pain. Opioid<br>consumption in the following<br>24 h was reduced and patient<br>satisfaction was increased.<br>To identify risk factors<br>associated with serious<br>pathology in patients (n =<br>329) with non-traumatic LBP<br>presenting to ED LBP over  |

| Author                | Design   | Setting              | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup> | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup> | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Tracey et al. (1994)  | Case study of<br>guideline<br>development                                | ED                   | UK        | Not specified                |                              | three months.<br>Identified risk factors were<br>anticoagulant use, decreased<br>sensation in physical<br>examination, pain that is<br>worse at night, pain that<br>persists despite appropriate<br>treatment- 91% sensitivity,<br>55% specificity.<br>Development and<br>introduction of guidelines for<br>imaging referral for patients<br>with acute LBP. 445 patients<br>presented with back pain<br>during baseline period, 312<br>during the study period.<br>Following introduction of the                |
| Traeger et al. (2021) | Retrospective data<br>analysis   | ED                   | Australia | Patient defined              |                              | guidelines referral for<br>radiography was reduced<br>from 48.4% to 27.2%,<br>although it is noted that by<br>the last month of the study<br>the referral rate had risen to<br>close to the pre-protocol rate.<br>To estimate the prevalence of<br>the overuse and underuse of<br>lumbar imaging in patients<br>presenting with low back<br>pain to the emergency<br>department (ED).<br>Of those patients reviewed<br>12.2% had features  |
| Tsai et al. (2021)    | Retrospective<br>observational study<br>of existing data                 | ED                   | USA       | Clinician defined. ICD-10    |                              | <ul> <li>suggesting lumbar imaging<br/>was indicated. Prevalence of<br/>overuse of imaging was 8.8%,<br/>underuse was 4.3%. nearly<br/>half of the underuse cases<br/>were due to referral for<br/>uninformative imaging<br/>modalities.</li> <li>Study focus was to determine<br/>common ED presentations of<br/>homeless veterans.</li> <li>For homeless veterans LBP<br/>comprised 3.1%–3.8% of<br/>presentations across the four<br/>years studied (4th to 7th most<br/>common). For non-homeless</li> </ul> |
| Veenema et al. (2000) | Prospective double-<br>blind randomised<br>comparative clinical<br>trial | ED                   | USA       | Not specified                |                              | veterans LBP comprised 4.0%<br>(2nd to 4 <sup>th</sup> most common). In<br>2019 it was in the top ten for<br>all sub-groups of homeless<br>veterans (race, sex and age)<br>except for those aged over 75.<br>Effectiveness of Ketorolac<br>versus meperidine to treat<br>severe MSK LBP in ED.<br>Convenience sample of 155<br>patients over 19-month<br>period.   |
| Vella et al. (2022)   | Scoping review   | Ambulance<br>Service | Australia | Various                      |                              | Ketorolac (n = 80) reduced<br>pain less than meperidine (n<br>= 75) at 60 min but had less<br>sedative or adverse effects.<br>The scoping review of<br>paramedic management of<br>back pain included 26<br>articles. 16% of calls for back<br>pain received transport to<br>hospital. Pharmacological<br>management of back pain<br>includes benzodiazepines,<br>NSAIDs, opioids, nitrous<br>oxide, and paracetamol. Non-<br>pharmacological care is<br>parameted and includes                                   |

| Fable 1 (continued)      |  |                            |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Author                   | Design   | Setting                    | Country   | Pain definition <sup>a</sup>   | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup>   | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>   |  |  |  |
| Washington et al. (2002) | Prospective RCT                                      | ED                         | USA       | Not specified  |  | referral to alternate health<br>service, counselling and<br>behavioural interventions<br>and self-care advice.<br>To determine the effects of<br>next-day primary care for<br>nonacute conditions. 156<br>patients presenting to ED<br>meeting the inclusion<br>criteria.<br>LBP was one of the conditions<br>considered suitable for<br>referral to next-day primary<br>care. LBP patients were not<br>separated in the analysis but<br>there were no significant<br>clinical differences reported<br>between those patients        |  |  |  |
| Waterman et al. (2012)   | Retrospective cross-<br>sectional study              | ED                         | USA       | Clinician defined.<br>Consumer Product Safety<br>Commission's National<br>Electronic Injury<br>Surveillance System | LBP prevalence<br>3.15%. 51.5% male  | treated in ED and those<br>referred to next-day care.<br>Characterise incidence of LBP<br>presentation to ED and<br>associated risk factors.<br>Analysis of a probability<br>sample in a government<br>database.<br>Most people presented<br>following injuries sustained<br>at home. There was a bi-<br>modal distribution with<br>peaks at 25-29 and 95-99<br>years of age. Rates of back  |  |  |  |
| Westgard et al. (2020)   | Before-and-after<br>observational study              | ED                         | USA       | Clinician defined - "back<br>pain"   | Back pain prevalence<br>5.3% prior to Covid,<br>3.5% after emergency<br>declaration. | pain were higher for Black<br>and White patients compared<br>to Asian patients.<br>Analysis of changes in ED use<br>during a state declared<br>emergency due Covid<br>After emergency declaration<br>there was a 35.2% decline in<br>ED visits overall from the  |  |  |  |
| Wu and Davis (2019)      | Case report  | ED                         | USA       | Clinician defined  |  | previous year, with a<br>disproportionate decline in<br>visits for back pain (50.7%)<br>The study presented an<br>unusual presentation of<br>spinal cord infarct.<br>In this case spinal cord infarct<br>presented with chest pain<br>and neurogenic shock rather  |  |  |  |
| Xantus et al. (2021)     | Case series and<br>validating<br>retrospective audit | ED                         | Hungary   | Clinician defined. MSK<br>chest pain, with or<br>without costovertebral<br>involvement.                            |  | than back pain, the paper<br>identifies infarct as an<br>important differential for<br>patients with back pain.<br>Case study of a previously<br>undiagnosed scoliosis.<br>Cohort of five patients over<br>one month.<br>The study included patients<br>with low-risk chest pain,<br>including pain on palpation<br>of the costovertebral junction<br>which was hypothesised as<br>being due to undiagnosed<br>scoliosis. A six-month<br>retrospective case review of<br>patient records identified<br>that 35.7% of patients with a |  |  |  |
| Yau et al. (2012)        | RCT feasibility pilot                                | Emergency<br>medicine ward | Hong Kong | Not specified  |  | similar presentation had<br>obvious radiological<br>evidence of scoliosis.<br>Feasibility of a nurse-<br>initiated early pain<br>management program. 13<br>participants with acute LBP   |  |  |  |

| Author | Design | Setting | Country | Pain definition <sup>a</sup> | Prevalence data <sup>b</sup> | Aims and key findings <sup>c</sup>  |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
|        |        |         |         |                              |                              | in the Emergency Medicine<br>Ward.<br>Conference abstract. Use of<br>heat therapy and a health<br>education booklet<br>intervention in ED. While<br>there was no significant<br>difference between the<br>intervention and control<br>groups at baseline and end of<br>their ED treatment the<br>intervention group had<br>greater decreasing trend in<br>disability, pain and anxiety<br>scores across 1-week, 1-<br>month and 3-month follow-<br>ups. |
|        |        |         |         |                              |                              |   |

4 Friedman, Mulvey et al. (2012) and Friedman, O'Mahoney et al. (2012) both report results from the same study.

<sup>a</sup> Who defined pain and how this was done. Where a definition is drawn from medical management systems these are provided. Whether clinicians defined the patients, or patients' self-description were used, plus any further terms provided are included.

<sup>b</sup> Prevalence data is included where this was provided in the paper.

<sup>c</sup> Aims of the paper and key findings that are relevant to this review not covered in the other columns.



Fig. 2. Graph showing the cumulative number of papers over the period covered by the review.

ED had higher levels of pain and disability than those presenting to primary care. Two papers also highlighted that some patients were advised to attend by other healthcare professionals (Stafford et al., 2014; Saggers et al., 2021). Davidson et al. (2022) asked clinicians why they thought patients with LBP presented to EMS, the main reasons given were problems accessing GP appointments and a belief that EMS offered better and faster care.

#### 3.3. Care received when accessing emergency medical services

There were few papers that explored the care that patients received. When discussed it was most often from the perspective of improving the efficiency of EMS. Either through reduction of the use of imaging (n = 14), implementation of clinical support guidelines (n = 14) or novel approaches to analgesia, usually to reduce opioid prescribing (n = 29). An additional four studies discussed initiatives in the organisation of EMS and 14 looked specifically at the introduction of physiotherapists or physical therapists in emergency care.

Those papers that looked at the use of imaging reported between 19% (Capsey et al., 2022a) and 52.8% (Liu, 2022) of patients with LBP received plain X-rays; rates of MRI or other advanced imaging ranged from 2.7% (Pakpoor et al., 2020) to 26.6% (Mullins et al., 2021). Some of the variation can be attributed to how studies defined their population, for example older patients are more likely to have imaging. Imaging rates were often presented in comparison with primary care, a minority of studies explored the appropriateness of imaging requests based on initial assessment. Rao et al. (2015) suggested that 96% of imaging referrals in their study were considered appropriate; however Schlemmer et al. (2015) looked at non-indicated imaging and identified that 51.9% of patients did not have indications, but of these 30.1% received imaging. The opinion papers included in the review highlight that MRI scan is appropriate for patients with suspected spinal epidural abscess (Della-Giustina, 2015; Smith and Siket, 2020; Long et al., 2022). Dubosh et al. (2019) reported that intraspinal abscess was the most commonly missed serious diagnosis (41%) in patients discharged with a



Fig. 3. Map showing geographical distribution of papers included in the review.

diagnosis of non-specific low back pain, and Long et al. (2022) reported that 90% of spinal epidural abscesses are misdiagnosed on their first visit.

Four papers reported studies into the diagnostic value of blood markers suggesting that both C-Reactive Protein (CRP) and Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) can be indicative of serious pathology (Davis et al., 2004, 2011; Galliker et al., 2020; Ali et al., 2021). However, of the retrospective data analyses, only two (Nunn et al., 2017; Capsey et al., 2022a) reported on the frequency of blood testing, 22.5% and 19% respectively.

The majority of papers focusing on analgesia concentrated on opioid use and its reduction. Reported rates of opioid use varied between 13% (Capsey, 2022b) and 72.9% (Ly et al., 2021; de Luca et al., 2023) with most studies citing figures of around half of patients receiving an opioid. Various studies explored reducing opioid use or alternative analgesics. There was little evidence that adjunct therapies aided pain reduction in the ED (Friedman et al., 2006, 2020; Behrbalk et al., 2014). There was little reported difference in the effectiveness of opioids and alternative analgesics in the ED (Veenema et al., 2000; Eken et al., 2014; Irizarry et al., 2021). Two alternative therapies that showed preliminary evidence of being effective in the pre-hospital phase were TENS (Bertalanffy et al., 2005) and active warming (Nuhr et al., 2004).

The other treatment option explored by many papers is the use of physiotherapists/physical therapists to assess patients and, where appropriate, initiate non-pharmacological treatments. Their use in ED was found to be effective with reduced length of stay, imaging and opioid use reported (Overman et al., 1988; de Gruchy et al., 2015; Schulz et al., 2016; Sohil et al., 2017; Sayer et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019; Pugh et al., 2020). Patient satisfaction was equal or better than standard care. It should be noted that in many studies physiotherapy management was targeted at patients assessed as low risk.

Eleven papers focused specifically on low frequency but high consequence conditions. Due to these presentations being relatively low frequency these were mostly discussion papers, case studies/case series or literature reviews. However, there are some retrospective data analyses (Davis et al., 2004; Dubosh et al., 2019; Melman et al., 2022) with a focus on red flags and risk factors for serious conditions. Additional papers explored risk factors for poor outcomes in patients with non-specific back pain (Thiruganasambandamoorthy et al., 2014; Galliker et al., 2020). A total of 22 papers explored red flags or risk factors, and there were two sets of clinical guidelines in the review. Both guidelines have been published recently and mostly present the guidelines established in primary care and orthopaedic practice (ACSQ, 2022; AACE, 2022).

# 3.4. Patient experiences and clinicians' perceptions of emergency medical service care

There were 17 papers that considered the patient experience, their context when presenting to EMS and expectations. Data on patient experience included some retrospective data from patient surveys although these were often collected alongside data on other conditions and frequently subsumed in a general analysis. Patient waiting time and overall time in the department were reported for some service improvement projects and were reported as improving the patient experience.

Data on clinician perceptions of dealing with back pain were also sparse. Corwell (2010) summarised the emergency clinician's focus succinctly as, "to rule out significant pathology and obtain a correct diagnosis while avoiding excessive investigation" further stating that, "the clinical pitfall to avoid is diagnosing an emergent back pain episode as just a back strain." Expert opinion papers presented serious but infrequent presentations as examples of the challenges associated with managed back pain presentations (Loh et al., 2022; Long et al., 2022). Edlow (2015) suggested that the ED population skews towards higher acuity cases and as such clinicians are more likely to see serious cases. Dutch et al. (2008) explored how clinicians managed "liked" and "disliked" patient presentations. Back pain was identified as an exemplar of the "disliked" patient presentation and these patients had the longest wait times, however there was no further detail given on why back pain was disliked. In the qualitative study by Davidson et al. (2022) clinicians described challenges around confidence in patients being safe for discharge, management of chronic presentations in comparison to acute patients with comorbidities, and addressing patients emotions and expectations. The limited information identified in the review suggests that back pain is often viewed as a complex and challenging presentation in EMS.

#### 4. Discussion

Patients accessed EMS due to concerns over the severity of their condition, perceptions of the services available at EDs, and difficulty in accessing primary care services. Whilst reported imaging rates vary from 19% to 52.8%, papers that explored the appropriateness of imaging decisions identified that many patients presenting to EMS have clinical indicators that suggest imaging is justified. In addition to imaging blood tests, specifically ESR and CRP, were found to be useful in identifying serious pathology. Opioid analgesics are the most commonly used in EMS however discharging patients with a short course of opioids has been shown to have little effect on the progression of their back pain. A small group of papers focused on low frequency but high consequence conditions which reflects emergency clinicians' own perceptions of the purpose of emergency care.

#### 5. Strengths and limitations

A key strength of this review has been both the broad definitions used to identify papers and the timescale covered. Whilst it meant many papers were included this allowed the papers focused on low frequency but high consequence conditions to contextualise the wider challenges of emergency medicine. It also revealed the recent change in research focus from trying to apply primary care guidelines to emergency care to exploring the underpinning assumptions and relevance of those guidelines to an EMS setting. In doing so it attempts to define the emergency care population in its own terms. Limitations included: the heterogenous approach to defining back pain makes drawing strong conclusions difficult; many papers grouped back pain in with other presentations (e. g. ankle sprain (de Gruchy et al., 2015), headache or dental pain (Isenberger and Salzman, 2013)); the number of papers that do not include a definition suggests there may be assumptions by some writers that clinicians share an understanding of what is meant by "back pain", but it is not clear if that assumption is correct or what the shared definition of back pain is.

#### 6. Implications for clinical practice and research

The outcomes from this review provide areas for future research. Prospective studies following patients from presentation with a complaint of back pain through to diagnosis and discharge to identify final diagnoses; exploration of how emergency clinicians understand back pain and their diagnostic accuracy; and the role and long-term impact of imaging and opioid use in managing patients with back pain who present to EMS. Of note, there is little or no research on pre-hospital ambulance care. This is an area where guidelines could lead to the safe referral of low-risk patients to primary care and those with potentially serious pathology are transported to a hospital for further investigation and management. Our review indicates a growing awareness that guidelines cannot be simply transferred from primary care to emergency departments. Furthermore, if guidelines are developed for pre-hospital care this should include establishing if that population reflects emergency departments, primary care groups or is different again.

#### 7. Conclusions

Back pain is a relatively common reason for patients to access EMS and as such remains a focus for research. Patients find back pain concerning and access EMS due to the perceived quality of care available. The care provided by EMS differs from that provided in primary care, notably a higher rate of imaging and opioid use however some of this is explained by the higher rates of serious cases presenting. Non-specific back pain remains the most common final diagnosis. Research that focuses on reliably identifying serious cases, through clinical red flags, appropriate imaging, and blood tests, would support EMS specific guidelines to give patients and clinicians confidence in the assessment and care provided to patients who access care complaining of back pain.

#### Funding

No funding was received for this scoping review.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Matt Capsey:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft. **Cormac Ryan:** Supervision, Writing – review & editing. **Jagjit Mankelow:** Supervision, Writing – review & editing. **Denis Martin:** Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the help and support of the following members of Teesside University's academic staff: Prof Sharon Hamilton who helped develop the scoping review protocol; Ptolemy Neoptolemos and Jill Quinn who helped with initial sift of the papers identified through database searching; also current and former students Hope Burrows, Allan Shito and Abdullah Walled who helped with data extraction.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2024.102928.

#### References

- Aaronson, E.L., et al., 2017. Association of magnetic resonance imaging for back pain on seven-day return visit to the Emergency Department. Emerg. Med. J. 34 (10), 677–679. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2016-206250.
- ACSQ, 2022. Low Back Pain Clinical Care Standard. Available at: https://www.safetya ndquality.gov.au/standards/clinical-care-standards/low-back-pain-clinical-care-sta ndard.
- Akbas, I., et al., 2020. Comparison of intradermal mesotherapy with systemic therapy in the treatment of low back pain: a prospective randomized study. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 38 (7), 1431–1435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2019.11.044.
- Alerhand, S., et al., 2017. The time-sensitive challenge of diagnosing spinal epidural abscess in the emergency department. Internal Emerg. Med. 12 (8), 1179–1183. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-017-1718-5.
- Ali, A., et al., 2021. Role of C-reactive protein in effective utilization of emergent MRI for spinal infections. Emerg. Radiol. 28 (3), 573–580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10140-020-01892-0.
- Anderson, S.W., Bhattacharjee, S., Patanwala, A.E., 2020. Effect of opioid analgesics on emergency department length of stay among low back pain patients in the United States. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 38 (9), 1802–1806. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajem.2020.06.002.
- Angus, M.L., et al., 2020. Development of a physiotherapy-led atraumatic back pain pathway: A novel initiative to improve the management of complex back pain in the emergency village. BMJ Innovat. 6 (4), 233–238. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjinnov-2019-000366.

- Angus, M., Horner, D., 2019. Best Evidence Topic reports BET 2: Is sexual dysfunction a recognised sign of cauda equina syndrome in the ED? Emerg Med J 36 (8). https:// doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2019-208777.2.
- Association of Ambulance Chief Executives, 2022. Low back pain (Non-Traumatic). In: Brown, Simon, N. (Eds.), UK Ambulance Services Clinical Practice Guidelines. Class Professional.
- Bailes, A.H., et al., 2021. Use of healthcare resources in patients with low back pain and comorbid depression or anxiety. Spine J 21, 1440–1449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. spinee.2021.03.031.
- Bailey, J.E., et al., 2013. Health information exchange reduces repeated diagnostic imaging for back pain. Annal. Emerg. Med. 62 (1), 16–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.annemergmed.2013.01.006.
- Balakrishnamoorthy, R., et al., 2015. Does a single dose of intravenous dexamethasone reduce Symptoms in Emergency department patients with low Back pain and RAdiculopathy (SEBRA)? a double-blind randomised controlled trial. Emerg. Med. J. 32 (7), 525–530. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2013-203490.
- Behrbalk, E., et al., 2014. Anxiolytic medication as an adjunct to morphine analgesia for acute low back pain management in the emergency department: a prospective randomized trial. Spine 39 (1), 17–22. https://doi.org/10.1097/ BRS.0000000000000038.
- Bertalanffy, A., et al., 2005. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces acute low back pain during emergency transport. Acad. Emerg. Med. 12 (7), 607–611. https://doi.org/10.1197/j.aem.2005.01.013.
- Blokzijl, J., et al., 2021. Understanding overuse of diagnostic imaging for patients with low back pain in the Emergency Department: a qualitative study. Emerg Med J 38, 529–536. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2020-210345.
- Borczuk, P., 2013. An evidence-based approach to the evaluation and treatment of low back pain in the emergency department. Emerg. Med. Prac. 15 (7).
- Borges, L.F., et al., 2020. Profile and management of patients with low back pain complaints in a Brazilian Emergency Department: a cross-sectional retrospective study. Revista Ciências Em Saúde 10 (3), 70–77. https://doi.org/10.21876/rcshci. v10i3.953.
- Buchbinder, M., 2017. Keeping out and getting in: reframing emergency department gatekeeping as structural competence. Sociol. Health and Illness 39 (7), 1166–1179. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.12566.
- Buchbinder, R., et al., 2020. The Lancet Series Call to Action to Reduce Low Value Care for Low Back Pain: an Update. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.000000000001869.
- Capsey, M., et al., 2018. Utilisation and experience of emergency medical services by patients with back pain : a scoping review protocol. Pain & Rehabilitation- the Journal of Physiotherapy Pain Association (49), 30–36.
- Capsey, M., et al., 2022a. Emergency department use by people with back pain: an
- investigation. Br. J. Pain 17 (1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1177/204946372211199. Capsey, M., et al., 2022b. Ambulance service use by patients with lower back pain : an observational study. British Paramedic Journal 6 (4), 11–17.
- Cetin, M., et al., 2021. Pain management practices in the emergency departments in Turkey, Turkish J. Emerg. Med. 21 (4), 189–197. https://doi.org/10.4103/2452-2473.329633.
- Chandra, K., et al., 2019. To choose or not to choose: evaluating the effect of a choosing wisely knowledge translation initiative for imaging in low back pain by emergency physicians. Cureus 11 (2), e4002. https://doi.org/10.7759/CUREUS.4002.
- Chronister, E., et al., 2020. Back pain patient satisfaction scores did not change after legislation resulting in opiate prescription decrease. Ann. Emerg. Med., 76(4 Suppl.),, S27–S28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2020.09.078.
- Cofano, F., et al., 2020. Back pain and accesses to emergency departments during COVID-19 lockdown in Italy. Neurosurgery 87 (2). https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/ nyaa174, E211–E211.
- Cohen, M.M., et al., 2017. Acupuncture for analgesia in the emergency department: a multicentre, randomised, equivalence and non-inferiority trial. Med. J. Aust. 206 (11), 494–499. https://doi.org/10.5694/mja16.00771.
- Coombs, D.M., Machado, G.C., Richards, B., Needs, C., et al., 2021. Effectiveness of a multifaceted intervention to improve emergency department care of low back pain: a stepped-wedge, cluster-randomised trial. BMJ Qual Saf 30, 825–835. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmjqs-2020-012337.
- Coombs, D.M., Machado, G.C., Richards, B., Oliveira, C.B., et al., 2021. Clinical course of patients with low back pain following an emergency department presentation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Emerg. Med. J. 38 (11), 834–841. https://doi. org/10.1136/EMERMED-2019-209294.
- Corwell, B.N., 2010. The emergency department evaluation, management, and treatment of back pain. Emerg. Med. Clin. 28 (4), 811–839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. emc.2010.06.001.
- Davidson, S.R.E., et al., 2022. Perspectives of emergency department clinicians on the challenges of addressing low back pain in the emergency setting: a qualitative study. Emerg. Med. Australasia (EMA) 34 (2), 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.13854.
- Davis, D.P., et al., 2004. The clinical presentation and impact of diagnostic delays on emergency department patients with spinal epidural abscess. J. Emerg. Med. 26 (3), 285–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2003.11.013.
- Davis, D.P., et al., 2011. Prospective evaluation of a clinical decision guideline to diagnose spinal epidural abscess in patients who present to the emergency department with spine pain. J. Neurosurg. Spine 14 (6), 765–770. https://doi.org/ 10.3171/2011.1.SPINE1091.
- Della-Giustina, D., 2015. Evaluation and treatment of acute back pain in the emergency department. Emerg. Med. Clin. 33 (2), 311–326. Available at: http://ovidsp.ovid.co m/athens/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=12630732&D=med 4

Downie, A., et al., 2020. How common is imaging for low back pain in primary and emergency care? Systematic review and meta-analysis of over 4 million imaging requests across 21 years. Br. J. Sports Med. 54, 642–653. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bjsports-2018-100087.

Drazin, D., et al., 2016. Emergency room resource utilization by patients with low-back pain. J. Neurosurg, Spine 24, 686–693.

Dubosh, N.M., et al., 2019. Missed serious neurologic conditions in emergency department patients discharged with nonspecific diagnoses of headache or back pain. Ann. Emerg. Med. 74 (4), 549–561. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. ANNEMERGMED.2019.01.020.

Dutch, M.J., Taylor, D.M., Dent, A.W., 2008. Triage presenting complaint descriptions bias emergency department waiting times. Acad. Emerg. Med. 15 (8), 731–735. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2008.00177.x.

Edlow, J.A., 2015. Managing nontraumatic acute back pain. Ann. Emerg. Med. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2014.11.011.

Edwards, J., 2016. The Prevalence of Low Back Pain in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review and Primary Study in the Charles V. Keating Emergency and Trauma Centre.

Edwards, J., et al., 2017. Prevalence of low back pain in emergency settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Muscoskel. Disord. 18 (1), 1–12. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12891-017-1511-7.

Eken, C., et al., 2014. Intravenous paracetamol versus dexketoprofen versus morphine in acute mechanical low back pain in the emergency department: a randomised doubleblind controlled trial. Emerg. Med. J. 31 (3), 177–181. https://doi.org/10.1136/ emermed-2012-201670.

Elam, K.C., Cherkin, D.C., Deyo, R.A., 1995. How emergency physicians approach low back pain: choosing costly options. J. Emerg. Med. 13 (2), 143–150. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0736-4679(94)00134-0.

- Engel-Rebitzer, E., et al., 2021. Patient preference and risk assessment in opioid prescribing disparities: a secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Network Open 4 (7), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.18801.
- Eskin, B., et al., 2014. Prednisone for emergency department low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. J. Emerg. Med. 47 (1), 65–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jemermed.2014.02.010.

Fadel, H.A., et al., 2020. The effect of institutional guidelines on the use of imaging for low back pain: a retrospective analysis. Neurosurgery 67 (Suppl.1). https://doi.org/ 10.1093/neuros/nyaa447\_564.

Ferreira, G.E., et al., 2018. Limited evidence for screening for serious pathologies using red flags in patients with low back pain presenting to the emergency department. Emerg. Med. Australasia (EMA) 30 (3), 436–437.

Ferreira, G.E., et al., 2019. Management of low back pain in Australian emergency departments. BMJ Qual. Saf. 28 (10) https://doi.org/10.1136/bmigs-2019-009383.

Ferreira, G.E., et al., 2021. Low back pain presentations to New South Wales emergency departments: Trends over time and geographical variation. Emergency Medicine Australasia 33 (5), 868–874. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.13745.

Friedman, B.W., et al., 2006. Parenteral corticosteroids for Emergency Department patients with non-radicular low back pain. J. Emerg. Med. 31 (4), 365–370. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2005.09.023.

Friedman, B.W., et al., 2010. Diagnostic testing and treatment of low back pain in United States emergency departments: A national perspective. Spine 35 (24), 1406–1411. https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181d952a5.

Friedman, B.W., et al., 2020. Ibuprofen plus acetaminophen versus ibuprofen alone for acute low back pain: an emergency department–based randomized study. Acad. Emerg. Med. 27 (3), 229–235. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.13898.

Predicting 7-day and 3-month functional outcomes after an ED visit for acute nontraumatic low back. In: Friedman, B.W., Mulvey, L., et al. (Eds.), American Journal of Emergency Medicine 30 (9), 1852–1859. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajem.2012.03.027.

Friedman, B.W., O'Mahony, S., et al., 2012. One-week and 3-month outcomes after an emergency department visit for undifferentiated musculoskeletal low back pain. Annals of Emergency Medicine 59 (2), 128–133.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. annemergmed.2011.09.012.

GAC, 2016. Australian clinical practice guidelines. *Australian Government*. Available at: https://www.clinicalguidelines.gov.au/.

Galliker, G., et al., 2020. Low back pain in the emergency department: prevalence of serious spinal pathologies and diagnostic accuracy of red flags. Am. J. Med. 133 (1), 60–72.e14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.06.005.

de Gruchy, A., Granger, C., Gorelik, A., 2015. Physical therapists as primary practitioners in the emergency department: six-month prospective practice analysis. Phys. Ther. 95 (9), 1207–1216. https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20130552.

Gotfryd, A.O., et al., 2015. Analysis of epidemiology, lifestyle, and psychosocial factors in patients with back pain admitted to an orthopedic emergency unit. Einstein (Sāo Paulo) 13 (2), 243–248. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1679-45082015ao3320.

Hänninen, J., Kouvonen, A., Sumanen, H., 2020. Patients Seeking Retreatment after Community Paramedic Assessment and Treatment: Piloting a Community Paramedic Unit Program in Southwest Finland. Nursing Reports 10 (2), 66–74. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/nursrep10020010.

Harwood, K.J., et al., 2022. Where to start? A two stage residual inclusion approach to estimating influence of the initial provider on health care utilization and costs for low back pain in the US. BMC Health Services Research 22 (1), 1–12. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12913-022-08092-1.

Havel, C., et al., 2001. Which treatment for low back pain? A factorial randomised controlled trial comparing intravenous analgesics with oral analgesics in the emergency department and a centrally acting muscle relaxant with placebo over three days [ISRCTN09719705]. BMC Emergency Medicine 1 (2). https://doi.org/ 10.1186/14-227X-1-2. Hayes, K., 1999. A descriptive study of repeat users of one rural emergency department. Kansas Nurse 74 (9), 5–6.

Henschke, N., et al., 2009. Prevalence of and screening for serious spinal pathology in patients presenting to primary care settings with acute low back pain. Arthritis Rheum. 60 (10), 3072–3080. https://doi.org/10.1002/ART.24853.

Hoy, D., et al., 2010. The Epidemiology of low back pain. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol. 24 (6), 769–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2010.10.002.

Hoy, D., et al., 2012. A systematic review of the global prevalence of low back pain. Arthritis Rheum. 64 (6), 2028–2037. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.34347.

Irizarry, E., et al., 2021. A randomized controlled trial of ibuprofen versus ketorolac versus diclofenac for acute, nonradicular low back pain. Acad. Emerg. Med. 28 (11), 1228–1235. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.14321.

Isenberger, K.M., Salzman, J.G., 2013. Patient satisfaction variability by chief complaint in an urban community emergency department. Acad. Emerg. Med. 20 (5 Suppl. 1), S157–S158. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.12115.

Jones, C.M.P., et al., 2023. Opioid analgesia for acute low back pain and neck pain (the OPAL trial): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet 6736 (23). https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(23)00404-x.

Jorgensen, D.J., 2007. Fiscal analysis of emergency admissions for chronic back pain: A pilot study from a maine hospital. Pain Medicine 8 (4), 354–358. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2007.00309.x.

Kawchuk, G.N., et al., 2022. A prospective study of patients with low back pain attending a Canadian emergency department: why they came and what happened? PLoS One 17 (5 May), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268123.

Kim, H.S., et al., 2018. Physical therapy in the emergency department: A new opportunity for collaborative care. In: *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*. W.B. Saunders, pp. 1492–1496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.05.053.

Kim, H.S., et al., 2019. A comparison of analgesic prescribing among ED back and neck pain visits receiving physical therapy versus usual care. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 37, 1322–1326. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJEM.2018.10.009.

Kim, H.S., et al., 2020. Evaluating the Feasibility of an Emergency Department Physical Therapy Intervention for Acute Low Back Pain. Journal of Acute Care Physical Therapy 11 (3), 113–119. https://doi.org/10.1097/JAT.00000000000126.

Kim, H.S., et al., 2021. A Prospective Observational Study of Emergency Department-Initiated Physical Therapy for Acute Low Back Pain. Physical Therapy 101 (3), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzaa219.

Kim, H.S., et al., 2023. Patient perspectives on seeking emergency care for acute low back pain and access to physical therapy in the emergency department. Ann. Emerg. Med. 82 (2), 154–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2022.12.028.

Kocak, A.O., et al., 2019. Comparison of intravenous NSAIDs and trigger point injection for low back pain in ED: A prospective randomized study. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine 37 (10), 1927–1931. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. AJEM.2019.01.015.

Kohns, D.J., et al., 2018. Clinical predictors of the medical interventions provided to patients with low back pain in the emergency department. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation 31 (1). https://doi.org/10.3233/BMR-170806.

Lau, P.M., Chow, D.H., Pope, M.H., 2008. Early physiotherapy intervention in an Accident and Emergency Department reduces pain and improves satisfaction for patients with acute low back pain: a randomised trial. Australian Journal of Physiotherapy 54 (4), 243–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0004-9514(08)70003-5.

Liu, C., et al., 2018. Effectiveness of Interventions to Decrease Image Ordering for Low Back Pain Presentations in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review. Academic Emergency Medicine 25 (6), 614–626. https://doi.org/10.1111/ acem.13376.

Liu, J., et al., 2019. Interprofessional teamwork versus fast track streaming in an emergency department-An observational cohort study of two strategies for enhancing the throughput of orthopedic patients presenting limb injuries or back pain. PLoS ONE 14 (7). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220011.

Liu, J., 2022. Redesigning an Emergency Department for Interprofessional Teamwork a Longitudinal Evaluation of the Impact on Patient Flow and Team Behaviour. Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm.

Logan, G.S., et al., 2019. What do we really know about the appropriateness of radiation emitting imaging for low back pain in primary and emergency care? A systematic review and meta-analysis of medical record reviews. PLoS ONE 14 (12), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225414.

Loh, Y.L., Atresh, S., Ferguson, K., 2022. Acute flaccid paralysis of a new surfer A case report. Medicine 101 (16), e29188. https://doi.org/10.1097/ MD.000000000029188.

Long, B., et al., 2022. High risk and low prevalence diseases: spinal epidural abscess. AJEM (Am. J. Emerg. Med.) 53, 168–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajem.2022.01.008.

Long, B., Koyfman, A., Gottlieb, M., 2020. Evaluation and management of cauda equina syndrome in the emergency department. American Journal of Emergency Medicine 38 (1), 143–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJEM.2019.158402.

Lovegrove, M.T., et al., 2011. Analysis of 22,655 presentations with back pain to Perth emergency departments over five years. International Journal of Emergency Medicine 4 (1), 59. https://doi.org/10.1186/1865-1380-4-59.

Lowthian, J.A., et al., 2011. Systematic review of trends in emergency department attendances: an Australian perspective. Emerg. Med. J. 28 (5), 373–377. https://doi. org/10.1136/emj.2010.099226.

de Jesús, et al., 2022. Musculoskeletal Conditions in Older Men and Women: An Emergency Room Study. Puerto Rican Health Sciences Journal 41 (2), 56–62.

de Luca, K., et al., 2023. Australian emergency department care for older adults diagnosed with low back pain of lumbar spine origin: a retrospective analysis of electronic medical record system data (2016–2019). BMC Emerg. Med. 23 (1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-023-00789-8. Ly, J., et al., 2021. Introduction of a modified analgesic ladder in the emergency department: Effect on oxycodone use for back pain. Journal of opioid management 17 (1), 55–62. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1536-7150.1978.tb02790.x.

Machado, G.C., et al., 2020. Emergency department care for low back pain: should we adopt recommendations from primary care guidelines? Emerg. Med. Australasia (EMA) 32 (5), 890–892. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.13593.

Machado, G.C., Rogan, E., Maher, C.G., 2017. Managing non-serious low back pain in the emergency department: time for a change? EMA - Emergency Medicine Australasia. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.12903.

Magnusson, C., et al., 2021. Limited need for hospital resources among patients brought to hospital by the emergency medical services. BMC Emergency Medicine 21 (1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-021-00549-6.

McCarthy, D.M., et al. (Eds.), 2021. Patient-Reported Opioid Pill Consumption After an ED Visit: How Many Pills Are People Using?', Pain Medicine 22 (2), 292–302. https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnaa048.

McCaughey, E.J., et al., 2016. Imaging for patients presenting to an emergency department with back pain: Impact on patient pathway. EMA - Emergency Medicine Australasia 28 (4), 412–418. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.12602.

- Medeiros, F.C., et al., 2018. The use of STarT BACK Screening Tool in emergency departments for patients with acute low back pain: a prospective inception cohort study. European Spine Journal 27 (11), 2823–2830. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00586-018-5586-0.
- Melman, A., et al., 2022. Many people admitted to hospital with a provisional diagnosis of nonserious back pain are subsequently found to have serious pathology as the underlying cause. Clin. Rheumatol. 41, 1867–1871. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10067-022-06054-w.
- Meisel, Z.F., et al., 2022. A multicentered randomized controlled trial comparing the effectiveness of pain treatment communication tools in emergency department patients with back or kidney stone pain. Am. J. Publ. Health 112 (S1), S45–S55. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2021.306511/SUPPL\_FILE/MEISEL\_ SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES.DOCX.

Mills, A.M., et al., 2011. Analgesia for older adults with abdominal or back pain in emergency department. Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health 12 (1), 43–50.

Min, A., et al., 2017. Clinical Decision Support Decreases Volume of Imaging for Low Back Pain in an Urban Emergency Department. Journal of the American College of Radiology 14 (7), 889–899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2017.03.005.

Morgan, M., et al., 2013. Association of Patient, Operational, and Care Factors With Emergency Department Satisfaction in Dental Pain, Back Pain, and Headache Patients. Annals of Emergency Medicine 62 (4), S52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. annemergmed.2013.07.424.

Mullins, P.M., et al., 2021. Trends in the evaluation and management of back pain in emergency departments, United States, 2007-2016. Pain Med. 22 (1), 67–74. https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnaa385.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2016. Spinal Injury: Assessment and Initial Management, p. 24. February 2016). https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/n g41/resources/spinal-injury-assessment-and-initial-management-pdf-18374477 90533.

Ngo, X., et al., 2016. A pilot study investigate the impact of physiotherapy services for patients presenting with musculoskeletal conditions in an emergency department setting in Singapore', in. Annals of the Academy of Medicine Singapore. Conference: 7th Singapore Health and Biomedical Conference. Academy of Medicine Singapore S374.

NHS England, 2019. Statistics: A&E attendances and emergency admissions. Available at: https://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/ae-waiting-times-a nd-activity/. (Accessed 20 April 2020).

Nuhr, M., et al., 2004. Active warming during emergency transport relieves acute low back pain. Spine 29 (14), 1499–1503. https://doi.org/10.1097/01. BRS.0000131439.87553.99.

Nunn, M.L., Hayden, J.A., Magee, K., 2017. Current management practices for patients presenting with low back pain to a large emergency department in Canada. BMC Muscoskel. Disord. 18 (1) https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-017-1452-1.

O'Cathain, A., et al., 2022. Tendency to call an ambulance or attend an emergency department for minor or non-urgent problems: a vignette-based population survey in Britain. Emerg Med J 39, 436–442. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2020-210271.

Oliveira, I.S., et al., 2020. Profile of Patients with Acute Low Back Pain Who Sought Emergency Departments: A Cross-sectional Study. Spine 45 (5), E296–E303. https:// doi.org/10.1097/BRS.00000000003253.

Oliveira, I.S., et al., 2021. The Long-Term Prognosis in People With Recent Onset Low Back Pain From Emergency Departments: An Inception Cohort Study. Journal of Pain 22 (11), 1497–1505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2021.05.002.

Oliveira, C.B., et al., 2022. 'Do patients with acute low back pain in emergency departments have more severe symptoms than those in general practice? A Systematic review with meta-analysis'. Pain Med. 23 (4), 614–624. https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnab260.

Oshima, R.K.A., et al., 2022. Why do patients with low back pain seek care at emergency department? A cross-sectional study. Braz. J. Phys. Ther. 26 (5) https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bjpt.2022.100444.

Overman, S.S., et al., 1988. Physical therapy care for low back pain. Phys. Ther. 68 (2), 199–207. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/68.2.199.

Owens, P.L., Woeltje, M., Mutter, R., 2011. Emergency Department Visits and Inpatient Stays Related to Back Problems, 2008: Statistical Brief #105. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Statistical Briefs. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/21413215. Page, M.J., et al., 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Br. Med. J. 372 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71.

Pakpoor, J., et al., 2020. Use of imaging during emergency department visits for low back pain. American Journal of Radiography 214, 395–399. https://doi.org/ 10.2214/AJR.19.21674.

Paul, F.A., Buser, B.R., 1996. Osteopathic manipulative treatment applications for the emergency department patient. J. Am. Osteopath. Assoc. 96 (7), 403–409. Available at: https://jaoa.org.

Potier, T., et al., 2015. Evaluation of an evidence based quality improvement innovation for patients with musculoskeletal low back pain in an accident and emergency setting. BMJ Quality Improvement Reports 4 (1), u205903.w2411. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/bmjquality.u205903.w2411.

Pugh, A., et al., 2020. Physical therapy reduces emergency department resource utilization and return visits in atraumatic low back pain. Acad. Emerg. Med. 27, S316. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.13961, 2020 Annual Meeting Supplement).

Rao, Sishir, et al., 2015. Low back pain in the emergency department - are the ACR Appropriateness Criteria being followed? J. Am. Coll. Radiol. 12 (4), 364–369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2014.10.020.

Reito, A., et al., 2018. Specific spinal pathologies in adult patients with an acute or subacute atraumatic low back pain in the emergency department. International Orthopaedics. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-018-3983-y.

Rizzardo, A., et al., 2016. Low-back pain at the emergency department: Still not being managed? Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management 12, 183–187. https://doi. org/10.2147/TCRM.S91898.

Ryan, C. ISRCTN 77522923: Low back pain and A&E: understanding need and improving care', ISRCTN registry. https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN77522923.

Saggers, A., et al., 2021. "I'm not in GP pain, I'm in hospital pain": qualitative study regarding patient decision-making factors in seeking care in the emergency department with non-specific low back pain. Emerg. Med. Australasia (EMA) 33 (6), 1013–1020. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.13792.

Sayer, J.M., et al., 2018. Advanced musculoskeletal physiotherapists are effective and safe in managing patients with acute low back pain presenting to emergency departments. Aust. Health Rev. 42 (3), 321–326. https://doi.org/10.1071/ AH16211.

Schlemmer, E., et al., 2015. Imaging during low back pain ED visits: a claims-based descriptive analysis. In: American Journal of Emergency Medicine. W.B. Saunders, pp. 414–418, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2014.12.060.

Schulz, P., et al., 2016. Comparing patient outcomes for care delivered by advanced musculoskeletal physiotherapists with other health professionals in the emergency department—a pilot study. Australas. Emerg. Nurs. J. 19 (4), 198–202. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aenj.2016.06.001.

Shani, A., et al., 2020. Matching actual treatment with patient administration-routepreference improves analgesic response among acute low back pain patients-a randomized prospective trial. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research 15 (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-020-01594-w.

Sharma, S., et al., 2021. Effect of a waiting room communication strategy on imaging rates and awareness of public health messages for low back pain. International Journal for Quality in Health Care 33 (4), 1–11.

Sharma, S., et al., 2022. Effect of COVID-19 on management of patients with low back pain in the emergency department. Australasian Emergency Care 25 (2), 154–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AUEC.2021.07.001.

Shaw, B., et al., 2020. Back pain "red flags": which are most predictive of serious pathology in the Emergency Department ? European Spine Journal 29 (8), 1870–1878. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-020-06452-1.

Smith, D.E., Siket, M.S., 2020. High-risk chief COmplaints III: neurological emergencies. Emerg. Med. Clin. 38, 523–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emc.2020.02.006.

Sohil, P., Hao, P.Y., Mark, L., 2017. Potential impact of early physiotherapy in the emergency department for non-traumatic neck and back pain. World Journal of Emergency Medicine 8 (2), 110–115. https://doi.org/10.5847/wjem.j.1920-8642.2017.02.005.

Stafford, V., Greenhalgh, S., Davidson, I., 2014. Why do patients with simple mechanical back pain seek urgent care? Physiotherapy 100 (1), 66–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.physio.2013.08.001.

Staiger, P., et al., 2010. Improving the coordination of care for low back pain patients by creating better links between acute and community services. Aust. Health Rev. 34 (2), 139–143.

Strudwick, K., et al., 2018. Review article: Best practice management of low back pain in the emergency department (part 1 of the musculoskeletal injuries rapid review series). Emerg. Med. Australasia 30, 18–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.12907.

Tacy, R.M., Donaworth, S., Ballman, K., 2017. Application of primary care guideline for chronic low back pain in the Emergency Department. Adv. Emerg. Nurs. J. 39 (2), 123–140. https://doi.org/10.1097/TME.00000000000144.

Tan, C.I.C., et al., 2018. Predicting outcomes of acute low back pain patients in emergency department. Medicine 97 (26). https://doi.org/10.1097/ MD.000000000011247.

Tekin, E., et al., 2021. The effectiveness of intradermal sterile water injection for low back pain in the emergency department: a prospective, randomized controlled study. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 42, 103–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2021.01.038.

The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2015. Methodology for JBI Scoping Reviews. The Joanna Briggs Institute. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004. Reviewers' Manual'.

Stewart, W.F., et al., 2015. Patterns of health care utilization for low back pain. J. Pain Res. 8, 523–535. https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S83599.

Musculoskeletal Science and Practice 71 (2024) 102928

Thiruganasambandamoorthy, V., et al., 2014. Risk factors for serious underlying pathology in adult emergency department nontraumatic low back pain patients. J. Emerg. Med. 47 (1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2013.08.140.
Waddell, G., 1987. A New Clinical Model for the Treatment of Low-Back Pain,

pp. 632–644. https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-198709000-00002. Spine. Traeger, A.C., et al., 2021. Appropriateness of imaging decisions for low back pain

- presenting to the emergency department: a retrospective chart review study. Int. J. Qual. Health Care 33 (3), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzab103.
- Tracey, N.G., et al., 1994. Guidelines for lumbar spine radiography in acute low back pain: effect of implementation in an accident and emergency department. Ulster Med. J. 63 (1), 12. Available at: /pmc/articles/PMC2449101/?report=abstract.
- Tsai, J., Szymkowiak, D., Kertesz, S.G., 2021. Top 10 presenting diagnoses of homeless veterans seeking care at emergency departments. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 45, 17–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2021.02.038.
- Veenema, K.R., Leahey, N., Schneider, S., 2000. Ketorolac versus meperidine: ED treatment of severe muskuloskeletal low back pain. AJEM (Am. J. Emerg. Med.) 18 (4), 404–407. https://doi.org/10.1053/ajem.2000.7314.
- Vella, S.P., et al., 2022. Paramedic management of back pain: a scoping review. BMC Emerg. Med. 22 (1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12873-022-00699-1.

- Washington, D.L., et al., 2002. Next-day care for emergency department users with nonacute conditions: A randomized, controlled tria. In: Annals of Internal Medicine. Health Research Premium Collection.
- Waterman, B.R., Belmont, P.J., Schoenfeld, A.J., 2012. Low back pain in the United States: incidence and risk factors for presentation in the emergency setting. Spine J. 12 (1), 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2011.09.002.
- Westgard, B.C., et al., 2020. An analysis of changes in emergency department visits after a state declaration during the time of COVID-19. Annal. Emerg. Med. 76 (5), 595–601. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ANNEMERGMED.2020.06.019.
- Wu, A., Davis, J.E., 2019. Acute spinal cord infarction presenting with chest pain and neurogenic shock: a case report. J. Emerg. Med. 57 (4), 560–562. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jemermed.2019.06.038.
- Xantus, G., Burke, D., Kanizsai, P., 2021. Previously undiagnosed scoliosis presenting as pleuritic chest pain in the emergency department – a case series and a validating retrospective audit. BMC Emerg. Med. 21 (1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12873-021-00455-X/TABLES/1.
- Yau, C., Ho, S., Chan, C., 2012. A nurse-initiated early pain management program (NIEPMP) for the management of acute low back pain in Emergency Medicine Ward: a randomized controlled pilot study. J. Pain 13 (4), S61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpain.2012.01.253.